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Endocannabinoids (eCBs) act as modulators of synaptic transmission through activation of a number of receptors, including, but not limited to, cannabinoid receptor 1 (CB1). eCBs share CB1 receptors as a common target with Δ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), the main psychoactive ingredient in marijuana. Although THC has been used for recreational and medicinal purposes for thousands of years, little was known about its effects at the cellular level or on neuronal circuits. Identification of CB1 receptors and the subsequent development of its specific ligands has therefore enhanced our ability to study and bring together a substantial amount of knowledge regarding how marijuana and eCBs modify interneuronal communication. To date, the eCB system, composed of cannabinoid receptors, ligands and the relevant enzymes, is recognized as the best-described retrograde signalling system in the brain. Its impact on synaptic transmission is widespread and more diverse than initially thought. The aim of this review is to succinctly present the most common forms of eCB-mediated modulation of synaptic transmission, while also illustrating the multiplicity of effects resulting from specializations of this signalling system at the circuit level.
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1. INTRODUCTION: SYNAPTIC ELEMENTS RESPONSIBLE FOR TRIGGERING THE RELEASE OF ENDOCANNABINOIDS

eCBs constitute molecules of lipidic nature that, released on demand from postsynaptic domains, are known to modulate synaptic transmission in a retrograde manner. Initial identification of the synaptic events responsible for eCB release was strongly supported by the finding that postsynaptic depolarization triggered GABA-mediated suppression of inhibition. In separate publications over the course of less than two years, the laboratories of Alain Marty and Brad Alger reported that postsynaptic depolarization triggered a decrease of GABAergic transmission in cerebellum [1] and hippocampus [2], termed DSI (depolarization-induced suppression of inhibition). This effect was shown to be expressed in the presynaptic domain, suggesting the existence of a retrograde mediator [3]. However, it was not until 2001 that the subcellular distribution of components of the eCB system were characterized, allowing for the conceptual framework to describe how eCBs act as retrograde transmitters [4]. In confluence with this, two critical studies from the laboratories of Roger Nicoll and Masanobu Kano directly demonstrated that cannabinoid signalling was in fact responsible for DSI [5,6]. Alongside, work from Wade Regehr's laboratory showed that reduced transmission at excitatory synapses in Purkinje neurons due to reductions in calcium influx also involved cannabinoids, and this was correspondingly named DSE (depolarization-induced suppression of excitation) [7], indicating that the phenomenon of cannabinoid-modulated transmission was even more widespread.

Because postsynaptic activity appeared necessary to trigger either DSI or DSE, the logical experimental continuum was to look for forms of synaptic activity that could re-create the required postsynaptic depolarization. Subsequently, a variety of ionotropic and G-protein-coupled receptors were shown to be capable of triggering eCB release. For G-protein-coupled receptors, a range of Gq/11-coupled receptors have been reported to mediate eCB release in the hippocampus, resulting in the suppression of inhibitory [10,11] and autaptic excitatory transmission [12]. Also dependent on CB1 activation, in the inferior olive, excitatory transmission is suppressed owing to the activation of Gq/11-coupled 5-hydroxytryptamine receptors [13], as well as in the dorsal raphe following application of orexin-B [14]. On the other hand,
Regarding ionotropic receptors, it was shown that activation of 2-amino-3-(3-hydroxy-5-methyl-isoxxazol-4-yl) propanoic acid (AMPA) receptors triggers eCB release [15], while application of N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) was found to trigger eCB-dependent suppression of inhibitory transmission onto cultured hippocampal neurons in a Ca\(^{++}\) sensitive manner [16]. Thus, there is great diversity in the types of receptors and forms of synaptic activity related to triggering eCB synthesis and release.

2. PRESYNAPTIC AND POSTSYNAPTIC TARGETS OF ENDOCANNABINOID ACTION

Although the experimental manipulations that initially evoked DSI performed by Marty and Alger in 1991 and 1992 focused on the postsynaptic domain, the results suggested the existence of a presynaptic target downstream capable of modulating neurotransmitter release [1,2,17]. At the time, however, there was not enough evidence to definitively point to the involvement of cannabinoids and the CB1 receptor in these phenomena. A few years prior to their work, the CB1 receptor had been identified [18], sequenced [19] and its global distribution in the brain characterized [20]. However, it was not until 1999 that ultra-structural characterization of the CB1 receptor demonstrated its localization to presynaptic sites [21], results that immediately preceded the electrophysiological evidence of Nicoll, Kano and Regehr, showing that pharmacological blockade of the CB1 receptor prevents DSI [5,6] and DSE [7]. The subsequent identification of endogenous ligands for the CB1 receptor, anandamide [22] and 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG) [23,24] permitted investigation of their hydrolytic and synthetic enzymes, furthering the construction of a solid conceptual framework essential to what today we understand as the cannabinoid retrograde signalling system.

It has become accepted that the majority of CB1 receptors are expressed on GABAergic terminals and, to a lesser degree, at glutamatergic terminals [25–27]. However, on the basis of immuno-labelling studies combined with either confocal or electron microscopy, they have also been reported to co-localize with acetylcholine- and catecholamine-synthesizing enzymes. For example, approximately, one-third of synaptic terminals in the frontal cortex that labelled positive for the CB1 receptor were found co-labelled for the norepinephrine-synthesizing enzyme dopamine (DA)-beta-hydroxylase [28]. This finding was crucial to understanding a previous report in which systemic application of the CB1 agonist WIN55,212-2 evoked an increase in levels of norepinephrine in the frontal cortex [29,30]. Expression of CB1 receptor at DA terminals has also been reported [31], including a study done in teleost fish in which eCBs evoked DA release independently of the involvement of GABAergic mechanisms [32]. Adding even more complexity to this picture are studies demonstrating that CB1 receptors are also expressed in glial cells [33–38] and on postsynaptic domains [39–42]. In the cerebral cortex, autocrine activation of postsynaptic CB1 receptors by 2-AG evokes potassium conductance-mediated long-lasting self-inhibition of a specific subset of interneurons [42–44]. Together, these studies constitute evidence that CB1 receptor activation involves a variety of modalities that coexist with its most commonly described function as modulator of release at GABAergic and glutamatergic terminals.

Although many signalling cascades have been described resulting from its activation, the CB1 receptor is best-known for binding to members of the G\(_{\text{q/11}}\) group of G proteins, resulting in decreased cyclic AMP (cAMP) production and protein kinase A (PKA) activation. Ultimately, these changes trigger a decrease in calcium influx and an increase in potassium conductance at presynaptic sites, resulting in a reduction in neurotransmitter release. However, a number of studies report the existence of additional signalling cascades to which CB1 is coupled, establishing an even wider array of possible effects that can result from its activation. For example, the synthetic CB1 receptor agonist WIN55,212-2 has been shown to trigger an increase in intracellular Ca\(^{++}\) that is dependent on G\(_{\text{q/11}}\) activation in a heterologous expression system and cultured hippocampal neurons [45]. In striatal neurons, CB1 receptor activation leads to an increase in intracellular concentrations of cAMP, mediated by coupling of CB1 to a G\(_{\text{s}}\) protein [46]. In astrocytes, activation of CB1 by eCBs points to activation of G\(_{\text{q/11}}\) and subsequent activation of phospholipase C [35,36]. Making the range of responses derived from CB1 receptor activation even more diverse, it has recently been demonstrated that co-expression of opioid and CB1 receptors can attenuate the potency at which each of these receptors would otherwise respond individually; i.e. co-activation of CB1 and \(\mu\) opioid receptors in heterologous expression systems and in rat striatal membranes reduces the potency conveyed by the activation of CB1 receptor alone or \(\mu\) opioid receptor alone [47]. Similar interactions have also been identified between CB1 and \(\delta\) opioid receptors, ‘enhancing the repertoire of GPCR (G-protein-coupled receptor) signalling’ [48]. Additional downstream targets of the CB1 receptor also include receptor tyrosine kinases and mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK), among others [49–51].

Although the most remarkable target of eCB action impacting synaptic transmission is the presynaptic CB1 receptor, the development of pharmacological tools has greatly furthered the potential to elucidate the role of eCBs in modifying synaptic plasticity in a manner not related to CB1 receptors. Probably the best example of this is the transient receptor potential channel subfamily V member 1 (TRPV1) receptor, which is sensitive to capsaicin as well as to high temperatures. Expression of TRPV1 channels has been demonstrated in glial cells as well as on presynaptic and postsynaptic neuronal domains [52–54]; its interaction with the eCB system has received considerable attention [55–57] and a number of studies have evidenced the role of presynaptic TRPV1 and cannabinoid in the modulation of synaptic transmission [58–62]. One of the most recent and significant advances regarding eCB-mediated synaptic plasticity is the identification of transient receptor potential (TRP) channels as postsynaptic target for the endogenous cannabinoid anandamide [62–64]. The following
sections of this review will offer a condensed overview on the role these different targets play in mediating the actions of eCBs on synaptic plasticity.

3. SHORT-TERM SYNAPTIC PLASTICITY MEDITATED BY ENDOCANNABINOIDs

Hippocampal and cerebellar DSI and DSE as eCB-mediated modulatory events on synaptic transmission [5–7] were later reported in other brain areas as well, including basal ganglia and cerebral cortex. However, it was also shown that a feature common to DSI/DSE, namely the dependence on increased postsynaptic Ca\(^{++}\) concentrations, was not necessarily required for other mechanisms of induction of eCB activity. Specifically, eCB release triggered by activation of G\(_{q/11}\)-coupled receptors did not require increased postsynaptic calcium. Over time, DSI and DSE have become a reliable way to trigger eCB-mediated modulation of synaptic transmission as well as to identify axon terminals that might or might not be sensitive to the action of cannabinoid ligands (i.e. expressing CB1 receptor); so they have played a crucial role in identifying the molecular elements of the eCB system. However, increasing interest was developing in finding ways to evoke the release of eCBs via synaptic activity directly, as it meant coming a step closer to understanding endogenous physiological methods of triggering eCB-mediated plasticity [65].

A first step in this process involved demonstrating which eCBs were directly involved in causing DSI/DSE. As previously mentioned, two have been the most-studied (anandamide [22] and 2-AG [66–68]), and significant differences in their distribution, concentrations, and synthetic and hydrolytic pathways have been shown. By manipulating the synthetic and hydrolytic pathways for each, identification of the eCB involved in DSI/DSE was possible. Deletion of the a isoform of diacylglycerol lipase (DGL), the main enzyme involved in the synthesis of 2-AG, resulted in an inability to trigger eCB-mediated plasticity in cortex, striatum, cerebellum and hippocampus [69–71], while inhibition of the anandamide-hydrolysing enzyme fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) did not affect DSI [72], suggesting that anandamide was not involved in this phenomenon.

Following this, proving that eCBs could be released in an activity-dependent fashion became the key to demonstrating that neuronal activity resulting in eCB production could trigger short- or long-term influences on interneuronal communication. Given that activation of presynaptic CB1 results in reduced neurotransmitter release, an increase in the production of eCBs was expected to lead to short-term depression (STD). eCB-mediated STD (eCB-STD) is characterized by a reduction in the amplitude of postsynaptic potentials that usually manifests its maximum effect for a short time following induction, after which it recovers progressively over tens of seconds. Several stimulation paradigms for presynaptic terminals are now known to trigger short-term modulation of synaptic plasticity in an eCB-dependent manner, most of which require a repetitive pattern of stimulation known to enhance activation of metabotropic receptors (figure 1a). In the cerebellum, postsynaptic depolarization, pharmacological activation of mGluR1 and electrical stimulation of parallel fibres in the form of 50 pulses at 100 Hz have all been shown to trigger a reduction in excitatory neurotransmission from climbing fibres to PCs [8], an effect that is blocked by CB1 receptor antagonists. Note that this eCB-dependent form of STD refers to a heterosynaptic mechanism, i.e. synaptic activity from a type of afferent that ends up modulating synaptic input from a different afferent.

Shortly after this study, it was also shown that electrical stimulation of parallel fibres (consisting of 10 pulses...
at 50 Hz) could reduce excitatory neurotransmission from parallel fibres onto PCs, and that this event was dependent on the activation of CB1-receptors [15]. This constitutes a homosynaptic mechanism. As mentioned before, this study reported not only the involvement of mGluR1, but also of AMPA receptors, and that it required increases in postsynaptic Ca\(^{++}\) concentration. Interestingly, this same study showed that postsynaptic changes in Ca\(^{++}\) concentration occur in a local manner and that modulation of presynaptic release was therefore synapse-specific [15]. Such an observation is in agreement with previous studies showing that eCB signalling occurs in a limited spatial setting in which diffusion of eCBs extend approximately 20 \(\mu\text{m}\) from their site of release [5].

In 2004, a form of activity-dependent eCB-mediated suppression of inhibition was reported. In this case, electrical stimulation of parallel fibres in the form of 10 pulses at 100 Hz was sufficient to trigger transient depression of spontaneous inhibitory post synaptic currents (IPSCs) in PCs [73]. Although mGluR1 again emerged as the postsynaptic receptor involved in triggering the postsynaptic production of the eCB 2-AG, this mechanism did not require increases in Ca\(^{++}\) concentration. While certainly a multiplicity of factors can affect diffusion, this study reported that eCB signalling could spread to an adjacent neuron [73], which is in remarkable contrast with previous reports describing shorter distances of eCB action [5,8].

Another brain circuit in which eCB signalling has received special attention is the mesolimbic system [74]. In 2004, after demonstrating the presence of DSE in DA neurons of the ventral tegmental area (VTA) [75], this laboratory also reported that in vitro stimulation of cortical afferents (10 pulses at 5 Hz) was able to trigger suppression of excitation of VTA DA neurons in a CB1-receptor-dependent manner [76]. Even more interesting is that this same pattern of stimulation applied in vitro to the prefrontal cortex replicated such suppression of excitation. These effects on presynaptic excitatory afferents required the action of 2-AG released from DA neurons, which was shown to be Ca\(^{++}\)-dependent and mediated by mGluR1 [76].

### 4. LONG-TERM PLASTICITY MEDIATED BY ENDOCANNABINOIDS

As in the case of eCB-STD, the ability to modulate synaptic strength remained an attractive possibility for the action of eCBs via their activation of the CB1 receptor. More importantly, eCB-mediated long-term effects imply, by definition, long-lasting effects on synaptic strength, meaning that postsynaptic activity could modulate synaptic inputs on a longer time scale. eCB-mediated long-term depression (eCB-LTD) was first demonstrated in the striatum, where CB1 receptors were shown to be involved in activity-dependent plasticity years before. In this ground-breaking study, stimulation of striatal afferents (using four trains of 1 s duration at 100 Hz) was able to trigger LTD that lasted for over 20 min [77]. This effect also required a paired postsynaptic depolarization at the time of afferent electrical stimulation and was dependent on increased postsynaptic Ca\(^{++}\) concentrations [77]. It is now known that eCB-LTD can be triggered by a diverse set of patterns of stimulation that ranges from theta burst stimulation to long trains at 1 or 100 Hz, and has been demonstrated in a wide range of brain areas, including sensory cortex, hippocampus, amygdala, dorsal and ventral striatum, cerebellum, VTA, dorsal cochlear nucleus and superior colliculus (for a review, see [78]). As a result, a few common factors became clear on eCB-LTD. First, characteristics of presynaptic stimulation did not seem strikingly different from those related to eCB-STD. Second, coincident presynaptic/postsynaptic activity was often (but not necessarily) a critical component of the long-term effect.

As previously mentioned, a crucial implication of eCB-triggered long-term plasticity is its ability to regulate interneuronal communication on a prolonged temporal scale. A recent series of studies carried out in the laboratory of Pablo Castillo has shown not only the capacity of eCBs to evoke LTD of inhibitory transmission in the hippocampus, but also furthered our understanding of the mechanisms underlying how CB1 activation leads to long-term effects on synaptic activity [79–81]. Specifically, in a 2003 study, Castillo’s laboratory described how either high-frequency stimulation or theta burst stimulation of the excitatory Schaffer collaterals triggered a decrease in IPSCs at CA1 pyramidal neurons [79]. This effect was shown to be independent of ionotropic glutamate receptor activation and postsynaptic Ca\(^{++}\) increase, but requires mGluR group I receptor activation and synthesis of 2-AG. Also, in a key effort to clarify differences with eCB-mediated short-term plasticity, it was shown that inhibitory LTD requires continuous activation of the CB1 receptor for about 10 min [79]. In a later report, Castillo and his group reported how this eCB-induced inhibitory LTD is able to locally affect the ability of excitatory afferents to undergo long-term potentiation (LTP) [80]. Together, these results represent a novel and interesting eCB-mediated interaction between inhibitory and excitatory inputs: activation of excitatory afferents triggers LTP in a local manner while evoking inhibitory LTD in a larger area, subsequently facilitating LTP induction of nearby excitatory afferents [80]. Finally, a third article in this series characterized cAMP and PKA signalling as required to establish long-term but not short-term eCB-mediated effects on inhibitory inputs in the hippocampus and amygdala [81] (figure 1b) and that LTD requires active zone protein RIM1a [81]. Focusing on a different player, presynaptic NMDA receptors have been suggested as coincidence detector for the expression of eCB-LTD [82–84], bringing additional support to a critical role of the presynaptic domain for the consolidation of changes that result in long-term expression of eCB-mediated synaptic plasticity.

As mentioned already, TRPV1 receptors are recognized targets of eCB action. A TRPV1-mediated mechanism of LTD has been reported in both hippocampus and nucleus accumbens (NAc), two brain areas in which cannabinoid signalling is known to play a prominent role in modulation of synaptic strength. In the hippocampus, presynaptic stimulation
paired with postsynaptic depolarization at dentate granular cells triggered a long-term decrease in the amplitude of excitatory inputs from the medial perforant path [63]. This effect was blocked by a TRPV1 antagonist and not seen in Trpv1 knockout mice. More interestingly, yet, changes in presynaptic release were not detected, implying a postsynaptic effect that is likely to involve the removal of AMPA receptors. In addition, this form of LTD was still present while blocking 2-AG synthesis and also when a subthreshold induction protocol was used under conditions of reduced anandamide metabolism. Together, these results indicate that postsynaptic production of the eCB anandamide results in activation of postsynaptic TRPV1 receptors, which in turn promotes internalization of postsynaptic AMPA receptors in a long-term fashion [63]. Malenka’s group also studied TRPV1-mediated LTD, taking advantage of selective enhanced green fluorescent protein expression in D2-positive neurons of the NAc. This enabled differentiation of DA D1-receptor-positive medium spiny neurons (MSNs) corresponding to the so-called direct pathway and DA D1-receptor-positive MSNs corresponding to the ‘indirect pathway’. Electrical stimulation of MSN afferents by a low-frequency protocol triggered LTD in D2+ MSNs, but not in D2- MSNs. This effect required mGluR1 activation and was reduced but not abolished by application of either a CB1 antagonist alone, or a TRPV1 antagonist alone. However, co-application of the CB1 antagonist AM251 and the TRPV1 antagonist capsazepine prevented LTD [64]. As in the case of hippocampal TRPV1-mediated LTD, in this study, changes in presynaptic release were not detected when LTD was evoked under CB1 blockade, supporting a postsynaptic locus of action of TRPV1 channels. Adding up these possibilities, a form of long-lasting depression of excitatory inputs to interneurons of the stratum radiatum in the hippocampus has been recently described [85]. Strikingly, in this form of eCB-mediated plasticity, neither CB1 nor TRPV1 receptors are involved. While eCB-mediated long-term effects on synaptic transmission represent a key mechanism to influence long-lasting changes in neuronal communication, comprehensive characterization of the events involved in the presynaptic expression of LTD is still lacking.

5. SPECIALIZATIONS OF THE ENDOCANNABINOID SYSTEM AT THE CIRCUIT LEVEL

The overall role of eCB signalling could be described in terms of postsynaptic activation leading to on-demand synthesis and release of eCBs, which then mobilize to the presynaptic domain, resulting in CB1 receptor activation and reduced neurotransmitter release (either GABA or glutamate). However, there is also evidence from experimental models that in several circuits the eCB system acts as a specialized signalling system subserving precise modulatory roles. As a way to ponder these possibilities, a few examples are presented below.

In the nervous system, glial cells were long considered passive elements, their major role involving serving as structural support to neurons and their associated networks. In recent years, this idea has received a major overhaul, as today glial cells are considered key components of and players in synaptic communication. This applies to the role of glial cells in eCB signalling as well. In particular, a recent set of studies have shown the prominent role that astrocytes play in cannabinoideal signalling [35–38]. Strikingly, it was not only reported that the CB1 receptor is expressed in hippocampal astrocytes, but also that its activation results in an increase in intracellular Ca++ [35]. This effect was seen by locally applying puffs of either 2-AG, anandamide or the synthetic agonist WIN55,212-2, a result that indicates that the CB1-mediated Ca++ increase is not ligand-specific. Because hippocampal pyramidal neurons could constitute a source of eCBs, the authors also tested whether endogenous release of CB resulted in a similar effect to the one triggered by pressure puff application. They found that depolarization of pyramidal neurons did indeed evoke Ca++ increases in neighbouring astrocytes in a CB1-dependent manner, which also subsequently evoked glutamate-mediated inward currents in pyramidal neurons [35]. An extension of these results indicates a bimodal effect of eCBs on spatially segregated inputs to pyramidal neurons [36]: while eCBs depress synaptic inputs to the depolarized pyramidal neuron, they also evoke a Ca++ increase in and gluteamate release from astrocytes, which then potentiates synaptic transmission acting on presynaptic mGlur1 [36] (figure 2a). Even more recently, it has been shown that astrocytic CB1-dependent increase in Ca++ concentration determines the release of glutamate from astrocytes and subsequent activation of neuronal presynaptic NMDA receptors, resulting in time-dependent LTD in the sensory barrel cortex [37]. Also, it was found that genetic deletion of astrocytic CB1 prevents hippocampal CA3-CA1 LTD and alterations in working memory induced by acute exposure to Δ-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), which does not occur when expression of CB1 receptors is suppressed in gluta tergic and GABAergic neurons [38]. These results confirm the importance of astrocytic function in cannabinoid signalling and its involvement in a variety of phenomena, including synaptic depression, potentiation and even responsiveness to administration of exogenous cannabinoids.

Traditionally, the discussion of interneuronal communication has been abridged to consider only chemical synaptic transmission, in part because electrical synapses were considered to be static and non-modifiable. Formed by transmembrane proteins called connexins, gap junctions (GJs) are intercellular channels that constitute the structural substrate of electrical synapses. Identification and cloning of the neuron-specific connexin-36 (Cx36) drove an increase in our understanding of the distribution and function of electrical synapses [86,87]. As such, electrical synapses are known to date to be present in several areas of the mammalian brain [87,88], to be much more plastic than initially thought [89] and to actively interact with chemical synaptic transmission [90]. Cannabinoids have been shown to modulate electrical as well as chemical synaptic...
communication. The first effect of cannabinoids on electrical synapses described their modulation of intercellular communication between astrocytes in a CB1-receptor-independent fashion [91]. Subsequently, they have been shown to have a potentiating effect on electrical synapses in the goldfish Mauthner cell circuit, a classic model for the study of GJ-mediated electrical synaptic transmission [33]. In this study, it was shown that electrical stimulation of auditory afferents triggers the production and release of 2-AG from the postsynaptic neuron. The subsequent activation of the CB1 receptor thought to be present on nearby dopaminergic varicosities drives an increase in the availability of DA, which in turn enhances mixed (electrical and chemical) synaptic transmission in an effect mediated by activation of DA D1 receptor and enhancement of PKA activity [32] (figure 2b). In this case, the enhancement of DA release due to CB1 activation is thought to be dependent on coupling of the CB1 receptor to a G protein other than $G_{i/o}$.

Along with the identification and characterization of CB1 receptor ligands, vanilloid receptors have been recognized as targets of eCBs such as anandamide [56,92] and N-arachidonoyl-dopamine (NADA) [93]. This fact adds even more complexity to the list of possible effects triggered by eCB action. In previous sections of this review, it was mentioned that TRPV1 participates in triggering LTD in hippocampus [63] and enhances the effect mediated by CB1 in nucleus accumbens [64]. The possibility that an eCB might target both TRPV1 and CB1 receptors and thereby evoke a multifaceted response was reported a while ago. Initially, a phenomenon was reported in which anandamide, acting through TRPV1 receptors in the substantia nigra (SN), evoked an increase in the frequency of excitatory input to dopaminergic neurons [59]. A few years later, another study analysed the anatomical distribution of TRPV1 and CB1 and the effects of NADA on these receptors. NADA constitutes a vanilloid/eCB that acts with different potency over TRPV1 and CB1 compared with anandamide. Double immunolabelling analysis of TRPV1 and CB1 distribution evidenced colocalization in close contact with SN dopaminergic neurons [58]. More importantly, it was shown that NADA increased glutamatergic synaptic transmission through activation of TRPV1 receptors, decreased it through activation of CB1 receptors and that the effects on these two types of receptors depended strongly on NADA concentration levels. The authors speculated that whereas low levels of NADA activate TRPV1 and subsequent glutamate release, an increase in neuronal excitation would lead to higher levels of NADA and thus to activation of CB1 and its consequent inhibitory effects on glutamatergic neurotransmission. As portrayed in this section, the eCB system can display a number of mechanistic adaptations, both at the cellular level and at the level of the circuit, and thus can result in specialized ways to affect both inter-neuronal and glial-neuronal transmission.

6. CANNABINOID SIGNALLING AND MENTAL ILLNESS

Cannabis sativa (marijuana) has long been used for medicinal purposes for a diversity of ailments [94]. Although marijuana is generally used for the treatment of symptoms only, a number of alterations in the eCB system have also been identified in the pathophysiology of certain mental illnesses, indicating a potential role for their involvement in these diseases as well. Alterations in tissue expression of eCBs, altered distribution of CB1 or CB2 receptors or altered sensitivity to ligands are some of the characteristics manifest in disorders of the nervous system in which the eCB system has been found to be involved. These changes could, obviously, represent either primary alterations or compensatory mechanisms in response to primary
changes in other signalling systems or circuits. The eCB system has therefore been studied both as a pathophysiological mechanism and as a potential therapeutic possibility for disorders such as obesity, Parkinson’s, Huntington’s (HD) and Alzheimer’s diseases, multiple sclerosis, Tourette’s syndrome, schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and epilepsy, among others. A brief discussion of the eCB systems involvement in schizophrenia and HD will be presented as an example.

The history of cannabinoids and schizophrenia is rich and full of debate, marked by the controversy over whether cannabis consumption acts primarily as a form of self-medication or as an environmental risk factor for the disease [95–97]. Identification of the CB1 receptor and other components of the eCB system has allowed not only the development of new methods to characterize the relationship between schizophrenia and cannabis use, but also to better study cannabinoid pharmacotherapy in the treatment of psychosis. The rich distribution of the CB1 receptor in brain areas known to be involved in schizophrenia has pointed to the possibility that an altered eCB system could play a major role in either its pathophysiology or therapeutics. Increases in the expression of CB1 receptors have been described in the anterior cingulate cortex [98] and the posterior cingulate cortex of schizophrenia patients [99], areas considered to play key roles in cognitive processing. Also, increases in the capacity of CB1 receptor binding have been found in the dorsolateral area of the prefrontal cortex, as determined using radioactive ligands [100]. However, this result has not been shown to correlate with mRNA and CB1 protein measurements, in which a reduction in the level of both was detected in the dorsolateral area of prefrontal cortex [101]. Clearly, the reason for these conflicting results could in part be due to the significant limitations involved with studying post-mortem human brain tissue, the most notable of which is the difficulty in standardizing and making comparable factors such as exposure to antipsychotic treatment, history of cannabis consumption, genetic predisposition and inter-individual variations in the progression of the disease.

Increases in the level of anandamide have also been found in patients, both from blood samples [102] and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) [103]. Interestingly, the increase in anandamide levels in CSF were shown to inversely correlate with clinical expression of the symptoms of schizophrenia in non-medicated acute patients [103]. As increases in anandamide levels can be seen as a compensatory mechanism, it would support the view that cannabis use in patients with schizophrenia decreases levels of anandamide, which does not occur in healthy subjects [104]. Although studies in the phencyclidine (PCP) model of schizophrenia have shown increased levels of anandamide only in the NAc, increasing levels of anandamide led to amelioration of negative symptoms [105]. Specifically, treatment with the FAAH inhibitor URB597 (reducing hydrolysis of anandamide) reversed PCP-induced social withdrawal. However, treatment with the CB1 antagonist AM251 also decreased deficits in working memory evoked by PCP [105]. Numerous factors could influence potential comparisons among models, between models and findings in humans; the stage of the disease, exposure to antipsychotic treatment, specific tasks to which the animals could be exposed before sampling, all constitute additional factors contributing to population variability requiring examination prior to the extrapolation of scientific findings to the clinical setting.

HD is an inherited neurodegenerative condition in which motor control deficits coexist with impaired cognition and changes in personality and mood. Caused by a high number of CAG repeats in the Huntingtin (Htt) gene, most of the maladaptive histopathological, molecular and circuit changes affect selected cortical areas as well as MSNs in the striatum. Multiple signalling systems in the brain of HD patients are altered even before the clinical expression of the disease, including glutamate, DA, GABA, acetylcholine and eCB systems [106]. In fact, changes in CB1 receptor distribution constitute one of the earliest signs of molecular/cellular degeneration in the globus pallidus (GP) and SN of human HD brains [107]. Studies conducted in young animals (12 weeks-old) of the R6/1 mouse model of HD show reduced levels of mRNA in the striatum, together with decreased CB1 protein expression in the SN, but no differences in the GP or striatum. This same study shows decreases in CB binding in basal ganglia and increased levels of 2-AG in cerebral cortex, while anandamide levels are decreased in the hippocampus [108]. Another insightful study, in which labelling for CB1 and GABA receptors was performed in HD brains, shows that degeneration of striatal MSNs (which project to the GP) correlates with upregulation of different GABAA and GABAB receptor subunits in the GP. Thus, loss of CB1 receptors in the GP could constitute a compensatory mechanism to help increase GABAergic transmission at degenerating terminals [109]. However, studies in the R6/2 mouse model of HD show that decrease in CB1 density occurs even before changes in the levels of eCBs, suggesting that a decrease in CB1 expression might also constitute a primary event, instead of a compensatory one [110,111]. The fact that many of the HD rodent models are based on the expression of Htt with a specific number of CAG repeats likely represents a more accurate disease model of the cellular and circuit alterations occurring in the human form of the disease compared with, for example, schizophrenia. However, differences related to stage of progression, low or high number of repeats and the type of techniques used for the detection of CB1 or eCB levels still pose a difficulty when extrapolating studies to the pre-clinical setting.

7. CONCLUDING REMARKS
Characterization of the eCB system has certainly been the subject of great advances in the last two decades. Our knowledge has evolved from recognizing the CB1 receptor as the target for THC and being responsible for DSI and DSE, to identifying its role as a powerful and widespread modulator of synaptic
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strength. To date, the eCB system is considered the best characterized form of retrograde synaptic transmission. Short-term forms of plasticity induced by cannabinoids have been described in numerous brain areas in different organisms, accounting not only for our understanding of the hippocampus and cerebellum as the major sites of action for eCBs, but also suggesting that the eCB system itself is an ancient mechanism in evolutionary terms. However, our more global understanding of the role eCBs play in regulating behaviour and mental disease is just beginning. eCB-STD is thought to be involved in regulating over-excitability and promoting synaptic homeostasis, as the expression of the CB1 receptor is localized more prominently on inhibitory than excitatory terminals. Further identification of eCBs as modulators of the processes underlying long-term plasticity have prompted interest in this system’s involvement in processes such as learning and memory. While PKA activity or a potential NMDA-dependent increase in presynaptic Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations seem to be key players in forms of eCB-LTD, we still lack a complete mechanistic characterization of the molecules and events that describe the long-lasting influence of eCBs on synaptic depression. Expanding the traditional focus of CB1 action on interneuronal STD and LTD, numerous studies (from which for reasons of space we only mention a few) have reported eCB involvement in glia-mediated effects, plasticity of electrical synapses and concurrent activation of vanilloid receptors, among many others. These studies demonstrate that the eCB system can be considered functionally malleable and has established specializations leading to a much wider array of neuronal effects than the emblematic short- and long-term depression of glutamatergic and GABAergic transmission. It is likely that a considerable number of those eCB-mediated effects remain unknown. As expected for a neuromodulatory system with such a wide distribution in the brain, a wealth of evidence has shown that the eCB system is altered in numerous diseases and abnormal conditions, and for many of these illnesses, distribution of eCB or CB1 receptor levels implicates this system in initial, even pre-symptomatic, stages. To determine how these alterations end up modifying synaptic transmission and the specific factors underlying these modifications will likely be the focus of research in upcoming years.
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