Diversity and origins of anaerobic metabolism in mitochondria and related organelles
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Across the diversity of life, organisms have evolved different strategies to thrive in hypoxic environments, and microbial eukaryotes (protists) are no exception. Protists that experience hypoxia often possess metabolically distinct mitochondria called mitochondrion-related organelles (MROs).

While there are some common metabolic features shared between the MROs of distantly related protists, these organelles have evolved independently multiple times across the breadth of eukaryotic diversity. Until recently, much of our knowledge regarding the metabolic potential of different MROs was limited to studies in parasitic lineages. Over the past decade, deep-sequencing studies of free-living anaerobic protists have revealed novel configurations of metabolic pathways that have been co-opted for life in low oxygen environments. Here, we provide recent examples of anaerobic metabolism in the MROs of free-living protists and their parasitic relatives. Additionally, we outline evolutionary scenarios to explain the origins of these anaerobic pathways in eukaryotes.

1. Introduction

Mitochondria and related organelles are ubiquitous among eukaryotes. The last half-century of cellular and molecular evolutionary research has conclusively shown that mitochondria are descended from an α-proteobacterial endosymbiont that took up residence within a host cell prior to the last eukaryotic common ancestor (LECA) [1]. However, the precise phylogenetic position of the mitochondrion lineage among α-proteobacteria [2,3], as well as the nature of the host lineage that engulfed it [4], remain active areas of debate and investigation.

Mitochondria are best known for their role in ATP synthesis by oxidative phosphorylation. In this pathway, pyruvate from glycolysis is imported into mitochondria where it is oxidatively decarboxylated to acetyl-CoA by pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) and fed into the Krebs cycle to produce NADH and FADH₂. These reduced cofactors are oxidized by the electron transport chain (ETC) to generate a proton gradient across the inner mitochondrial membrane and ultimately reduce O₂ to H₂O. The proton motive force drives ATP synthesis by an F₁Fₐ-ATP synthase. However, mitochondria are known to carry out many other metabolic and biosynthetic functions. In addition to possessing genomes that are replicated, transcribed and translated, they function in iron–sulfur (Fe–S) cluster generation (via the iron–sulfur cluster (ISC) system), haem biosynthesis and amino and fatty acid, phospholipid, vitamin and steroid metabolism. Indeed, although the proteomes of mitochondria of model system organisms vary in composition, they typically comprise over 1000 proteins [5,6], which is significantly more than the coding potential of any known mitochondrial genome [7]. Most mitochondrial proteins are therefore nucleus-encoded, and, via targeting signals (either N-terminal or internal), are imported into mitochondria post-translationally via a complex translocation and refolding apparatus. A fraction of the proteins that function in mitochondria were originally
encoded by the α-proteobacterial endosymbiont genome (approx. 15%) [8], and most were later transferred to the host nuclear genome via endosymbiotic gene transfer, and subsequently lost from the mitochondrial genome [9]. A substantial fraction (approx. 40%) of mitochondrial proteins are found only in eukaryotes, or have non-α-proteobacterial prokaryotic affinities (approx. 45%) [8].

A number of eukaryotic microbes (protists) from low oxygen environments, including the parasitic microsporidia, diplomonads and parabasalids, lack typical cristate mitochondria. These organisms possess small double-membrane-bound organelles of mitochondriate ancestry to which canonical mitochondrial proteins are targeted [10–17]. These organelles were variously named hydrogenosomes, mitosomes and mitochondrion-related organelles (MROs), to indicate their evolutionary relationship with mitochondria. The diplomonad *Trichomonas* of parasitic trichomonads were first described in the 1970s [20,21] and those of the human urogenital parasite *Trichomonas vaginalis* have become the best-studied MROs to date. They function in several canonical mitochondrial processes including amino acid metabolism, oxygen detoxification and Fe–S cluster biosynthesis, and possess two components of complex I (CI; the 51 and 24 kDa subunits) [22–26]. Unlike typical aerobic mitochondria, the hydrogenosomes of *Trichomonas* metabolize pyruvate using an ‘extended glycolysis’ pathway, whereby pyruvate : ferredoxin oxidoreductase (PFO) to acetyl-CoA, with the concomitant reduction of ferredoxin (figures 2 and 3). Electrons from ferredoxin (or NADH via the two soluble CI subunits) are transferred to protons via an [FeFe]-hydrogenase (HYD), ultimately generating H₂. Three mature enzymes (HYDE, HYDF and HYDG) are typically required for correct assembly of the mature HYD protein. The CoA moiety of acetyl-CoA is transferred to succinate via acetate : succinyl-CoA transferase subtype 1C (ASCT1C). The resulting succinyl-CoA can be used by the Krebs cycle succinyl-CoA synthetase (SCS) to generate ATP by substrate-level phosphorylation. *Trichomonas* also uses the arginine dihydrolase pathway to synthesize ATP. One of the three enzymes involved in this pathway (arginine deiminase) is localized in the MRO, while the other two enzymes (ornithine transcarbamylase and carbamylate kinase) are cytoplasmic [27].

The diplomonad *Giardia intestinalis* is a parasite of humans that thrives in the low oxygen environment of the gastrointestinal tract [28]. *Giardia’s* MROs do not synthesize ATP and are thus called ‘mitosomes’. Currently, their only major known function is Fe–S cluster biogenesis, via the ISC system [29]. *Giardia* also has a cytosolic extended glycolysis pathway (figures 2 and 3). Like *Trichomonas*, they use a PFO/ferredoxin

2. **Excavates**

(a) **Metamonada**

The ‘hydrogenosomes’ of parasitic trichomonads were first described in the 1970s [20,21] and those of the human urogenital parasite *Trichomonas vaginalis* have become the best-studied MROs to date. They function in several canonical mitochondrial processes including amino acid metabolism, oxygen detoxification and Fe–S cluster biosynthesis, and possess two components of complex I (CI; the 51 and 24 kDa subunits) [22–26]. Unlike typical aerobic mitochondria, the hydrogenosomes of *Trichomonas* metabolize pyruvate using an ‘extended glycolysis’ pathway, whereby pyruvate : ferredoxin oxidoreductase (PFO) to acetyl-CoA, with the concomitant reduction of ferredoxin (figures 2 and 3). Electrons from ferredoxin (or NADH via the two soluble CI subunits) are transferred to protons via an [FeFe]-hydrogenase (HYD), ultimately generating H₂. Three mature enzymes (HYDE, HYDF and HYDG) are typically required for correct assembly of the mature HYD protein. The CoA moiety of acetyl-CoA is transferred to succinate via acetate : succinyl-CoA transferase subtype 1C (ASCT1C). The resulting succinyl-CoA can be used by the Krebs cycle succinyl-CoA synthetase (SCS) to generate ATP by substrate-level phosphorylation. *Trichomonas* also uses the arginine dihydrolase pathway to synthesize ATP. One of the three enzymes involved in this pathway (arginine deiminase) is localized in the MRO, while the other two enzymes (ornithine transcarbamylase and carbamylate kinase) are cytoplasmic [27].

The diplomonad *Giardia intestinalis* is a parasite of humans that thrives in the low oxygen environment of the gastrointestinal tract [28]. *Giardia’s* MROs do not synthesize ATP and are thus called ‘mitosomes’. Currently, their only major known function is Fe–S cluster biogenesis, via the ISC system [29]. *Giardia* also has a cytosolic extended glycolysis pathway (figures 2 and 3). Like *Trichomonas*, they use a PFO/ferredoxin

---

**Figure 1.** Distribution of MROs across the major supergroups of eukaryotes. Organisms with parasitic (purple), commensal (orange) or free-living (red) lifestyles are indicated. Metabolic functions of each organism’s MRO are indicated: shaded shapes represent the presence of electron transporting complexes (circle), ATP synthesis (triangle) and hydrogen production (star). Where at least one proton-pumping complex (CI, CIII, CIV) and ATP synthase (CV) were identified, the circle and triangle are joined by three lines. ‘’ represents algal lineages where hydrogen production is located in the plastid.
Figure 2. Major metabolic pathways in the MROs of selected anaerobic protists. Oaa, oxaloacetate; Mal, malate; Pyr, pyruvate; Fum, fumarate; ACoA, acetyl-CoA; Ace, acetate; Suc, succinate; Su-CoA, succinyl-CoA; D-MMC, D-methylmalonyl-CoA; Prop, propionate; Pro-CoA, propionyl-CoA; Arg, arginine; Ctl, citrulline; Orn, ornithine; CarP, carbamoyl phosphate; Gly, glycine; Ser, serine. GLC, glycolysis; GCS, glycine cleavage system; ISC, iron–sulfur cluster system of ISC assembly; SUF, sulfur assimilation (SUF) system of ISC assembly; NIF, nitrogen fixation system of ISC assembly. THF, tetrahydrofolic acid. For the following, O: oxidized, R: reduced; Fd, ferredoxin; Nd, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD); NdR, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADP); Ndp, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADP) oxidoreductase (PNO); Ndpo, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADP) oxidoreductase (PNO); Ndo, NAD(P) oxidoreductase (PNO); 27, NuoE/24 kDa subunit of ETC CI; 28, NuoF/51 kDa subunit of ETC CI; CI, complex I; CII, complex II; CV, complex V.

and HYD pathway to oxidatively decarboxylate pyruvate, but in this case the acetyl-CoA is converted to acetate by an acetyl-CoA synthetase (ACS), that generates ATP directly [29–31]. Like Trichomonas, Giardia also synthesizes ATP by the arginine dihydrolase pathway; however, this pathway is entirely cytosolic in this organism [32]. The MROs of
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Spironucleus salmonicida, a related diplomonad parasite of salmonid fish [33,34], resemble Trichomonas hydrogenosomes in possessing a hydrogen-producing extended glycolysis pathway, Fe–S cluster generation, and possibly glycine metabolism. ATP is produced in these organelles by the Giardia-like ACS system, and not the ASCT/SCS system seen in Trichomonas [33,34].

Putative MROs have been described in many different species of the flagellated metamonad genus Trimastix [35–38]. At least two HYD maturases are predicted to localize to the MROs of Trimastix pyriformis. However, it is still unclear whether other proteins linked to hydrogen production, including HYD, and PFO, are organellar or cytosolic [39]. The predicted proteome of the T. pyriformis MROs (based on the presence of predicted mitochondrial targeting peptides) also includes amino acid metabolism enzymes, and even the Krebs cycle enzyme aconitase. To date, the exact mechanism of ATP generation in this organism, beyond glycolysis, is unknown, as ASCT, SCS and ACS have not been identified in T. pyriformis.

(b) Heterolobosea

In recent years, many transcriptomic or genomic sequencing initiatives have allowed the prediction of the MRO proteomes of heteroloboseid excavates, such as Psalterionomas lanterna, Sawyeria marylandensis and Naegleria gruberi. The Psalterionomas expressed sequence tag (EST) survey reported homologues of HSP70, HYD, PFO and the 51 kDa subunit of CI [40]. The larger EST survey of Sawyeria revealed homologues of many of the proteins found in T. vaginalis hydrogenosomes, including HYD proteins, PFO, SCS, ASCT, chaperones, CI subunits and Fe–S cluster biosynthesis proteins [41]. Interestingly, Sawyeria appears to encode enzymes for a variety of canonical mitochondrial pathways linked to amino acid metabolism that are not present in Trichomonas, such as a full glycine cleavage system, proline, serine and ornithine metabolism, and branched chain amino acid degradation, although lack of complete sequences hinders predictions of their localization [41].

Naegleria gruberi is a free-living aerobic amoebastellate that can tolerate low oxygen conditions and possesses classical mitochondria [42]. HYD, HYD maturases and ASCT1B and 1C were identified in the genome, and were predicted to function in mitochondria (possibly in hypoxic conditions) based on the presence of predicted N-terminal targeting sequences [42], although a recent report argues that hydrogen production occurs exclusively in the cytoplasm [43].

Figure 3. Coulson plots showing the presence and absence of various proteins and/or protein subunits in anaerobic protists. Blue, Excavata; red, Obazoa; green, Amoebozoa; yellow, SAR clade (Stramenopiles, Alveolata and Rhizaria). Coloured sections indicate proteins found to be present in genome or transcriptome data; white sections, proteins absent from complete genome data; grey sections, proteins absent from transcriptome or incomplete genome data [19]. Black and white circles indicate proteins with a predicted cytosolic location based on Mitoprot predictions or incomplete coding sequences. Unless indicated otherwise, all lineages use the ISC system for the biosynthesis of Fe–S clusters. Enzyme abbreviations are as indicated in figures 2. Accession numbers for each protein can be found in the electronic supplementary material, S1.
3. Obazoa (opisthokonts, breviates and apusomonads)

(a) Animals
Some platyhelminths and nematodes transiently experience hypoxic conditions [18,44–47]. Through regulated expression of various proteins, the metabolism of the anaerobic mitochondria of these organisms is reconfigured to generate ATP by substrate-level phosphorylation, F$_r$F$_o$-ATP synthase and a modified respiratory chain [18,48–50]. As in aerobic mitochondria, CI oxidizes NADH to pump protons into the intermembrane space, fuelling ATP synthesis via complex V (CV). However, electrons are transferred from CI to a specialized quinone, rhodoquinone (RQ), that has a lower redox potential than the usual mitochondrial electron carrier ubiquinone. A process called ‘malate dismutation’ helps to replenish the oxidized RQ pool using fumarase and complex II (CII), which preferentially catalyse the reverse reactions to their aerobic mitochondrial counterparts (i.e. malate dehydration which preferentially catalysed by malate dehydrogenase, a large selection of MRO-targeted quinone-using enzymes including CII, electron-transferring flavoprotein (ETF) dehydrogenase, G3PDH and AOX but no other components of the ETC, suggesting that *Pygsuia* has an ETC that does not appear to function in proton transport or energy generation. The ISC system for the biosynthesis of Fe–S clusters has been lost in this organism, and replaced by lateral gene transfer (LGT) [79]. Interestingly, it also encodes an MRO-targeted ASCT1B and some components of the propionyl-CoA carboxylase pathway, suggesting it might use this pathway to synthesize ATP. Unlike many other MROs, *Pygsuia* encodes a large selection of MRO-targeted quinone-using enzymes including CII, electron-transferring flavoprotein (ETF) dehydrogenase, G3PDH and AOX but no other components of the ETC, suggesting that *Pygsuia* has an ETC that does not appear to function in proton transport or energy generation. The ISC system for the biosynthesis of Fe–S clusters has been replaced by a methanoarchaeal SUF biosynthesis system that was acquired by lateral gene transfer (LGT) [79].

(b) Microsporidians
Microsporidia are deep-branching fungi [53–55] that are obligate intracellular parasites of animals [56]. The MROs (mitosomes) of these organisms are not known to produce ATP, but participate in ISC-mediated Fe–S cluster biosynthesis [57–61]. Microsporidia typically have reduced nuclear genomes, often lacking amino acid and nucleotide metabolism; some even lack core sugar metabolism [57,59,62,63]. For example, *Encephalitozoon cuniculi* has a significantly reduced ATP synthase, which is dually localized to the cytoplasm and mitosomes [84], and is localized exclusively in the organelle, suggesting it might use this pathway to synthesize ATP. Unlike many other MROs, *Pygsuia* encodes a large selection of MRO-targeted quinone-using enzymes including CII, electron-transferring flavoprotein (ETF) dehydrogenase, G3PDH and AOX but no other components of the ETC, suggesting that *Pygsuia* has an ETC that does not appear to function in proton transport or energy generation. The ISC system for the biosynthesis of Fe–S clusters has been replaced by a methanoarchaeal SUF biosynthesis system that was acquired by lateral gene transfer (LGT) [79].

(c) Chytrid fungi
Anaerobic fungi such as *Neoallomonas*, *Piromyces* and *Orpinomyces* thrive in low oxygen animal rumen environments, and possess MROs that ultrastructurally resemble those found in *Trichomonas* [69–73]. Previous reports have detected activity of pyruvate formate lyase (PFL)—an oxygen-sensitive enzyme that catalyses the non-oxidative conversion of pyruvate to acetyl-CoA—in the MROs of *Neoallomonas* and *Piromyces*, and HYD and PFO activity in *Neoallomonas* species [69,74–76]. The *Orpinomyces* MRO is predicted to function in hydrogen production, pyruvate metabolism using PFL, and ATP generation using the ASCT/SCS system [77]. However, it also appears to have an F$_r$F$_o$-ATP synthase, suggesting *Orpinomyces* may rely on an organellar proton gradient for ATP synthesis. We have identified previously unreported MRO-targeted homologues of PFO, HYDE, HYDF, HYDG, as well as enzymes of amino acid metabolism and other pathways summarized in figure 2 in the *Orpinomyces* genome.

(d) Breviates
The breviates are a recently described lineage of microaerophilic/anaerobic amoeboid flagellated protists that branch sister to Opisthokonta (animals and fungi) and Apusomonada [78]. To date, a detailed prediction of MRO metabolism has only been performed for *Pygsuia biforma* [79]. The *Pygsuia* genome encodes MRO-targeted proteins involved in protein import and folding, amino acid, fatty acid and lipid metabolism. *Pygsuia* has an extended glycolysis pathway that localizes to the MRO and the cytoplasm; however, the HYD maturases are only predicted to function in the MRO (figures 2 and 3). Within the organelle, *Pygsuia* uses ASC/SCS and CMTS to generate ATP by substrate-level phosphorylation like *T. vaginalis*, although it may also generate ATP in the cytosol using ACS (a putative cytosolic ACS homologue is present in the *Pygsuia* transcriptome [78]). Interestingly, it also encodes an MRO-targeted ASCT1B and some components of the propionyl-CoA carboxylase pathway, suggesting it might use this pathway to synthesize ATP. Unlike many other MROs, *Pygsuia* encodes a large selection of MRO-targeted quinone-using enzymes including CII, electron-transferring flavoprotein (ETF) dehydrogenase, G3PDH and AOX but no other components of the ETC, suggesting that *Pygsuia* has an ETC that does not appear to function in proton transport or energy generation. The ISC system for the biosynthesis of Fe–S clusters has been replaced by a methanoarchaeal SUF biosynthesis system that was acquired by lateral gene transfer (LGT) [79].

4. Amoebozoa

(a) Archamoebae
The mitosomes of the human parasite *Entamoeba histolytica* are the best-studied MROs in the supergroup Amoebozoa [80,81]. As in *Giardia*, the extended glycolysis and ACS-catalysed ATP synthesis pathway of *Entamoeba* is localized exclusively in the cytoplasm. However, the ISC system for the biosynthesis of Fe–S clusters has been lost in this organism, and replaced with a homologous system called the nitrogen fixation (NIF) system that was acquired by LGT from *e*-proteobacteria [82–84]. While initial reports suggested that the NIF system is dually localized to the cytoplasm and mitosomes [84], more recent proteomic studies have been unable to detect NIF system components in the organelle, calling into question the widely held notion that the main reason for maintaining MROs is the biosynthesis of Fe–S clusters [80]. Currently, the only known function of the MROs of *Entamoeba* is ATP import via mitochondrial carrier proteins [85] and sulfate activation for the generation of sulfated compounds [80,86]. *Mastigamoeba balamuthi* is a free-living relative of *Entamoeba* that inhabits low oxygen freshwater environments [87]. *Mastigamoeba balamuthi* was the first non-parasitic organism in which MROs were characterized [88]; these participate in pyruvate oxidation, hydrogen evolution, sulfate activation and amino acid metabolism [89]. Like the *Spiroplasma*
organelles, the MROs of Mastigamoeba generate ATP using extended glycolysis and an ACS. Mastigamoeba encodes some proteins linked to the respiratory chain and electron transport (CII and ETP) but none of the canonical proton-pumping components; therefore, it is unclear if the M. balunghii MROs have a proton gradient across their inner membranes. Mastigamoeba uses the e-proteobacterial-derived NIF system for the biosynthesis of Fe–S clusters; two copies are present, one of which is localized in the MROs and the other in the cytoplasm [90].

Recent studies have identified other anaerobic/microaerophilic protists that are members of the archamoebae, including Iodamoeba and Rhizomastix, that lack typical cristate mitochondria [91,92], but have MRO-like organelles in electron micrographs.

(b) Discosea

Acanthamoeba castellanii inhabits a range of environments, including soil bacterial biofilms or human contact lenses [93]; it shows a preference for low oxygen conditions [94]. The ultrastructure and general metabolism of Acanthamoeba mitochondria resemble those of classical aerobic organelles [95]. However, like Naegleria, Acanthamoeba possesses enzymes involved in the extended glycolysis pathway and ATP generation via SCS and ASC1B. All of these proteins have predicted N-terminal mitochondrial targeting sequences. PFO, a HYD matrue and ASC1 were shown experimentially to localize to the mitochondria of Acanthamoeba [96].

5. SAR clade

(a) Stramenopiles

The Blastocystis genus comprises strictly anaerobic unicellular stramenopile parasites that inhabit animal intestines [97]. Genomic and transcriptomic data available for different subtypes of Blastocystis show that these organisms express multiple acetyl-CoA generating proteins including PDH, PFO and pyruvate:NADP+ oxidoreductase (PNO; a specialized PFO with a C-terminal P450 reductase domain) [98–100]. However, to date, only PNO activity has been detected biochemically within the MROs of Blastocystis sp. subtype 7 [98]. The acetyl-CoA generated by this reaction is predicted to be used by ASC1B and 1C to generate propionyl-CoA or succinyll-CoA, which is a substrate for SCS or the propionyl-CoA pathway to generate ATP, much like the anaerobic mitochondria from facultative anaerobes (Acanthamoeba or Ascaris). While hydrogen production has not actually been detected in the MROs of Blastocystis subtypes 1 and 7, genomic and transcriptomic evidence suggests that there are multiple MRO-targeted HYDs that are fused to flavodoxin domains [99]. The unique domain composition of the Blastocystis HYDs might explain the inability to detect activity biochemically as the enzymatic assays used are designed for canonical HYD proteins [98]; it is even possible these enzymes do not produce H2 but instead shuttle electrons to some other electron carrier. Analysis of the genome sequence of Blastocystis subtype 7 indicates that its MRO participates in a variety of pathways including amino acid metabolism, Fe–S cluster biogenesis, reactive oxygen species (ROS) defence and fatty acid biosynthesis [100] (figures 2 and 3).

(b) Alveolates

The MRO of the ciliate Nyctotherus uses PDH to generate acetyl-CoA and malate deamination to generate succinate by oxidizing RQH2 [101]. The organelles use ASC1 (subtype 1A) and SCS to generate ATP from acetyl-CoA [101,102]. These MROs use a specialized HYD that uniquely possesses C-terminal modules that are most closely related to domains of bacterial [NiFe] HYDs, and are distant homologues of the 51 and 24 kDa subunits of CI [103]. This enzyme presumably oxidizes NADH by reducing protons to generate H2, thus replenishing the NAD+ pool under anaerobic conditions. Interestingly, rumen ciliates including Nyctotherus are often observed in symbiotic relationships with methanogenic endosymbiotic archaea that specifically associate with the MROs, consuming H2 produced by the organelle [104,105]. Additional functions of these MROs include amino acid metabolism and ROS defence. H2-producing MROs of anaerobic ciliates appear to have evolved multiple independent times from canonical mitochondria in ciliate evolution [106], although most of these organelles have not been investigated in detail.

The apicomplexan coccidians Cryptosporidium parvum and Cryptosporidium muris are intracellular parasites of different animals including (but not limited to) humans and rodents. While the MROs of both species are predicted to synthesize ATP by substrate-level phosphorylation, only the MROs of C. muris are predicted to synthesize ATP via oxidative phosphorylation [107–111]. Both C. muris and C. parvum have relict ETCs composed of AOX, malate : quinonedecarboxylase and an alternative NADH dehydrogenase (NDH2). Cryptosporidium muris encodes ATP synthase, SDH and a Krebs cycle, whereas C. parvum encodes a partial F1Fo-ATP synthase. Reports suggest that the C. parvum organelle imports ATP (generated in the cytoplasm by PNO and ACS) using an ADP/ATP carrier [111,112].

(c) Rhizaria

The rhizarian Mikrocytos is an intracellular parasite of oysters [113,114]. In a recent transcriptomic survey, the authors were only able to identify ISC system components and not genes that encode typical mitochondrial proteins (e.g. protein import and folding) or proteins of an extended glycolytic pathway. This suggests the mitosomal metabolism of Mikrocytos is highly reduced and streamlined for Fe–S cluster biogenesis. There are no obvious non-glycolytic candidates for ATP-generating enzymes in the transcriptome of Mikrocytos, suggesting it relies exclusively on fermentative metabolism or another ATP generation mechanism and/or the parasite imports ATP from host cytoplasm like other intracellular parasites [65,115].

6. Anaerobic metabolism: ancestral or acquired?

The evolutionary origin(s) of the aforementioned pathways of anaerobic energy generation have been debated in recent years [116–118]. Two general kinds of evolutionary accounts have been articulated. The first suggests that the ancestor of all extant eukaryotes was facultatively anaerobic and that the mitochondrial endosymbiont was ancestrally capable of respiring both aerobically and anaerobically, depending on the availability of oxygen [116]. The second suggests that various genes related to anaerobic metabolism were acquired
multiple times in different eukaryote lineages via LGT [34,41,89,90,99,118–121].

(a) Hydrogen hypothesis

In 1998, Martin & Müller [116] proposed the ‘Hydrogen hypothesis’ in which they argued that the ancestor of mitochondrion was a H₂-producing, facultatively anaerobic α-proteobacterium that formed a syntrophic relationship with a H₂-dependent methanogenic archaeon. In an anaerobic environment, the α-proteobacterium produced ATP by the anaerobic extended glycolysis pathway discussed above, producing H₂, CO₂ and acetate as waste products that were consumed by the methanogen. Over time, the host archaeon maximized surface area contact with the symbiont (without phagocytosis) to acquire these waste products. At that point, the host–symbiont system could exist in anaerobic and aerobic environments. This proto-eukaryote had an archaeal cytoplasm and a H₂-producing ‘organelle’ also capable of oxygen-dependent respiration. Later, after the major lineages of extant eukaryotes diverged from the LECA, aerobic and anaerobic metabolic pathways were differentially lost in anaerobic and aerobic lineages, respectively, generating the diversity of energy metabolism and the MROs that we see today.

Although this hypothesis has received much attention, several of its predictions are not straightforwardly compatible with current data. Most importantly, there is no direct evidence supporting an α-proteobacterial symbiotic origin of genes encoding anaerobic enzymes of energy metabolism within eukaryotes [34,41,89,90,99,118,119]. Although all recent phylogenies of the pyruvate metabolizing enzymes associated with anaerobes (PFO, PNO and PFL) show eukaryotes as monophyletic, the closest related protist homologues to eukaryotes are never α-proteobacterial; instead, they are sequences from δ-, ε-proteobacteria or firmicutes, although the relationships among bacterial groups in these trees are often mixed up [79,89,96,119]. Phylogenies of HYD resolve at least two phylogenetically distinct eukaryotic clades affiliated with sequences from separate bacterial groups. To date, α-proteobacteria homologues do not branch sister to either of these eukaryotic groups in these analyses. Furthermore, inter-eukaryotic relationships observed in the phylogeny of HYD are incongruent with known organismal relationships and some of these inconsistencies are clearly the result of eukaryote-to-eukaryote LGT [79,96,118]. Finally, it is important to note that the vast majority of modern-day α-proteobacterial genomes do not encode these enzymes for anaerobic metabolism, and those that do appear to have recently acquired them from other bacterial lineages by LGT [79,96,118].

To reconcile these observations with an endosymbiotic mitochondrial origin of these enzymes, it has been argued that their phyletic affinities have been obscured by rampant LGTs among prokaryotes since the endosymbiosis; the genomes of original endosymbionts that gave rise to the mitochondrion were therefore very different from the modern-day α-proteobacteria [18]. This is, of course, possible. However, many typical endosymbiont-derived genes associated with aerobic metabolism that are encoded either on mitochondrial genomes (e.g. cytochrome oxidase 1) or in the nucleus (e.g. PDH) have not had their origins obscured by LGT in this way; α-proteobacterial homologues are, phylogenetically, their closest relatives [122]. It would seem a strange coincidence, then, that all of the eukaryotic enzymes that function exclusively in anaerobic metabolism discussed above lack the characteristic α-proteobacterial affinity of mitochondrial proteins. Even if LGT has completely obscured the phylogenetic origins of these enzymes in eukaryotes, given that prokaryote-to-eukaryote LGT is now a well-established phenomenon [123–126], there is no strong reason to suspect they originated from the mitochondrial symbiont genome rather than some other bacterial source.

(b) Laterally acquired anaerobic metabolism

Here, we propose an LGT model whereby genes encoding enzymes that exclusively function in anaerobic ATP metabolism in eukaryotes were initially acquired from anaerobic bacteria (possibly food or transient endosymbionts) by one or more eukaryotic lineages, and subsequently transferred between eukaryotes after the diversification of extant eukaryotes. The selective advantage was the ability to continue to produce acetyl-CoA and ultimately ATP from pyruvate (and/or malate) under hypoxic conditions commonly encountered by free-living and anaerobic protists. The model rests on the general assumption that, in adapting to new environments, protists can acquire and express genes from prokaryotic or eukaryotic donors that allow them to better thrive. LGT in eukaryotes has been extensively documented for more than a decade and often these gene acquisitions are adaptive [127–129]. Furthermore, the transfer patterns observed are consistent with known ecological co-occurrences of the protist recipients and the bacterial donors [130–132], as observed in cases of prokaryotic LGT [133]. Various specific mechanisms by which LGT can occur in eukaryotes have also been proposed and discussed [134–136], but will not be elaborated in detail here.

Support for an LGT-based model comes primarily from the patchy distribution of the enzymes across the eukaryotic tree, heterogeneous bacterial affinities of the eukaryotic homologues and the unusual inter-eukaryote relationships sometimes observed in phylogenies of the HYD, ASCT, ACS, PFO, HYD and PFL enzymes [34,79,96,118,119,137]. Curiously, eukaryotes are recovered as monophyletic in the most recent phylogenies of PFO, PFL and the HYD maturases. This can be explained in two ways: (i) these enzymes were acquired prior to LECA, and then vertically inherited [118,120] and lost many times in distinct eukaryote lineages, or (ii) they were acquired by one eukaryote lineage (post-LECA) and then passed among eukaryotes via LGT. The latter is plausible if genes acquired from other eukaryotes were more frequently expressed and retained than those acquired from prokaryotes because their cis–acting signals and regulatory elements (e.g. promoters, polyadenylation signals, etc.) were more ‘compatible’ with the recipient genome. In the case that multiple proteins are required to perform a function, LGT-based models are more plausible if these genes were transferred in a single event. This can be accomplished by transfers of: (i) operons in the case of bacterial donors, and (ii) adjacent or fused genes for eukaryote donors (e.g. [79,119]).

(c) A new scenario

The previous sections aimed to highlight both the remarkable diversity and the extensive similarities in the metabolisms of MROs across the tree of eukaryotes. Although MROs have evolved independently in dozens of lineages, they show
functional similarities because several different ‘anaerobic’ enzymes of energy metabolism co-occur in MROs of different lineages. Using modules made up of laterally acquired and ancestral proteins, the MROs of anaerobic protists have convergently acquired similar mechanisms to metabolize pyruvate, generate hydrogen and synthesize ATP. Below, we elaborate rationales for the ordering of events that occurred during these evolutionary transitions.

(i) Reduction of the electron transport chain in response to hypoxia

During transient hypoxia, the subunits of CIII and CIV of the ETC are typically downregulated in the mitochondria of many organisms [48,138] including mammalian cells [139–141]. Therefore, in adapting to hypoxia as a permanent condition, it seems likely that an organism would no longer express CIII and CIV subunits, and, over time, the lack of purifying selection would ultimately lead to their loss from the nuclear and mitochondrial genomes. In this scenario, the first four enzymes of the Krebs cycle (citrate synthase to SCS) would still function to convert citrate to succinate and NADH. However, the remaining enzymes of the Krebs cycle were probably functioning in reverse in the malate dismutation pathway, transforming malate to fumarate, as they do in anaerobic mitochondria and some cancer cells [18,48]. In this situation, CI continues to oxidize NADH and pump protons fuelling ATP synthesis via NADH synthase. However, the lack of CIII and CIV results in a build-up of ubiquinol generated by CI. This build-up of ubiquinol and fumarate would have favoured the functioning of CII in reverse as a fumarate reductase, to regenerate ubiquinone. This scenario is plausible, as some CIIIs of aerobes perform fumarate reduction with sufficient concentrations of substrate [142]. Metabolically, this organelle would resemble the mitochondria of some animals (e.g. Ascaris) when functioning in hypoxic conditions [18]. In some lineages of eukaryotes, the ability to synthesize and use RQ by the acquisition of an enzyme like RQUA [143] or an analogous protein by LGT would have greatly increased the efficiency of the fumarate reductase activity of CII.

(ii) Hydrogenase and complex I

Curiously, organisms that encode PFO always possess a HYD homologue. However, the converse is not true: the genomes of some organisms such as Naegleria, Noccaellinastrum, Piromyces and Nyctotherus (figure 3) encode only HYD, suggesting that this enzyme need not rely on reduced ferredoxin produced by PFO activity. Studies have shown that the Thermotoga HYD forms a trimeric complex with the NuoE/24 kDa and NuoF/51 kDa subunits of CI to simultaneously oxidize both ferredoxin and NADH using a unique electron bifurcation mechanism [144]. This is consistent with studies of T. vaginalis hydrogenosomes that showed that homologues of these CI subunits (responsible for oxidation of NADH) can reduce ferredoxin that is then oxidized by HYD [23] or possibly even shuttle electrons directly to HYD [24]. Interestingly, other anaerobic protists with MRO-targeted HYDs have retained the same two subunits of CI (figure 3), and in Nyctotherus bacterial homologues of these proteins are fused to HYD [103]. This suggests that coupling of NADH oxidation with H₂ production (ferredoxin) could also occur in these organisms [18].

In this scenario, once HYD was acquired by a protist, the NuoE and F subunits could have had dual roles. Under aerobic conditions, they would be predominantly bound to the membrane-embedded subunits functioning as part of CI. However, under low oxygen conditions when the ETC is functioning less efficiently, NuoE and F could instead mediate electron transfer from NADH to HYD, ultimately generating hydrogen gas and regenerating NAD⁺ required by multiple mitochondrial pathways. In some lineages, most CI subunits appear to have been completely lost, along with ATP synthase, and only the NuoE and F subunits were retained to carry out this putative HYD-related function. It is unclear why a full CI persists in organisms that lack ATP synthase, such as Blastocystis and Nyctotherus; it may be related to maintaining a proton gradient required for protein import [145], small molecule transport (e.g. SLC25 carrier proteins [146]) and NADH/NADPH exchange (for review, see [147]).

(iii) Changes to pyruvate metabolism and ATP generation

When aerobic organisms experience hypoxia, the ETC becomes inefficient causing NADH levels to rise, inhibiting PDH via negative allosteric regulation and phosphorylation by PDH kinase [148,149]. Under these conditions, the energy output of mitochondria decreases [150] and limits the efficiency of pathways that rely on acetyl-CoA as a substrate (e.g., long-chain fatty acid biosynthesis [151]). For organisms adapting to hypoxia, acquiring an NADH-insensitive pyruvate-oxidizing enzyme such as PFO would be selectively advantageous. In this scenario, electrons from PFO-mediated pyruvate oxidation could be ferried directly to the HYD via ferredoxin. The MRO would then possess both PDH and a PFO/HYD system as found in Blastocystis sp. [99] and Acinthamoeba [96]. Once PFO and HYD were acquired, as long as the organism was living under predominantly hypoxic conditions (as PFO is an oxygen-sensitive enzyme [152]), PDH would become unnecessary and eventually be lost.

At this point, the hypothetical MRO had a partially functioning ETC (CI and CII), PFO, HYD and a partial Krebs cycle and, possibly, mtDNA; it synthesized ATP via CV and ultimately excreted succinate (propionate and/or acetate) as the end product of metabolism. It is possible that, at this stage in the evolutionary transition of the MRO, succinate could be directly converted to succinyl-CoA by an ASCT. The timing of the acquisition of one of the subtypes of these enzymes is unclear as ASCT homologues are present in MROs of a number of anaerobically adapted organisms including those with or without the PFO and/or HYD enzymes [18]. In any case, ASCT allows the synthesis of succinyl-CoA as substrate for SCS to generate ATP independent of the Krebs cycle. A reduction in NADH production (because of loss of PDH and/or reduced output from the partial Krebs cycle) and lowered proton motive force (because of loss of CIII and CIV) probably led to a reduced efficiency, and eventual loss, of the F₂Fₒ-ATP synthase, as observed in Blastocystis [99] and Nyctotherus [102].

(iv) Loss of complex I, mtDNA and malate dismutation

The loss of the majority of CI subunits and the Krebs cycle would yield an MRO resembling that of P. biforma (figure 2)—an organelle lacking a mitochondrial genome, and retaining only CII, two CI subunits, PFO, HYD, ASCT and SCS. Eventually, the CII (and thus malate dismutase) could have been lost if succinate (the substrate for ASCT) was imported (or generated by an alternative mechanism), as
presumably occurs in T. vaginalis hydrogenosomes. Instead of acquiring ASCT, some lineages acquired ACS and generated ATP directly from acetyl-CoA in their MROs (e.g. M. balamuthi [89] or S. salmonicida [34]). Interestingly, Mastigamoeba has a mysterious combination of enzymes; its genome encodes only the four subunits of CII and not ASCT, SCS or a MRO-targeted fumarase.

A final step in organelle reduction has occurred in those lineages that have MROs that do not function in ATP synthesis (i.e. mitosomes). In such lineages, ATP is either synthesized in the cytoplasm (by PFO, HYD and ACS in Giardia and Entamoeba) (figure 2) or imported from host cells (in Microsporidia) [64,65].

7. Final remarks

Above, we have discussed a set of evolutionary transitions that could lead to the metabolic diversity seen in anaerobic protists today. It is likely that the true evolutionary pathways that led to MRO diversity in extant anaerobes were more complex than the foregoing scenarios might suggest. We advance these hypotheses only to stimulate further investigation into, and discussion of, the evolutionary events and selective forces leading to adaptation of mitochondria to hypoxia.

Although we have focused predominantly on ATP and carbohydrate metabolism, we observe the general pattern that MROs of free-living organisms tend to maintain more anaerobic pathways than those of parasites, which presumably rely on the host to fulfil these needs. For instance, the organelles of Pygsuia have retained a variety of lipid and amino acid metabolic pathways not found in those of parasites like Trichomonas, Giardia or Entamoeba. Furthermore, the MROs of free-living organisms (e.g. Pygsuia, chytrids, Mastigamoeba) often express several different enzymes with redundant functions; for example, many encode several enzymes that convert pyruvate to acetyl-CoA (PFL, PFO and/or PNO). This contrasts with the more streamlined metabolism found in parasites (e.g. Trichomonas, Spironucleus, Entamoeba and Giardia, in which PFO is the only acetyl-CoA-producing enzyme). This observation is harder to reconcile with respect to reliance on a host. It is possible that since free-living organisms tend to occupy dynamic environments (compared with parasites), several enzymes with different cofactor dependencies could provide metabolic versatility. More information on the regulation of these various enzymes under different conditions is needed to determine how they might allow these organisms to adapt to changing environments.

Authors’ contributions. C.W.S. and M.M.L. collected data summarized in the electronic supplementary material. C.W.S., M.M.L. and A.J.R. wrote the manuscript. C.W.S. designed and constructed the figures.

Competing interests. We declare we have no competing interests.

Funding. Work by C.W.S. was funded in part by Killam Trusts, National Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada Canadian Graduate Scholarship. M.M.L. was funded in part by the Nova Scotia Health Research Foundation and the National Research Fund of Luxembourg. This work was supported by a Regional Partnerships Program grant (FRN#62809) from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) and the NSHRF awarded to A.J.R.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Dr Alastair Simpson, Dr Laura Eme, and Dr Bernard Lemire and Dr Martin Kolisko for helpful discussion.

References


81. Gawryluk RMR, Chisholm KA, Pinto DM, Gray MW. 2014 Compositional complexity of the mitochondrial proteome of a unicellular eukaryote (Acanthamoeba castellanii, supergroup Amoebozoa) rivals that of


110. Hiller AH, Trend AE, Hazeldine JL. 2004 Changes in the mitochondrial proteome during the anaoxia to air
transition in rice focus around cytochrome-containing respiratory complexes. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 39471 – 39478. (doi:10.1074/jbc.M406015200)


