Anthropogenic pollutants: a threat to ecosystem sustainability?
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Pollutants, including synthetic organic materials and heavy metals, are known to adversely affect physiological systems in all animal species studied to date. While many individual chemicals can perturb normal functions, the combined actions of multiple pollutants are of particular concern because they can exert effects even when each individual chemical is present at concentrations too low to be individually effective. The biological effects of pollutants differ greatly between species reflecting differences in the pattern of exposure, routes of uptake, metabolism following uptake, rates of accumulation and sensitivity of the target organs. Thus, understanding of the effects of pollutants on wildlife and ecosystems will require detailed study of many different species, representing a wide range of taxa. However, such studies can be informed by knowledge obtained in more controlled conditions which may indicate likely mechanisms of action and suitable endpoint measurements. Responses may be exacerbated by interactions between the effects of pollutants and environmental stressors, such as under-nutrition or osmotic stresses and so changes in such variables associated with climatic changes may exacerbate physiological responses to pollutant burdens.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Anthropogenic pollution is not new—humans have contributed to the environmental burden since they learned to control fire and smelt metals. However, the nature and distribution of contaminants in the environment has changed in recent history as new compounds have been created. Most early anthropogenic pollution was localized although early metal smelting, even 2000 years ago, resulted in hemispheric-scale pollution (Hong et al. 1996). The industrial revolution concentrated people in cities and resulted in increased pollution of the air, as a result of the burning of fossil fuels, and of rivers, with organic pollutants in the form of sewage. These caused disease and illness in humans and killed fish and other wildlife in the rivers (Halliday 1999; Davis et al. 2002).

The reduction in the rate of release into our environment of known toxins, through use of improved sewage processing and ‘Clean Air Acts’, roughly paralleled the development of completely novel organic substances that were used in the manufacture of a host of products including pesticides, plastics and fire retardants and the increased use of fossil fuels in transport and power generation, resulting in changes in the nature of the pollutant burden. The environment seemed to become cleaner because the new pollutants were generally invisible, present only at low concentrations, and did not exert acute effects on exposed animals or humans. New chemicals of many classes, including halogenated organic compounds, phthalates, alkyl phenols and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) were manufactured for use domestically and in industry and agriculture and inevitably were released into the environment (Colborn et al. 1993). The ‘old pollutants’ clearly had adverse effects on human health and wildlife populations but we are only now beginning to understand the effects of the ‘new pollutants’. Their effects may be equally, or more, harmful.

This review focuses primarily on terrestrial ecosystems and aims to address some key features of anthropogenic pollutants, in particular organic endocrine-disrupting compounds (EDCs) and heavy metals. Arguably, heavy metals are also endocrine disruptors but since they have some properties that are different from organic EDCs, they are often considered to be a separate category. The review will focus mostly on reproductive function in individual animals but will also address ecosystem health and sustainability. Since reproductive success depends not only on the normal function of the hypothalamic–gonadal axis but also on the individual’s health and ultimate survival, effects on other physiological systems will also be considered.

It is important to note that while many principles pertaining to pollutants in general, and organic endocrine disruptors in particular, apply in both terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems, the risks associated with certain classes of compounds may differ considerably with the environmental matrix. This is partly
attributable to the fact that many EDCs are relatively insoluble in water and so many of the pollutants present in water are the more water-soluble substances, such as the steroid hormones associated with the human contraceptive pill (Bowman et al. 2003), while in terrestrial systems other compounds bound to organic and mineral matter are more important (e.g. polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs)). In addition, differences in the relative hydrophobicity and lipophilicity of compounds mean that they are differentially accumulated in the tissues of species extracting oxygen from air and water (Kelly et al. 2007).

2. WHAT ARE THEY, WHERE ARE THEY FROM AND WHY SHOULD WE BE CONCERNED?

The definition of anthropogenic pollutants is not entirely clear. Some organic pollutants, such as the PAHs, are derived primarily from the incomplete combustion of fossil fuels but can also result from natural forest fires (Yunker et al. 2002; Bostrom et al. 2002), while heavy metal pollutants such as lead and copper are naturally occurring elements but are concentrated by artificial means such as through smelting. On the other hand, other organic compounds such as PCBs, PBDEs and various organochlorine pesticides are synthetic compounds but, in common with the other classes of chemical, have the capacity to perturb endocrine function. Recently, nitrates which are commonly used as fertilizers, have been implicated in the disruption of animal physiology (Edwards et al. 2006) although they are chemically very different from compounds that are normally of concern. For the purpose of this review, all these categories of pollutants will be considered ‘man-made’ and will be loosely classed as endocrine disruptors, although the mechanisms of action of heavy metals are frequently different from those of organic pollutants.

The main groups of organic and metal pollutants, some of their key properties, mechanisms of action and the sources of these compounds have been reviewed previously (IEH 1999; Wilkinson et al. 2003; Rhind 2005, 2008). Briefly, most of the organic pollutants are hydrophobic and lipophilic, and neither the organic nor metal pollutants are readily degraded; accordingly, they can accumulate in animal tissues. There they can be biologically active at concentrations well below that at which they are toxic in the conventional sense. Many different pollutants can act additively (Rajapakse et al. 2002; Brian et al. 2005; Kortenkamp 2007), so that biological responses may be induced even when the concentrations of individual chemicals appear to be too low to exert an effect. Animals are especially sensitive at early stages of development but some effects exerted at these stages may be expressed only in adult life or even in subsequent generations.

Exposure of target organs and tissues (figure 1) depends on the species and associated habitat (terrestrial, aquatic, soil, sediment), diet composition, age and stage of development (Rhind 2008), as well as the chemical class and associated properties. Exposure can be the result of uptake through skin, gills or lungs, through food and drink, and through maternal blood (foetus) or milk (neonate). Effects of exposure to organic and metal pollutants have been reported for animals of every group so far tested, from bacteria (Fox 2004) to humans (Toppari et al. 1996) and many phyla in between (Colborn et al. 1993; IEH 1999; Oehlmann et al. 2007). The precise nature of these effects depends on the extent to which they are taken up by the animals and then on the extent to which they are degraded, excreted or metabolized (figure 1); each of these factors depends on the individual animal species and compound class. Finally, the overall effect of exposure depends on the combined actions of all of the pollutants together and their interactions with other stressors.

Concern about their effects stems, in part, from an anthropocentric viewpoint, i.e. there is concern about the reproductive success of humans, at least in the developed world (Evers 2002), and significant medical resources are directed towards the treatment of infertility. However, at a more fundamental level, since a wide range of species is susceptible to these compounds, the potential for major disruption of ecosystem function, and for compromising long-term sustainability, is considerable. Damage to the ecosystems on which all life depends threatens not only the...
3. WHAT IS THE EVIDENCE THAT ENDOCRINE-DISRUPTING COMPOUNDS AND OTHER POLLUTANTS CAN BE A PROBLEM?

Interestingly, it has been known for over 70 years that a number of synthetic organic compounds which have specific chemical structures could have oestrogenic effects, at least in theory (Dodds & Lawson 1938). However, it required the mass production and use of many novel chemicals for problems to be identified in practice. Some of these effects, such as effects of pollutants on egg shell thinning in birds (Fabr & Hickey 1973) and associated declines in the populations of the affected species have been very well publicized. These early observations were followed by large numbers of epidemiological (based on field observations) and empirical (laboratory based) studies of many species and taxa, involving many classes of chemicals; a representative selection of examples is given in table 1 to illustrate the range of chemical types, taxa and physiological responses. Such disruption of reproductive activity or function in any one species, or group of species, may have disastrous consequences for ecosystem sustainability owing to changes in the balance of predator and prey species.

Many of the historical problems associated with the use of relatively high concentrations of single, highly potent chemicals have been recognized and addressed. Examples include the perturbation of reproductive processes in marine gastropod molluscs exposed to organotins, used in marine anti-fouling paints (Smith et al. 1981) and, in human males exposed to chemical defoliants during the Vietnam war (Wolfe et al. 1995); legislative changes have resulted in the use of such chemicals being restricted. Approximately half a century on from the first major production of many of the pollutants, pesticide use, factory discharges and the specific types of chemicals used in manufactured products are all the subject of regulation and legislation. Accordingly, the problem might be considered to be resolved.

However, empirical studies designed to investigate the mechanisms of action of some of the pollutants have demonstrated more subtle perturbation of underlying processes, which are not necessarily apparent in the field observations but may seriously compromise animal health or productivity. For example, PBDEs have been shown to exert oestrogenic and anti-oestrogenic effects, in vitro (Meerts et al. 2001), while exposure to phthalates induced alterations in foetal and neonatal anatomy and testis weight in rats (Gray et al. 2000), and bisphenol A and octylphenol disrupted gonadotrophin profiles (Evans et al. 2004). While much has been learned about both the potential effects and the mechanisms of action of individual compounds from such studies, they are usually a poor representation of normal, real-world exposure and are often based on single chemicals, often at very high concentrations and applied for short periods. In practice, massive exposures to single pollutants in the natural environment are rare but long-term exposure to low concentrations of multiple organic and heavy metal chemicals has become commonplace, as indicated by reports of detectable concentrations of some of the classes in air (Lee et al. 2004; Tonne et al. 2004), soil (Smith 1996; Rhind et al. 2005b) and water (IEH 1999). However, controlled studies of the effects of exposures to these low-concentration mixtures are also rare. While it is clear that many pollutants accumulate in many wild species (IEH 1999; Law et al. 2003), in practice, the detailed study of effects of prolonged, low-level exposure is logistically almost impossible because it requires assessment of exposure rates and an understanding of animal physiology, anatomy and behaviour in species that may be intractable, of very small or large size, or rare. Thus, there is a need for studies of more tractable model species with similar physiology, from which extrapolation to their wild counterparts is possible. Accordingly, sheep have been used as a convenient, relatively long-lived, mammalian model for which there is a good basic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>species</th>
<th>chemical</th>
<th>observed effect(s)</th>
<th>reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>white-footed mouse (Peromyscus leucopus)</td>
<td>PCB</td>
<td>reduced testis size</td>
<td>Batty et al. (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seagull (Larus californicus)</td>
<td>DDT</td>
<td>feminization of embryos</td>
<td>Fry &amp; Tonne (1981)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alligator (Alligator mississippiensis)</td>
<td>dicofol, DDT</td>
<td>abnormal testes, phalli and testosterone</td>
<td>Guillette et al. (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flathead minnow (Pimephales promelas)</td>
<td>methyl mercury</td>
<td>expression of multiple genes</td>
<td>Klaper et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dogwhelk (Nucella lapillus)</td>
<td>tributyltin</td>
<td>imposex</td>
<td>Gibbs &amp; Bryan (1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mayfly (E酌enopterum)</td>
<td>esfenvalerate</td>
<td>reduced survival</td>
<td>Bekterov &amp; Liess (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>annelids</td>
<td>toxic metals</td>
<td>survival and reproductive development</td>
<td>Spurgeon et al. (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nematodes (Caenorhabditis elegans)</td>
<td>many (individually)</td>
<td>reduced fecundity</td>
<td>Hoss &amp; W鯿he (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bacteria</td>
<td>mixture (sewage sludge)</td>
<td>altered communities</td>
<td>Kuntz et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
understanding of both general and reproductive physiology. In the present context they have been the subject of one long-term study involving their exposure to a mixture of EDCs, at environmental concentrations, through the application of sewage sludge to the surface of their pastures.

4. DOMESTIC ANIMAL STUDIES: A CLUE TO THE THREAT TO WILDLIFE

In this study (Rhind et al. 2005a,b), sheep grazed sludge-treated pastures, or control pastures treated with inorganic fertilizers, for periods ranging from several months to 5 years, with three-week withdrawal periods after each sludge application. Sludge is known to contain relatively large amounts of organic and heavy metal pollutants (Stevens et al. 2003; Harrison et al. 2006; Ghanem et al. 2007), being derived from industrial, agricultural and domestic sources, and it is frequently applied to land as a fertilizer at the rates used in these studies. This experimental approach exposes animals to a cocktail of pollutants, similar to that to which humans and other animals are likely to be exposed, and serves to elucidate a number of important features concerning their accumulation and effects. The sheep are subject to a ‘real-world exposure’ to the pollutants, i.e. to environmental (low) concentrations of multiple pollutants, through physiological routes and at all stages of the life cycle.

In this study, the twice yearly application of sludge to the pastures resulted in only minimal increases in environmental (soil) concentrations of the organic pollutants phthalate and alkyl phenol (Rhind et al. 2002) and variable but generally small increases in PAHs, PCB and PBDE (S. M. Rhind, C. E. Kyle, C. Mackie & L. Macdonald, unpublished observations) and heavy metals (Rhind et al. 2005b), relative to concentrations found in pastures treated with inorganic fertilizer. These results agree with a review of earlier observations by Smith (1996) who reported that even extremely high rates of application resulted in increases in soil concentrations of not more than a few fold.

Studies of tissue concentrations of animals grazing sludge-treated pastures also indicate that tissue concentrations are only slightly increased following exposure, if at all (Rhind et al. 2005a, b, 2009). However, these small increases should be considered against the background of known extreme variability in rates of tissue accumulation in animal tissues, even in animals subject to the same levels of pollutant exposure (Rhind 2008). Notwithstanding the issue of variance, a superficial consideration of these observations might suggest that exposure of animals to multiple pollutants, none of which was present at concentrations found in pastures during gestation and lactation exhibited a lower proportion of healthy primordial follicles than those derived from control animals (Amezaga et al. 2008). Adult male offspring also exhibited changes in behaviour following exposure which were consistent with demasculinizing effects of EDC exposure (Erhard & Rhind 2004). However, in contrast to the observation of disrupted development in the foetal testis (Paul et al. 2005), studies of the sperm counts in adult male domestic animals (Satchell 1997) and other sperm qualities (G. B. Boe-Hansen, H. G. Pedersen, C. E. Kyle, I. B. Boegh & S. M. Rhind, unpublished observations) have not revealed any abnormalities.

Collectively, these results indicate that prolonged low-level exposure to a cocktail of pollutants can perturb multiple physiological systems directly related to reproductive function even though the final tissue concentrations of the pollutants are not consistently higher than background levels. Furthermore, they support previous observations which indicated that the developmental stages were particularly sensitive to EDC exposure and that the effects exerted on the foetus could be expressed in adult life (e.g. reduced proportion of healthy ovarian follicles). There is evidence from rat studies that perturbations of components of the
reproductive system induced in one generation by EDC exposure can be expressed at least up to the F4 generation, even in the absence of any further exposure (Anway & Skinner 2006; Crews et al. 2007; Edwards & Myers 2007). Such transgenerational epigenetic effects of EDC exposure are yet to be demonstrated more widely and the extent to which they occur is likely to depend on the chemical, animal species and physiological system involved. Much more work is needed on this topic to establish the incidence of such potentially important transgenerational effects.

While much of the knowledge of the effects of EDCs and their mechanisms of action is derived from studies on domestic and laboratory species, the principles derived from these studies can be applied to wildlife. These studies, together with many others in a variety of species, show that there is potential for reproductive success to be compromised not only by direct effects of pollutants on the hypothalamic–pituitary–gonadal axis but also by adversely affecting the health status of the individual. For example, EDCs are known to have the potential to perturb processes as diverse as immune function (Vine et al. 2000; Markman et al. 2008), bone structure (Lind et al. 2003, 2004a; Fox et al. 2008; Hermens et al. 2008), thyroid function (Hansen 1998; Langer et al. 1998), obesogenic systems (Grun et al. 2006; Newbold et al. 2007), mammary structure and function (Fenton 2006; Moral et al. 2008), cardiovascular function (Lind et al. 2004b; Ha et al. 2007) and social and other behaviours (Clotfelter et al. 2004; Markman et al. 2008). Perturbation of any one of these systems has the potential to adversely affect an animal’s reproductive success and competitiveness, and therefore the potential viability of the population. In humans, it has been suggested that EDC could be implicated in processes ranging from the increased incidence of breast cancer (Kortenkamp 2006) and a variety of male reproductive effects (Sharpe & Skakkebaek 2008) to metabolic disturbances (see http://jme.endocrinology-journals.org/cgi/content/abstract/JME-08-0132v1).

5. HOW USEFUL IS THIS MODEL?

Studies of domestic species can provide many clues to the effects of exposure to pollutants and their mechanisms of action because many of them act on physiological processes so fundamental and, in evolutionary terms, so ancient, that they are common to animals of many phyla, e.g. many organic pollutants have oestrogen-like properties and act through the oestrogen receptors (Dodge 1998). Oestrogen receptors are just one member of the large nuclear receptor superfamily (including steroid, thyroid and retinoid receptors). Nuclear receptors are widely expressed throughout the metazoa and the various receptors have fundamental roles in the control of a wide variety of key developmental, metabolic and physiological processes. The action of the various members of the nuclear receptor superfamily is often modulated by relatively hydrophobic ligands and it is to be expected that many of the organic EDCs will also be found to affect the action of many nuclear receptors.

The subtle, underlying physiological changes reported in the sheep, particularly in the foetuses (Paul et al. 2005; Fowler et al. 2008), would not be detected, generally, in conventional breeding systems. It is therefore likely that similar subtle effects are being induced in some wildlife species as a result of exposure to pollutants and that they remain undetected. Indeed, various physiological changes have been reported in some of the few wildlife species that have been studied following exposure to relatively large chemical insults (Faber & Hickey 1973; Guillet et al. 1994). Similarly, there is also evidence from studies of amphibians (McCoy et al. 2008) that chronic, low-level exposure to an undefined mixture of agriculture-related pollutants can perturb gonadal structure and function, a finding that parallels the observations on sheep. Clearly, the absence of a visible, whole-animal response does not necessarily indicate the absence of a response. The studies based on domestic animals may indicate mechanisms and physiological systems that are worthy of investigation in other species.

Environmental, dietary and other exposure to EDC, and rates of uptake from the environment are likely to differ between species and it has been shown that the capacities to metabolize pollutants also differ greatly between species (Eaton & Klaassen 2001; Norstrom 2002). Thus, although the mechanism of action of the pollutant may be similar across species (e.g. through an oestrogen receptor), there can be large species differences in target organ exposure, owing to differences in uptake, metabolism, sequestration and excretion (figure 1) and so in the rate of delivery to the target receptor. This problem is further magnified by the extreme complexity of the relationships between pollutant, individual genotype and phenotypic response, including post-translational control of gene expression (Nebert 2005). Consequently, extrapolation of the results obtained in sheep or laboratory rodent studies to wildlife species, and indeed to humans, requires extreme caution. Understanding the effects of pollutants on entire ecosystems will require detailed study of each species or at least of each species group. Such studies can be informed, however, by knowledge obtained in more controlled conditions.

While the sheep studies begin to define patterns of environmental exposure, tissue concentrations and some physiological effects in one specific species, it should be emphasized that tissue measurements are necessarily restricted to one or a few time points and may not reflect biologically important but transient increases in animal exposure. Neither do they elucidate the interactions between the many chemical classes that may contribute to the observed responses (figure 1), nor the effects of different doses, variable exposure or exposure at different stages of development. These are extremely important issues that remain to be pursued in further research.

6. ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGES AND ENVIRONMENTAL STRESSORS

At present the overall reproductive capacity of domestic animals does not appear to be compromised by exposure to EDCs, at least in males (Setchell 1997).
However, while the global human population continues to grow, evidence of possible effects of EDCs on human fertility is beginning to accumulate. This may reflect the constant exposure to a wide variety of pollutants through contaminated air (Lee et al. 2004; Tonne et al. 2004) and food (Norstrom 2002). The importance of EDCs with respect to declining sperm counts in humans is debated (Sharpe et al. 1995; de Kretser 1998), but it is becoming clear that human sperm quality and fertility are declining, at least in some regions (Nordstrom Joensen et al. 2009), while an increased incidence of testicular dysgenesis syndrome (Sharpe & Skakebaek 2008), premature menopause and reduced female fertility (Sharara et al. 1998; Hruska et al. 2000) have been reported. Understanding the role of EDCs in such human conditions remains a distant objective as it depends on a carefully balanced interpretation of epidemiological data from humans exposed to multiple pollutants and controlled experimental studies in animal models. In relation to the ‘real world’, the results obtained with sludge-exposed sheep reflect responses in well-nourished, unstressed animals, but wildlife species are frequently subject to additional environmental insults and stressors that are known to interact adversely with pollutant burdens. For example, under-nutrition has been shown to result in increased liver concentrations of pollutants in some bird species (Wienburg & Shore 2004); this may reflect an increased mobilization of fat depot and associated release of stored EDCs which may then affect the function of other systems. In fish, tolerance to salinity can be compromised by EDC exposure (McCormick et al. 2005), making them more susceptible to osmotic stress. It seems likely that other stressors, including social stressors in group-living species, may induce endocrine, metabolic and physiological responses that may also interact with pollutant burdens to affect animal health and productivity. Such effects of stressors are not confined to vertebrates. For example, drought can compromise survival in soil-living collembolans exposed to pollutants (Holmstrup et al. 2007). Thus, if the risks associated with exposure to pollutants are to be properly assessed, it is essential that their actions are considered in conjunction with the effects of additional physiological stressors.

Interestingly, while a combination of environmental stressors or insults might be expected to have uniformly adverse effects, this is not necessarily the case. For example, while exposure to pollutants can suppress immune activity and thus increase parasite burden (Sures 2006) and therefore reduce an animal’s health status, more favourable outcomes are also possible because some parasites may modify physiology such that tissue burdens of heavy metals are reduced (Sures 2006). Clearly, this has implications for studies of the effects of exposure to environmental EDCs since there may be commonality of mechanism, and therefore parasite burden may confound effects of EDCs.

Collectively, these observations indicate that the effects of pollutants are dependent, in part, on the actions of other environmental stressors. Any change in the environment in the form of increased or reduced air, water or soil temperatures, altered rainfall/humidity or soil waterlogging/drying or associated changes in plant growth or predator or prey populations, all of which might be expected as a result of climate change, has the potential to exert new stresses on individual animals or on populations and ecosystems (figure 1). Animals living near to the limits of their natural range, and therefore at the extremes of their physiological tolerances, may be especially vulnerable to such influences, and loss of such species may allow invasion by new species, with potential consequences for ecosystem sustainability (Schiedek et al. 2007).

It is important to recognize that changes in human activities or climate can also alter the nature of the ‘insults’ through changes in the patterns of manufacture and use of specific EDCs. For example, changing climatic conditions may alter the requirements for EDC-containing products in agriculture, industry and personal use (e.g. pesticides, fungicides and sunscreens) with associated changes in the inputs of such compounds into the environment (Schiedek et al. 2007).

7. WHICH SPECIES AND ECOSYSTEMS MAY BE MOST VULNERABLE?

It would appear from some of the early studies of effects of pollutants, and particularly EDCs, that the most vulnerable species of animals are those near the top of the food chain which tend to accumulate particularly high concentrations of pollutants owing to the effects of biomagnification, e.g. birds of prey (Naert et al. 2007) and alligators (Guillette et al. 1994). On the other hand, the studies of sheep suggest that even species low in the food chain can accumulate limited amounts of pollutants and are susceptible to their effects. While there have been few studies that link exposure, accumulation and biological effects in invertebrate species, it is clear that tissue accumulation of many classes of pollutants occurs in many species including various aquatic insects (Kovats & Ciborowski 1989; Corkum et al. 1997), earthworms (Markman et al., 2007), amphipod crustaceans (Landrum et al. 2001) and molluscs (Markich et al. 2002). In addition to any direct effects of low levels of multiple pollutants on these species, both aquatic and terrestrial predators that feed on such species will be exposed to levels greater than those present in the environment through their consumption of the contaminated prey.

The relative vulnerability of ecosystems to pollutant exposure is difficult to assess. While there appears to be a positive relationship between species diversity and ecosystem stability, other factors also affect
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stability (Ives & Carpenter 2007) and so it is not possible to conclude that simpler ecosystems (fewer species; less complex food webs) such as those of the Arctic and Antarctic regions are especially vulnerable. Nevertheless, many organic pollutants, in particular, accumulate in the food chains in these regions. Thus, it is suggested that such ecosystems may be the first to show signs of instability as a result of increased pollutant burden and particularly if changes in climate result in additional stressors on such species. The ecosystems of the polar regions may be particularly vulnerable to climatic changes, not only because of the relative simplicity of the ecosystems but also because of the albedo effect (as the ice melts, more heat is absorbed and still more ice melts causing further increases in temperature). Thus, particularly rapid increases in temperature and associated effects on pollutant availability and action, combined with high pollutant burdens may make it particularly difficult for individual species to adapt to the changes. In the case of the larger species, these effects may be further compounded by additional factors such as hunting pressure and/or habitat loss.

It is concluded that since many fundamental physiological systems can be perturbed by very low levels of organic and heavy metal pollutants, particularly when acting in combination, all species and ecosystems may be vulnerable to their effects. Much basic work is required to characterize the risks fully, and even more is needed to begin to resolve the problems already identified.

8. WHAT SHOULD BE DONE?
The question ‘What do we do about it?’ is frequently posed in discussions about endocrine disruptors but, since knowledge of them is so incomplete, the answer is inevitably complex. Identification of the problem of environmental pollutants in relation to environmental sustainability is relatively easy. Understanding it is much more difficult because of the many, inter-related facets addressed above, including the rates and duration of exposures, processing of chemicals by the exposed individuals, the sensitivity of the target organs and interactions with other environmental stressors. Solving the problems of exposure may be even more difficult.

The introduction of new European Community Regulations on chemicals and their safe use (EC 2006 Regulation) which deals with the Registration, Evaluation, Authorization and Restriction of Chemical substances (REACH) is a recent initiative that aims to identify the most potent pollutants. This programme will coordinate detailed evaluations of chemicals and is intended to provide basic toxicity data on each individual chemical which are intended to provide a sound basis for future research.

Attempts to understand the underlying actions of such chemicals on individuals and on ecosystems will demand a suite of investigative approaches (figure 2). Firstly, environmental concentrations of each pollutant and their bioavailability must be determined. Secondly, uptake, metabolism and excretion must be investigated in a number of carefully selected species, to take account of age and species differences in effects on target tissues. Finally, a range of appropriate end-points must be identified for the quantification of responses (figure 2). These may include not only population changes but also behavioural, physiological, histological, endocrine and molecular responses.

Criteria have been proposed for the selection of sentinel species and for the choice of endpoint measurements (IEH 1999), e.g. they should be common, ecologically or economically important,
amenable to laboratory maintenance and have a range of diets and habitats. However, the species selected for study must be determined by the specific question posed.

Individual investigators often favour one particular experimental approach to address specific questions concerning biochemical or physiological effects. This is entirely appropriate for the elucidation of components of the system but diverse experimental approaches are essential for understanding of the ecosystem as a whole because no single approach can address all questions. For example, controlled laboratory studies, involving single chemicals, sometimes at pharmacological doses, are necessary for the investigation of the mechanisms of action and effective doses of each compound. On the other hand, field studies of effects on animal populations of exposure to multiple pollutants, at low but variable doses, are essential to the understanding of ‘real-world’ effects. Both types of study may benefit from the incorporation of genomic, proteomic and metabolomic techniques to elucidate the actions of pollutants. In order to optimize progression of understanding, it is vital that the results of these contrasting types of study are brought together, so that effects of low concentrations of mixtures of chemicals on multiple species can be modelled and so that factors critical to ecosystem function can be identified. Such critical factors will include the most potent chemical insults, the most sensitive species and stages of development, and the most significant additional stressors. It may be possible to begin this process through the construction of computer models designed, ultimately, to integrate all types of relevant information, including exposure rates, tissue accumulation and animal and population responses (figure 2). Eventually, such an approach may also allow models of climate change and associated changes to be linked to models of ecosystem function so that the combined effects of exposure to pollutants and environmental stressors can be predicted.

It is concluded that endocrine-disrupting pollutants do pose threats to ecosystem sustainability and to long-term human health and wellbeing. However, determination of the precise nature and magnitude of the threats will require significant further investigation. The cost of the required research and the social cost of the lifestyle changes that may be required to reduce the impact of environmental pollutants are likely to be high. However, such costs should be considered in the context of the potential cost of failure to address damage to the marine, freshwater and terrestrial ecosystems that support our food production and wildlife.
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