Cellular systems for epithelial invagination
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Epithelial invagination is a fundamental module of morphogenesis that iteratively occurs to generate the architecture of many parts of a developing organism. By changing the physical properties such as the shape and/or position of a population of cells, invagination drives processes ranging from reconfiguring the entire body axis during gastrulation, to forming the primordia of the eyes, ears and multiple ducts and glands, during organogenesis. The epithelial bending required for invagination is achieved through a variety of mechanisms involving systems of cells. Here we provide an overview of the different mechanisms, some of which can work in combination, and outline the circumstances in which they apply.

This article is part of the themed issue ‘Systems morphodynamics: understanding the development of tissue hardware’.

1. Epithelial invagination as a multicellular mechanism

In animal development from the very earliest blastocyst or blastoderm stages all the way to the very last stages of organogenesis, embryos organize themselves into epithelial layers. Epithelium is broadly defined. It can be a sheet of cuboidal, columnar or squamous (flattened) cells, or contain a mixture of cell shapes of varying height to give the appearance of multiple layers (pseudostratification), or even consist of any of the above in multiple layers and be truly stratified. However, for all stages and all epithelial types, elaboration of anatomy relies on the self-bending ability of epithelia into folds, ridges, pits and tubes. As a building block of morphogenesis, epithelial bending makes almost every organ, from the primitive gut tube that makes the primary body axis during gastrulation to the finest pores that are the hair follicles on the skin. Epithelial bending is evidently a multicellular process in which multiple connected cells coordinate their behaviours to change the shape of the tissue. Put another way, epithelial bending is an emergent property of a system of cells whose actions cannot be described at lower levels: gene networks and classical (largely subcellular) cell biology cannot fully capture the epithelial bending process. Remarkably, despite its being a very widespread process, our detailed descriptions and mechanistic understanding of epithelial bending are limited to rather few cases and types.

Aspects of epithelial bending leading to both invagination (folding inwards) and evagination (folding outwards) have been reviewed previously [1–4]. This review focuses on bending that results in invagination of the epithelium, from the point of view of cellular behaviours. We start our summary from the fairly well described apical constriction, via apical cable-driven buckling, cell shortening by other mechanisms and basal wedging, to apical/basal bunching and vertical telescoping to the relatively novel and little-characterized suprabasal intercalation. This order reflects the hierarchy of epithelial complexity from a monolayer to pseudostratified, and finally stratified structure. It also reflects a hierarchy of complexity in the cellular processes involved.
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2. Apical constriction

Apical constriction is defined as a mechanism in which epithelial cells undergo apical shrinkage while keeping a more or less constant volume [5]. Several good reviews have recently been published on apical constriction [1,4,6–10] and the reader is directed to those for a comprehensive analysis. Here we will outline some salient features.

Early two-dimensional physical models made with steel rods and rubber tubing demonstrated that differential tension between the apical and basal surfaces of epithelial cells would lead to bent epithelia, provided cell volume and height were maintained [11]. Additionally, early observations of epithelial bending across a range of organs and organisms showed that the cells in the bending tissue that are wedge-shaped have a superficial gel layer at the concave side of the curvature [11]. This contracting gel layer was later discovered to consist of actin filaments [12], acting in concert with the motor protein myosin II to bend the epithelium (figure 1). Apical actomyosin enrichment and contractility have become defining characteristics of apical constriction [13–16]. Regulation of the actomyosin cytoskeleton is complex, but among the numerous regulators, the recruitment of this contractile machinery is notably promoted by Rock [17,18] and Shroom [19–22]. Further studies have shown that while Shroom is both necessary and sufficient for the apical distribution of the actomyosin contractile network [19,20], other molecules very often function in positioning distinct components of the machinery to the correct place. For instance, Rho GTPase [17] and p120 catenin [13] are required to localize myosin II apically in the cell. BMP, acting upstream of Rock in chick otic placode (neuroepithelial) invagination, seems to be both necessary and sufficient for the apical distribution of a cell type specification [23].

Live imaging of invaginating tissues has provided an increasingly sophisticated picture of how apical constriction takes place. For example, it was long assumed that cells undergo apical constriction by a purse-string-like constriction of actin fibres around the circumference of the apical surface. Live imaging in Drosophila gastrulation revealed that, instead of circumferential fibres, an apical meshwork of diametrical fibres actually plays the predominant role in constricting the apical area [15] (although there is currently no equivalent evidence in vertebrates). The process of constriction is also less simple than once thought. Rather than smooth and synchronous contraction, it has recently been demonstrated that individual cells undergo transient pulses of ratchet-like constriction asynchronously with their neighbours [15,16,24–26]. After contractions are initiated, the contracted state is stabilized between pulses so that the net result is a decrease in the area of the apical end of the cell [15,24]. The tension from these individual contractions is probably transmitted apicobasally by cytoplasmic displacement, at least as is seen in Drosophila mesoderm [27]; simultaneously, the tension is transmitted in the plane of the tissue via the actomyosin network, which is assembled in individual cells and connected intercellularly by adherens junctions [24], to bend the whole tissue.

3. Basal relaxation

If cell volume is to be conserved, apical constriction must be accompanied by either basal expansion or height increase (or both). Increase in height has been observed in tracheal and salivary gland placodes before invagination in fly embryos [28,29], and what we call ‘basal relaxation’ here, in which the basal actin or myosin network is actively disassembled (figure 2), has been reported as being involved in the invagination of the chick otic placode [30–32] and Drosophila ventral furrow formation in gastrulation [5]. In the chick otic vesicle, basal relaxation precedes apical constriction and depends on basally presented FGF signals [30], and so does not seem to be necessarily coupled to apical events, including the subsequent constriction. In Drosophila gastrulation, however, reduction of basal myosin intensity and in turn basal rigidity accompanies apical constriction and expands the basal surface, a phase that very likely initiates the transition from cell columnization to cell shortening and invagination [5]. A recent paper by Lomakin et al. [33] has suggested that actomyosin accumulation in one part of a cell during migration causes depletion in another. This could be a way in which basal relaxation could trigger or be necessary for subsequent apical constriction during invagination. Unpublished computer modelling of epithelial folding in wing disc epithelium has suggested that basal relaxation in that context may in fact be mechanically more important than apical constriction (Guillaume Salbreux 2016, personal communication).

4. Apical cable-driven buckling

In a number of contexts, the contractility of multiple cells is coordinated via actomyosin ‘cables’ [34,35]. Actomyosin cables are supracellular structures contained within individual cells that align between adjacent cells [34–36] and are probably connected via specific junctions, although how
they are connected at the molecular level is still unknown. These supracellular structures have been observed not only during invagination [36,37], but also in other processes [38–40], to coordinate constraction.

One example of actomyosin cable-driven invagination is chicken neural tube closure, in which mediolaterally orientated myosin cables run several cell lengths, promoting cell intercalation mediolaterally to both elongate the neural tube (convergent extension) and bend the neuroepithelium mediolaterally [37,41]. This planar-polarized contraction of actomyosin cables is promoted by upstream PCP signalling and also polarized distribution of Celsr1 and ROCK [41].

The epithelium in the developing Drosophila uses constriction coupled with cellular rearrangement and cell rounding to achieve invagination of multiple tracheal pits, which will later form the tracheal network through which oxygen diffuses towards fly tissues [36,42]. Prior to the start of invagination, cells in the placode enter mitotic quiescence [36]. Short circumferentially aligned arcs of actomyosin cables form transiently as groups of a few cells intercalate (likewise circumferentially) around the forming pit [36]. This is followed by strong apical constriction of the cells at the very centre of the placode and less tightly constrained apices in immediately surrounding cells, forming a shallow tracheal pit [36]. The invaginating cells at the centre undergo mitotic cell rounding which accelerates the process by causing a rapid drop in cell height, finishing the invagination in a rapid phase [42]. It was shown that it is the rounding of the mitotic cells but not cell division that drives the rapid phase of invagination. One can speculate that rounded cells make the epithelium structurally weaker. They have a less stiff cortical cytoskeleton, a less columnar shape (thinning the epithelium) and possibly weaker attachments to their neighbours. They could therefore act as buckling points at which the epithelium bends with less resistance to the tension maintained by the circumferential cables in the surrounding non-dividing cells (figure 3).

5. Cell shortening

Folding of the Drosophila leg epithelium to make joints between segments represents another variation of cellular constriction, which is in this case whole-cell shrinkage coupled with apoptosis [30,43,44]. During the morphogenesis of Drosophila leg epithelium, apoptosis is necessary, but not sufficient, for apical constriction to occur [45], and a relatively recent report describes an apicobasal actomyosin ‘cable’ running vertically through the centre of the cell at the folding placode (figure 4) that appears as though it might exert a downward vertical pulling force on the apical surface of the neighbouring cells [30]. These vertical ‘cables’ are not to be confused with the planar arcs of actomyosin cables referred to in §4 and are entirely novel single-cell structures whose structure and dynamics remain to be investigated. As with mitotically rounding cells, an apoptotic cell would presumably be structurally weaker than its non-apoptotic neighbours and therefore could serve as a buckling point; however, the apicobasal ‘cable’ hints at a more active mechanism, as does the fact that the apoptotic cell is not extruded. It seems likely the actomyosin cable has an active role to play in apoptosis-driven buckling.

Cell shortening has also been observed in other instances of epithelial invagination. In ascidian gastrulation, Sherrard et al. [46] showed that apical constriction of the endodermal cells actually does not drive the invagination process; rather, a basolateral accumulation of myosin leads to apicobasal shortening of the cells and initiates the invagination. In yet another mechanism, dorsal folds in the early Drosophila embryo at the onset of gastrulation are initiated by a basal shifting of adherens junctions of the invaginating cells, leading to a mismatch in junction positioning with neighbouring cells that helps to drive the tissue buckling [47,48]. Although it has been shown that the positions of the adherens junctions are regulated by the polarity proteins Par1 and Bazooka, the physical mechanism remains to be investigated.

6. Basal wedging

Wedge-shaped cells in an invaginating tissue are an inevitable consequence of the tissue geometry and do not necessarily indicate apical constriction. During neural tube development, a process called basal wedging comes into play in which wedging occurs that is quite distinct from apical constriction. At the midline of much of the forming amniote neural tube, the epithelium bends sharply to form what is known as the median hinge point (MHP) [49–51]. Cells at these hinge positions are almost all wedge-shaped, whereas their neighbours are a mixture of shapes, mostly spindle-shaped, reflecting the pseudostratified nature of this epithelium (figure 5). Importantly, the cells are very tightly packed in the plane of the epithelium, and are so narrow that each cell bulges around its nucleus. The wedge shape of hinge point cells is, at least substantially, a result of basally located nuclei. This seems to be related to interkinetic nuclear migration, which is the apicobasal movement of the nucleus as the cell cycle progresses: cells divide apically and when in S-phase the nucleus resides basally [52,53] and, consistent
with this, cells at the hinge spend longer in S-phase [49,54]. The cell-division cycle has been similarly implicated in bending morphogenesis of the optic cup [55]. However, whether cell cycle control is the necessary or sole driver of apicobasal nuclear position remains an open question [56–60]. Importantly, basal wedging was experimentally distinguished from apical constriction by the finding that inhibiting actin polymerization, while causing most of the neural tube to flop open and apical surfaces to expand across the entire neural plate [41], failed to abolish bending at the median hinge point [61,62]. This also shows that median hinge bending is intrinsic, as the relaxation of the flanking epithelium uncouples the median hinge from extrinsic forces and that basal wedging occurs differently from apical constriction.

7. Vertical telescoping and apical/basal bunching

Intriguingly, in certain anteroposterior regions of the neural tube there are also dorsolateral hinge points that involve neither basal wedging nor (cytochalasin-sensitive) apical constriction [62]. Although extrinsic pushing force from the flanking ectoderm has been suggested as a bending mechanism [63], more recent evidence has argued against it [64] and suggested that differential cell packing generated by cell proliferation and translocation in the mouse neural tube leads to the folding of the structure [65].

Related to this, in 1986 Jacobson, Oster et al. [66] described in Xenopus frogs a cellular behaviour for neural fold elevation (the lateral beginning of neurulation) which they named...
‘tractoring’. The term ‘tractoring’ was picked up and used again in the context of epithelial bending in sea urchin gastrulation in two further papers [67,68]. What these three papers address is worth considering in detail (see next paragraph). Unfortunately, the term ‘tractoring’ was also used in the same 1986 paper to describe not only the cell behaviours as such but also a speculative subcellular mechanism that could drive them. In this speculative use of the term ‘tractoring’, the cell cortex flows like a caterpillar track around the cell to move the cell relative to its neighbours [66]. It is hard to imagine cortical tractoring in epithelia with tight junctions, which would prevent cortical movement, and the idea has never been followed up (although embryonic epithelia, especially in mammalian embryos, often lack tight junctions and may have more labile adhesion). A recent paper has revived the idea of cortical tractoring for isolated cells migrating in confined spaces [69]. To avoid confusion, we will abandon the term ‘tractoring’ altogether (except in quotation marks, where those authors used it). Instead we offer two new terms—for indeed there are two cell behaviours involved—namely vertical telescoping and basal (or apical) bunching.

An effect described by Jacobsen et al. [66] as occurring during neural plate bending was that the cells slide vertically past one another, similarly to the way that the steps of a rising escalator do, to create a slope or bend. Another useful way of describing
this is that the epithelium extends downwards by vertical displacement, effectively shear, between its cells organized around the centre of the invagination, much in the way that atele-
scope extends by the sliding of its sections (figure 6a). We suggest
‘vertical telescoping’ as a term for this process to capture the idea
not only of vertical ‘shear’ but also its concentric arrangement.
Actual shear between cells is unlikely: the vertical cell movement
is much more likely to resemble classical cell migration, in which
cells crawl or roll over fixed adhesion points, with movement
being effected by the extension of basal or apical protrusions
(figure 6b,c). We have some preliminary evidence for vertical tele-
escoping occurring in morphogenesis of teeth and salivary gland
invagination (E. Panousopoulou, J.Li and J.B.A. Green 2016,
unpublished data). The observations in the mouse lateral
neural tube mentioned above [65] are consistent with this type
of mechanism, but vertical shear-like movement remains to be
observed directly.

A different mechanism that has been described by the
term ‘tractoring’ is in sea urchin gastrulation and consists of
apical protrusions of cells ‘dragging’ themselves centripet-
tally, forcing the cells into centripetal-leaning orientations
and consequentially bending the epithelium (figure 6d)
[67]. This process is most explicitly modelled as contractile
apical cell extensions in a second paper that uses the term
‘tractoring’ [68], and we here rename this process as ‘apical
bunching’ (figure 6d), with the word ‘bunching’ conveying
the idea of gathering together (of cell apices) by squeezing
from the outside (by neighbouring cells’ apical protrusions
extended laterally). Apical bunching differs from vertical
telescoping in that bunching drives shape change without
vertical displacement, whereas vertical telescoping is con-
versonally defined as vertical shear without shape change.
However, these definitions are theoretical: in practice, lateral
 crawling of apical protrusions could simultaneously both
deform and depress neighbouring cells (figure 6d). Apical
bunching also differs from apical constriction because in
bunching, force is extrinsic to the deformed cell, whereas in
constriction, it is intrinsic.
Jacobson et al. [66] had also suggested basal protrusions of cells in the neural plate advanced laterally along the basal lamina, reaching underneath their neighbours. One effect of this appears to be to laterally compress these cells at their bases, driving the neural fold to evaginate (creating a concave invagination-like bend in the adjacent part of the neural plate passively). This could be described as ‘basal bunching’ as opposed to apical bunching, yet there are still no clear live observations of this phenomenon experimentally to confirm its existence.

8. Suprabasal intercalation: bending a multilayered epithelium

Most of the above mechanisms concern either monolayers or pseudostratified epithelia; therefore one remaining mystery is how a stratified epithelium, which very often appears in early organogenesis, such as in tooth placode, hair follicle and mammary gland, bends into a bud or tube-shaped organ primordium. A recent study showed that, in these bending epithelia, actin and phosphorylated myosin are not enriched apically in the wedge-shaped basal layer cells, and nuclei are not predominantly basally located [70]. Hence, neither apical constriction nor basal wedging seem to be involved in this process.

Theoretically, locally elevated proliferation, and more specifically stratification, of cells above the basal layer has been proposed to be sufficient to drive ‘down growth’ of an epithelium (figure 7) [71]; indeed, examination of spindle orientation in the molar tooth, one of the largest epithelial organ placodes, showed that cell division in the placode occurs perpendicular to the plane of the tissue, creating the suprabasal cells (figure 7b) [72]. However, a priori, stratification would be expected to thicken an epithelium both upwards and downwards, or even just upwards if the underlying (mesenchymal) tissue were stiff. Moreover, experimentally, it was also discovered in the same piece of work that stratification alone is not enough to drive invagination and inhibition of proliferation does not inhibit invagination [72]. In other words, ‘down growth’ is an inadequate description for early placode invagination. Instead, suprabasal cells were found to generate the essential bending tension, as revealed by observation of elevated actin and phosphomyosin, cell intercalation movements and recoil upon physical cutting [70]. The planar tension created in suprabasal layers by intercalation movements and recoil upon physical cutting by observation of elevated actin and phosphomyosin, cell found to generate the essential bending tension, as revealed for early placode invagination. Instead, suprabasal cells were instead, ‘down growth’ is an inadequate description for early placode invagination. Instead, suprabasal cells were found to generate the essential bending tension, as revealed by observation of elevated actin and phosphomyosin, cell intercalation movements and recoil upon physical cutting [70]. The planar tension created in suprabasal layers by intercalation movements and recoil upon physical cutting by observation of elevated actin and phosphomyosin, cell found to generate the essential bending tension, as revealed for early placode invagination. Instead, suprabasal cells were found to generate the essential bending tension, as revealed by observation of elevated actin and phosphomyosin, cell intercalation movements and recoil upon physical cutting [70]. The planar tension created in suprabasal layers by suprabasal intercalation: bending a multilayered epithelium

Rather than focus, for example, on biomechanical aspects of epithelial bending [1,2] or comprehensively review epithelial morphogenesis as a whole [3], we have provided a sketch of a variety of cell systems that by coordinated ensemble behaviours generate the required anatomy. For some of these, there is some understanding of molecular mechanisms, but for most, the connection between subcellular molecular processes and supracellular tissue-level outcomes remains crude. However, what is clear is that it is illuminating to consider the mechanism at a supracellular or multicellular scale. By considering epithelial invaginations in this way as systems of cells, the dazzling variety of developmental events may be reducible to a small number of tractable motifs. Identifying and characterizing these motifs (even with variations) thus becomes a feasible agenda for both experimental and theoretical progress.
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