Vascularization is crucial for solid tumour growth and invasion, providing metabolic support and sustaining metastatic dissemination. It is now accepted that ion channels and transporters play a significant role in driving the cancer growth at all stages. They may represent novel therapeutic, diagnostic and prognostic targets for anti-cancer therapies. On the other hand, although the expression and role of ion channels and transporters in the vascular endothelium is well recognized and subject of recent reviews, only recently has their involvement in tumour vascularization been recognized. Here, we review the current literature on ion channels and transporters directly involved in the angiogenic process. Particular interest will be focused on tumour angiogenesis in vivo as well as in the different steps that drive this process in vitro, such as endothelial cell proliferation, migration, adhesion and tubulogenesis. Moreover, we compare the ‘transportome’ system of tumour vascular network with the physiological one.

1. Introduction

Endothelium is a multifaceted and dynamic interface between blood components and tissues. Several diseases are due to the altered function of endothelial cells (ECs), which mediate the control of metabolism, water supply, inflammation and immune response. The importance of vascularization in tumour progression sparked hopes that manipulating this process could offer therapeutic opportunities [1,2]. Nowadays, hundreds of thousands of patients benefit of antiangiogenic therapies, approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, that focus on vascular endothelium growth factor (VEGF) as a major drug target. The anti-VEGF antibody (bevacizumab (Avastin)) and multi-targeted pan-VEGF receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors are used in combination with chemotherapy, cytokine therapy or radiotherapy for several advanced metastatic cancers [3]. On the other hand, despite promising results, emerging data indicate that responses to vascular targeting therapy (VTT) are short-lived, and resistance develops in the majority of patients.

A possible reason for this partial failure may be the high instability of EC within the tumour. It is now well established that normal and altered EC are highly heterogeneous in structure and function, owing to genetic modifications and the variability of the local microenvironment [4,5]. The basic properties of EC obtained from different human tumours (tumour-derived EC, TEC) have been investigated only recently by a limited number of groups [6–9]. TEC isolated and cultured from human kidney (RTEC) and breast carcinomas (BTEC) on the basis of membrane markers exhibit altered genotype, gene expression, phenotype and function. They are often aneuploid, display chromosomal instability avoid senescence, a process typical of normal EC, and display enhanced proliferation, motility and tubulogenic potential [6,9–12]. Moreover, TEC-mediated intracellular signalling is quite different from that observed in normal human microvascular EC (figure 1). Interestingly, proangiogenic Ca2+ signals and their related pathways (mediated by AA, NO, H2S) are significantly altered in TEC compared with normal EC [13–15]. As an example, both AA- and H2S-mediated Ca2+ signals are involved in promigratory effects in TEC, but not in normal EC (figure 1).
Being involved in nearly all of the ‘hallmarks of cancer’ as defined by Hanahan & Weinberg [16], there is an increasing consensus on the idea that ion channels and transporters could play a significant role in driving cancer progression at all stages. They may be seen as potential novel therapeutic, diagnostic and prognostic targets for anti-cancer therapies. Nonetheless, although the expression and role of ionic channels and transporters (collectively indicated as ‘trasportome’) in the vascular endothelium is well recognized and subject of a number of recent reviews [17–20], ‘trasportome’ entered only recently as a major player in tumour vascularization [21,22].

Here, we discuss current literature focused on trasportome and angiogenesis. Moreover, starting from a critical review of the experimental data obtained so far in vitro and in vivo, we try to define the most promising checkpoints at which tumour vascular transportome differs from the physiological one.

2. Voltage-gated channels

Although ECs are generally described as non-excitable cells, a number of experimental evidences suggest a role for voltage-dependent channels (VOCs) in both cultured and freshly isolated EC [22]. On the other hand, the role of VOCs in tumour progression has been largely described and different data point to Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup> and Ca<sup>2+</sup> channels as key players, suitable to be specifically potential target in clinical treatments [23].
K⁺ channels (Kᵥ) attracted most of the work in oncology since the early discovery unveiling their role in the control of cell proliferation [24,25]. Ether-à-go-go-1 (EAG1, KCNHI, Kᵥ10.1) is a CNS-localized voltage-gated K⁺ channel that is found ectopically expressed in many solid tumours [25]. Monoclonal antibodies against human EAG1, developed by Stuhmer’s and Pardo’s groups, might represent a suitable tool in cancer therapy [26]. Kᵥ10.1 expression might offer an advantage to tumours through increased vascularization and resistance to hypoxia: indeed, EAG1 regulates cellular oxygen homeostasis, increasing HIF-1 activity, and thereby VEGF secretion and tumour vascularization [27]; accordingly, EAG1 silencing inhibits tumour growth and angiogenesis in osteosarcoma in vivo [28] (table 1 and figure 2).

A promising issue is related to other K⁺ channels, such as human ether-a-gogo related gene-1 (hERG1)-Kᵥ11 [25,70,71]; Pillozzi et al. [72] showed that hERG1 channels regulate vEGF-a expression and VEGF-A secretion in cancer cells potentially promoting angiogenesis. Moreover, the levels of VEGF-A, hERG1, microvessel density and proliferation-related parameters are cross-linked in two cases of levels of VEGF-A, hERG1, microvessel density and proliferation in cancer such as human ether-a-gogo related gene-1 (hERG1)-Kᵥ11 sensitive KV channels causes Ca²⁺ entry, increase in NO synthesis, finally resulting in EC growth enhancement [50] (table 1 and figure 2). All together, the data point out an important role for K⁺ channels in the crosstalk between cancer cells and tumour endothelium by VEGF release enhancement. This particular function of K⁺ channels makes them clinically interesting as potential targets to promote vascular ‘normalization’ during a critical window of the antiangiogenic treatments (see also §8).

Voltage-gated Na⁺ channels (VGSCs) are also expressed in non-excitable cells and functionally upregulated in metastatic tumour cells [73–75]. Recently, a clear relationship between functional expression and biological role of VGSCs in EC has been described [36]. The main functional VGSC isoforms in HUVEC are Nav1.5 and Nav1.7. VGSC activity potentiates VEGF-induced ERK1/2 activation by attenuating membrane depolarization, altering [Ca²⁺][i] kinetics and PKC activity and thus increasing cellular proliferation, chemotaxis and tubulogenesis [36] (table 1 and figure 2). Moreover, Ca²⁺ influx through reverse mode sodium–calcium exchanger (NCX) is required for PKC activation and targeting to the plasma membrane, as well as for VEGF-induced ERK1/2 phosphorylation and downstream EC functions in angiogenesis [39] (see also §7). The data unveil an intriguing mechanism for the control of Vm in non-excitable cells by VGSCs in response to physiological stimuli in vitro.

Regarding voltage-gated Ca²⁺ channels (VGCCs), most of the studies have been conducted on human breast carcinoma cell lines, which actually express VGCCs, mainly of the T-type [76–78]. Nevertheless, the role and expression of VGCCs in endothelium are still debated [3,7,23]. Conflicting data could arise from the use of different EC lines and their variable behaviour. In HUVEC, angiotensin II stimulates Ca²⁺ influx via Cav and promotes cell migration [37]. On the other hand, Cav expressed by VSMCs could play an antiangiogenic role through indirect effects on EC: nifedipine, an inhibitor of L-type calcium channels, stimulates VEGF production from human coronary SMCs, an effect abolished by PKC inhibitors and a bradykinin B2 receptor antagonist [79] (table 1 and figure 2).

3. Transient receptor potential proteins and STIM1-ORAI1 complex

Transient receptor potential (TRP) channels trigger Ca²⁺ signals that control the initiation and progression of cancer. It is not therefore surprising that the expression and function of some TRP proteins are altered during carcinogenesis [21,80]. TRPs are widely expressed in endothelium and their activity is related to normal and tumour vascularization [17,18]. TRP-mediated Ca²⁺ influx can be triggered by the release from intracellular Ca²⁺ stores, giving rise to store-operated Ca²⁺ entry (SOCE), or alternatively by the store-independent Ca²⁺ entry (NSOCE) [81]. VEGF mediates NSOCE through TRPC6 in human microvascular EC [60,82]. Dominant negative TRPC6 significantly reduces EC number, migration, tubulogenesis and sprouting [31,44]. Phosphatase and tensin homologue (PTEN) regulates cell surface expression of TRPC6, and consequently Ca²⁺ entry, endothelial permeability and angiogenesis in human pulmonary EC [59] (table 1 and figure 2). TRPC6 can also exert its proangiogenic role indirectly through its activity on cancer cells. In glioma cells from glioblastoma multiforme, inhibition of hypoxia enhanced TRPC6 expression and Nfat activation, markedly reducing the number of branch points in EC grown in conditioned medium harvested from glioma cells [53] (table 1 and figure 2).

Other groups reported a role of VEGF-mediated SOCE owing to TRPC1 in the enhancement of HMVEC and HUVEC permeability [56–58]. Remarkably, TRPC1 is proangiogenic in vitro: knockdown of zebrafish TRPC1 by morpholinos caused severe angiogenic defects in intersegmental vessel sprouting, presumably owing to impaired filopodia extension during EC migration [62] (table 1 and figure 2).

This ability of VEGF to activate different channels could simply depend on tissue variability, especially between small capillaries and large vessels. Accordingly, the pattern of TRPCs expressed in HMVEC and HUVEC is different, TRPC4 being undetectable in HMVEC [31].

Besides TRPC1 and TRPC6, also ORAI1 and STIM1, components of the so-called calcium release activated currents (CRAC) channels, concur to the VEGF-mediated SOCE in HUVEC [33,34]. VEGF stimulation promotes STIM1 clustering and ORAI1 activation [34]. Moreover, knockdown of ORAI1 inhibits VEGF-mediated HUVEC migration, proliferation and tubulogenesis [33–35]. On the other hand, thrombin-induced decrease in EC permeability requires STIM1, but is unrelated to ORAI1 and Ca²⁺ entry [61] (table 1 and figure 2).

Interestingly, STIM1, as well as TRPC1 and TRPC4 knockdown, inhibits tube formation in both HUVEC and EA.hy926 cells [52].

Because VEGF regulates several activities in EC, the discovery of a specific role for each channel in selected cell functions, such as migration, proliferation and permeability, could let us to overcome the use of the broad VEGFR inhibitors (see also §8).

TRPV4 is another emerging player in angiogenesis. The availability of highly selective antagonists for this channel makes it a promising molecular target for antiangiogenic treatments [83]. TRPV4 is widely expressed in the vascular...
Table 1. Ion channels and carriers involved in the different phases of angiogenesis. HMEC, human microvascular EC; HPAEC, human pulmonary artery EC; HUVEC, human umbilical vein EC; EA.hy926, EC line derived from HUVEC fused with human lung adenocarcinoma cell line A549; PAEC, porcine aortic endothelial cells; BTEC, tumour-derived EC from breast carcinoma; HSVEC, heart endothelioma (H5V) EC; MAEC, mouse aortic EC; EPC, endothelial progenitor cells; RCC-EPC, EPC isolated from renal carcinoma patients; Numbers in parenthesis indicate the respective reference number.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channels/Transporters</th>
<th>TRPC1</th>
<th>TRPC3</th>
<th>TRPC6</th>
<th>TRPC1,4,5</th>
<th>TRPV4</th>
<th>TRPM2</th>
<th>TRPM7</th>
<th>Orai1/Stim1</th>
<th>Nav1</th>
<th>Cav1</th>
<th>K1.3-H</th>
<th>VRAC</th>
<th>NHE1</th>
<th>NCX</th>
<th>ADP1</th>
<th>nAChR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

References: [29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70].
endothelium where it acts as a mechanosensor during changes in cell morphology, cell swelling and shear stress [83–86]. Both shear stress and agonist-activation of TRPV4 enhance EC proliferation as well as collateral growth after arterial occlusion [45]. We recently provided strong evidences about the role of TRPV4 in AA-mediated TEC migration: it is upregulated in angiogenesis.
BTEC and RTEC compared with dermal HMEC and normal kidney glomerular EC [32]. AA-activated TRPV4 is essential for BTEC migration: its loss results in decreased Ca$^{2+}$ responses to the TRPV4-specific agonist 4a-phorbol 12,13-diadecanoate and in a complete inhibition of AA-induced migration. The mechanism by which AA regulates TRPV4 was also revealed in BTEC. AA remodels actin and triggers TRPV4 recruitment in the plasma membrane, finally leading to BTEC migration [32] (table 1; figures 1 and 2).

However, as previously stated, TRPV4 is ubiquitous in healthy vascular endothelium and plays a physiological role both in large arteries and microvessels: these relevant activities require careful consideration of its therapeutic potential. On the other hand, an overexpression of TEC could be exploited for a tumour-targeted therapy based on lower inhibiting doses of TRPV4 antagonists which could selectively affect TEC and not normal EC.

A number of cellular stress factors, including hypoxia, nutrient deprivation and reactive oxygen species, are important stimuli for angiogenic signalling [87]. TRPM2 promotes macrovascular pulmonary EC permeability in an H$_2$O$_2$-dependent manner. TRPM2 knockdown or overexpression of the TRPM2 short isoform (a dominant negative for TRPM2 long isoform) significantly reduces the H$_2$O$_2$-mediated increase of paracellular permeability and cell death in H5V EC [46,88] (table 1 and figure 2). These data open the exciting possibility of targeting TRPM2 for endothelial protection against ROS-induced cell damage [89].

Finally, TRPM7, a Ca$^{2+}$- and Mg$^{2+}$-permeable channel that regulates Mg$^{2+}$ homeostasis, is involved in a number of vascular disorders such as hypertension and dysfunction of endothelial and smooth muscle cells [90]. A notable feature of TRPM7 is the presence of a kinase domain at its C-terminus, making TRPM7 unique among ion channels, and allowing its involvement both in cellular Mg$^{2+}$ homeostasis and broad signalling [91]. TRPM7 acts negatively on HUVEC proliferation and migration, whereas its functions on HMEC seem to be different [47–49] (table 1 and figure 2).

In addition to canonical angiogenesis, tumour vascularization may be supported by bone marrow (BM)-derived endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) incorporating within sprouting neovessels. This feature hinted at EPC inhibition as a novel therapeutic target to pursue along with antiangiogenic treatments [1,87]. Suppression of Orai1 in EPC prevents SOCE and tubule formation [34,92]. Moreover, EPCs isolated from RCC patients (RCC-EPCs) display an increased SOCE, which correlates with Orai1, Stim1 and TRPC1 overexpression when compared with EPCs from healthy patients: genetic suppression of Stim1, Orai1 and TRPC1 affects SOCE in RCC-EPCs [29]. TRPC1 regulates proliferation and migration of EPCs isolated from rats BM [30] (see also table 1 and figure 2).

### 4. Nicotinic receptors

$nAChR$ are homo- or hetero-pentameric ion channels activated by endogenous acetylcholine or exogenous agonists such as nicotine [93]. ECs express most of the known mammalian $nAChR$ subunits [41,55,94]. In particular, $a7$ $nAChR$ mediates the main effects of nicotine on EC, such as proliferation, survival, migration, tube formation and intracellular signalling. Interestingly, $a9$ and $a7$ $nAChRs$ exert opposing effects on nicotine-induced cell proliferation and survival [41,42,69].

Exposure to nicotine upregulates $a7$-$nAChR$ and pharmacological inhibition of $a7$-$nAChR$ by mecamylamine or a-bungarotoxin significantly and reversibly reduces EC tubulogenesis in vitro. Even more importantly, pharmacological inhibitors or genetic disruption of $a7$-$nAChR$ significantly suppress neo-angiogenesis in inflammation, ischaemia and neoplasia in several models. The angiogenic effect of $nAChR$ is exerted through MAPK, PI3K/Akt and NF-kB pathway; however, because $nAChR$-mediated angiogenesis is partially inhibited only in $a7$-$nAChR$-deficient mouse, other $nAChR$ isoforms are presumably involved [41]. Nicotine triggers neo-angiogenesis in breast, colon and lung tumour cells implanted in chick chorioallantoic membranes and promotes b-FGF release through the recruitment of nicotinic receptor, v3 integrin and MAPK pathway [94–96]. The ability of nicotine to promote late EPCs proliferation, migration, adhesion and tubulogenesis strongly suggests that its role is not restricted to mature EC [43] (table 1 and figure 2)

### 5. Volume-regulated anion channels

Resting normal EC expresses volume-regulated anion channels (VRACs), mainly permeable to chloride ions and activated by osmotic cell swelling and shear stress. Endothelial VRACs are open in resting conditions and contribute to the maintenance of the resting potential in non-stimulated cells, in addition to K$^+$ channels [22].

VRAC blockers (Mibebradil, NPPB, tamoxifen and Clomiphene) inhibit tube formation of rat and human microvascular EC and are strongly antiangiogenic in vivo [54] (table 1). Although the mechanism of VRACs involvement in angiogenesis has not been clarified yet, one possible explanation is that endothelial VRACs are partially activated under isosmotic conditions and provide a background Cl$^-$ conductance that contributes to the setting of the resting membrane potential in association with K$^+$ currents. Depending on the membrane potential and equilibrium potential for Cl$^-$, VRAC activation can cause either depolarization or hyperpolarization, affecting the driving force for calcium and thus indirectly regulating calcium signalling shape [97].

### 6. Water channels

Aquaporins (AQPs) allow passive water flow in response to local osmotic gradients. They contribute to epithelial secretion and absorption, and cell volume regulation. Ectopic AQP expression is associated with several human cancers [24,98]. In particular, AQP1 is involved in cell motility and tumour vascularization [65,99,100]: its expression in tumour cells and vessels is variable being dependent not only on the origin of the tumour, but also on its location in the host animal. This observation strengthens the inductive role of the microenvironment on tumour features.

Interestingly, AQP1 is upregulated in human brain tumours: little or no AQP1 expression is found in normal human brain microvessel endothelium, consistently with its general low permeability. On the other hand, vascular AQP1 expression increases with the progression from normal brain to low-grade to high-grade astrocytoma [66]. Verkman and co-workers [67] studied AQP1 role in angiogenesis in vivo by implanting melanoma cells in AQP1
null mice and syngenic mice lacking AQP1. In both cases, the authors observed a markedly lower density of microvessels and the presence of islands of viable tumour cells surrounded by necrotic tissue compared with control mice. Functional analyses on mouse aortic EC isolated from AQP1 null mice and wild-type mice revealed an impaired migration, invasiveness and capability to form capillary-like structures in matrigel [67]. On the other hand, intratumoural injections of AQP1 siRNAs in a mouse model of melanoma suggest that AQP1 inhibition can hamper tumour growth significantly lowering microvessel density [40]. Vascular AQP1 is also overexpressed in both human and rodent chronic liver disease. AQP1 promotes angiogenesis, fibrosis and portal hypertension through mechanisms dependent on osmotically sensitive microRNAs, as revealed on human and mouse hepatic EC [68]. Finally, microvessel overexpression of AQP1 is associated with BM angiogenesis in patients with active multiple myeloma [51] (table 1 and figure 2).

7. Carriers
Besides the role of ion channels, extensive evidence points out the involvement of carriers and transporters in tumour progression [101,102].

(a) Sodium–proton exchanger
It is well recognized that pathological elevations of pHi can concur to some features of malignant cells [103]. All tumours share an altered regulation of hydrogen ion dynamics and tumour progression correlates with the peculiar acid–base balance in cancer cells: an extracellular acid microenvironment (pHe, 6.2–6.9 versus 7.3–7.4 of normal cells) linked to an alkaline intracellular pH (pHi, 7.12–7.7 versus 6.99–7.05 of normal cells). Because NHE is a universal and conserved regulator of cellular proton balance, it received great attention. Through its action, the inwardly directed Na\(^+\) gradient can drive the uphill extrusion of protons that drives pHi alkalization and pHe acidification [103].

The highly hypoxic tumour microenvironment hyper-activates NHE1 and, because specific NHE1 inhibitors (cariporide) are available, some authors propose them for innovative combination trials with antiangiogenic drugs. Low concentrations of cariporide can lead to a decrease in pHi and downregulation of VEGF. Moreover, exposure to cariporide inhibits HUVEC proliferation and migration promoted by conditional medium from K562 leukaemia cells. In vivo experiments directly confirmed that inhibition of NHE1 by cariporide could affect tumour growth and angiogenesis [104]. Blocking NHE1 reduces VEGF release from the tumour cells suggesting that, in addition to being stimulated by hypoxia, VEGF production and angiogenesis are linked to acidic pHe and to the NHE1-dependent changes in pH [38]. Systemic amiloride perfusion also reduced neovascularization experimentally induced in an animal model, probably through inhibition of NHE1 [105].

(b) Sodium–calcium exchanger
Sodium influx mediated by non-selective cation channels can lead to its accumulation beneath the plasma membrane. This event may increase [Ca\(^{2+}\)]i by locally inverting (3Na\(^+\) out: 1 Ca\(^{2+}\) in) the operation mode of NCX [64].

As already described above (paragraph on VSCCs), an intriguing example has been described in HUVEC, in which a coupling between NCX and VGSCs occurs [39].

8. Conclusion
Since the seminal hypothesis proposed by Judas Folkman in the 1970s, interference with tumour vascularization has been considered a key therapeutic opportunity in cancer treatment [2]. Unfortunately, despite promising results, VTT appear short-lived and resistance develops in the majority of patients [106]. The relative inefficacy of VTT may be due to several reasons.

More suitable preclinical cancer models are required in oncological practice. As previously stated, vessels in cancer significantly differ from normal vasculature, and the instability of EC within the tumour is a relevant feature. To this purpose, the use of TEC seems a more appropriate model compared with the normal EC. We expect that more detailed studies on the ‘transportome’ in tumour vascularization using the aforementioned models (besides the EC models already in use) will give new input in unveiling the differences in signalling, transcriptome profiles and vascular ‘ZIP codes’ and will likely prove to be important for understanding the conversion of normal ECs into tumour-associated ECs. As a preliminary example, overexpression of TRPV4 in TEC [32] could be useful for selectively targeted therapy using lower doses of channel antagonists which affect TEC reducing secondary undesired effects on normal EC.

Another high priority challenge is the research of novel molecular anti-vascular targets (related or unrelated to VEGF signalling). The evaluation of their clinical potential, in particular as combination therapy with current VEGF (receptor) inhibitors, is likely to expand the antiangiogenic armamentarium. In particular, it could be useful to narrow the field of action for VEGF-mediated targeted therapy. In this context, the recent interest in human ‘transportome’ involvement in tumour vascularization is a promising field, because several members are activated downstream of the recruitment of VEGF receptors. For example, whereas the interference with the bulk VEGF signalling alters the activity of a multitude of different cells and functions, targeting TRPC6 or Orai1 may affect only EC migration and proliferation [31,34,44,53,92], whereas TRPC1 and STIM1 may selectively influence vascular permeability [56–58,61]. It is worth noting that channels and transporters are widely distributed and ubiquitous. This feature has to be carefully taken in account when considering them as clinical targets. This problem could be overcome by directed targeted therapies taking advantage from nano-biomedicine: for example, nanoparticle functionalization with peptide cyclic RGD for angiogenesis-specific targeting [107] together with a specific channel modulator could be successfully used.

On the other hand, the ubiquitous expression of the channels could be used as a positive feature, owing to the redundancy of the signalling pathways which regulates the different hallmarks of cancer: in other words, the use of specific channels to selectively co-target different key steps of carcinogenesis besides tumour vascularization, could result in more effective and long-lasting therapies. For example, TRPC6 channels targeting could affect VEGF release from tumour cells as well as EC migration and tumour vascularization [31,44,53].
Another important issue is the therapeutic potential of sustained vessel normalization to suppress metastasis and enhance chemotherapy. Indeed, several preclinical studies have revealed that the high levels of VEGF in tumours induce vessel abnormalities. It is reasonable to postulate that these vessel abnormalities could be decreased by lowering VEGF signalling. VEGF-targeted therapy induces characteristic features of vessel normalization, including reduced number and size of immature tumour vessels and increased pericyte coverage, together with decreased permeability, oedema and interstitial fluid pressure [108]. Interfering with K⁺ channels, such as EAG1 and hERG1, TRPC6 channels or NHE exchange on tumour cells could be useful to promote vascular ‘normalization’ by interfering with VEGF signalling during a critical window of the antiangiogenic treatments.

Finally, even if big efforts have been produced in the past years in order to characterize and study the involvement of transportome in cancer cell biology, and in particular in tumour vascularization, the field is relatively novel. The scientific interest on this topic is largely increasing as pointed out by a PubMed search. The research on transportome and cancer is expected to expand even more in the next decade, and we believe that the oncogenic roles of channels, as well as the molecular mechanisms responsible for their regulation, will be largely unveiled.
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