Twisting and subunit rotation in single F$_0$F$_1$-ATP synthase
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F$_0$F$_1$-ATP synthases are ubiquitous proton- or ion-powered membrane enzymes providing ATP for all kinds of cellular processes. The mechanochemistry of catalysis is driven by two rotary nanomotors coupled within the enzyme. Their different step sizes have been observed by single-molecule microscopy including videomicroscopy of fluctuating nanobeads attached to single enzymes and single-molecule Förster resonance energy transfer. Here we review recent developments of approaches to monitor the step size of subunit rotation and the transient elastic energy storage mechanism in single F$_0$F$_1$-ATP synthases.

1. Introduction

Adenosine triphosphate (ATP) is the universal energy currency of the cell. When it is hydrolysed to adenosine diphosphate (ADP) and inorganic phosphate (P$_i$), its biochemical standard free energy $\Delta G^0$(ATP) of $-30$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ [1–3] can be used for a variety of biochemical reactions. However, under physiological conditions, the free energy of ATP hydrolysis is even higher, about $-50$ to $-60$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ [4]. As the amount of ATP is limited—for example, our human body contains about 50 g—it must constantly be recycled as we consume 1000-fold more ATP than we have [5,6]. Some 4.5 billion years ago, a class of enzymes named ATP synthases evolved to regenerate ATP from ADP and P$_i$. Depending on structural properties of subunits and the primary physiological function in different cells or cellular compartments, three major types of enzymes are discriminated [7,8]. F$_0$F$_1$-ATP synthases are found in the thylakoid membrane of chloroplasts, in the inner mitochondrial membrane and in the bacterial plasma membrane. Their function is the synthesis of ATP. By contrast, V$_0$V$_1$-ATPases are ATP-driven proton pumps [9,10]. Archaeal A$_0$A$_1$-ATP synthases are ATP-producing enzymes with structures similar to those of V$_0$V$_1$-ATPases.

ATP synthesis in F$_0$F$_1$-ATP synthases is powered by an electrochemical potential difference of protons (or Na$^+$ in some cells), over the respective membranes [11]. About 50 years ago, the principal mechanism of the ATP synthase was postulated by Peter Mitchell in his chemiosmotic theory in 1961 [12]. He claimed that ATP is not synthesized by a phosphorylated intermediate in mitochondria, but by an enzyme integrated in the inner mitochondrial membrane, using the difference in proton concentrations ($\Delta p$H) in the two compartments plus the electric potential ($\Delta V$) across the separating membrane [12]. Both components comprise the proton motive force, PMF. The $\Delta$H is generated during photosynthesis in chloroplasts, or during aerobic phosphorylation in mitochondria or bacteria. Although it took more than a decade before the chemiosmotic theory was commonly accepted, this mechanism is now part of every biochemical textbook. However, the way that single protons drive the catalytic reaction cycle within the enzyme still had to be unravelling at the atomic level.

2. The components of the two rotary motors of F$_0$F$_1$-ATP synthase

Today, a wealth of structural information about the enzyme is available. F$_0$F$_1$-ATP synthase consists of two domains. In the bacterial enzyme, subunits
\( \alpha_3\beta_3\gamma_3 \beta_3 \) comprise the F₁ domain (we will use the *Escherichia coli* nomenclature in the following) while subunits \( \alpha_3\beta_3\epsilon \) form the membrane-embedded F₀ domain. The number of c subunits varies between species and seems to depend on the available proton (or Na⁺) motive force. The smallest number of c subunits is eight for the mitochondrial enzyme from bovine heart [13], and the largest known so far is 15 in enzymes from cyanobacteria [14]. The bacterial enzyme from *E. coli* has 10 c subunits [15].

The first pioneering crystal structure of the F₁ portion from bovine heart mitochondria was described by John Walker and co-workers in 1994 [16]. The main features were the alternating arrangement of \( \alpha_3\beta_3 \) subunits, which formed a hexagonal structure, and a central stalk formed by subunit \( \gamma \). The catalytic nucleotide binding sites were located mainly on the \( \beta \) subunits, at the interface with \( \alpha \). Subunits named \( \beta_{TP} \) and \( \beta_{TP} \) contained AMP-PNP, a non-hydrolysable ATP analogue, or ADP, respectively, while the third \( \beta \) subunit (\( \beta_\delta \)) was empty. It seemed that the \( \beta \) subunits changed their conformation depending on the bound nucleotide. Three additional nucleotide binding sites were located at the other interfaces of \( \alpha\beta \). However, they were non-catalytic, and all contained AMP-PNP. Consequently, the crystal structure was interpreted as a still picture of the active enzyme. It was shown later that it resembled the catalytic dwell (see below) [17,18].

Another feature of subunit \( \beta \) was the amino acid sequence DELSEED, which apparently formed a lever that can act to open and close the associated nucleotide binding site. It seemed that parts of subunit \( \gamma \) can interact with this lever and, thereby, define the conformational state of each catalytic binding site, because \( \gamma \) sequentially changes its orientation with respect to the three \( \beta \) subunits in the active enzyme. Moreover, subunit \( \gamma \) consisted of a globular domain that, together with \( \epsilon \), faced the membrane-embedded F₀ portion, and two N- and C-terminal \( \alpha \)-helices that formed a coiled coil and extended into the central cavity of the \( \alpha_3\beta_3 \) hexagon.

Recently, the first F₁ structure of the *E. coli* enzyme was published [19]. With a high overall similarity to the mitochondrial F₁ structures, it was confirmed that the globular domain of \( \gamma \) is located at the membrane side of F₁ and probably interacts with the \( c \) subunits of F₀ (which were not present in this structure). Subunit \( \epsilon \) was attached to \( \gamma \) and is therefore also part of the central stalk of *E. coli* F₁. Subunit \( \delta \) was not present in this structure, but is located at the top of the enzyme.

In the F₀ portion, a ring of \( c \) subunits is embedded in the membrane. The ring interacts with subunits \( \gamma \) and \( \epsilon \) of F₁ [20,21] and with subunits \( \alpha \) [22,23] and \( \beta_2 \) of F₀ [24,25]. Subunit \( a \) forms the two proton (or Na⁺ in some organisms) conducting half channels that end on either side of the membrane. The dimeric subunits \( b_2 \) form a right-handed coiled coil with a small residue off-set [26,27] that encompasses the whole protein from the membrane to the top of the \( \alpha_3\beta_3 \) hexagon. The \( b \) subunits are regarded as the eccentric stalk bound tightly to F₁ [28,29]. This peripheral connection of \( ab_2 \) to F₁ combined with the three possible orientations of \( \gamma \) within F₁ results in an asymmetric structure of the enzyme, with an orientation of the central axis that is stochastic with respect to the peripheral \( b_2 \) subunits. The structural model of *E. coli* FₒF₁-ATP synthase is shown in figure 1a. Homology modelling based on the mitochondrial enzyme structures and assumptions about subunit localization based on cross-link data and single-molecule Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) triangulation were used.

The question still remains how proton (or Na⁺) translocation at a remote part in F₀ is coupled to the synthesis of ATP in the three nucleotide binding sites in the \( \beta \) subunits of F₁. A concept for ATP synthesis was first proposed by Paul Boyer in 1981 before detailed structural information was available [35,36]. According to his ‘binding change mechanism’, two of the three nucleotide binding sites either bind ADP and P₁ or generate ATP, and these reactions are synchronized by a rotating, central, asymmetric \( \gamma \) subunit. Proton-translocation through F₀ is the driving force for \( \gamma \) rotation. In this concept, FₒF₁-ATP synthase comprises a double motor, formed by the rotating c-ring in F₀ and \( \gamma \)e in F₁. The rotor is stabilized by the stator subunits \( \alpha_3\beta_3\epsilon \) in F₀ and \( \alpha_3\beta_3\delta \) in F₁. In figure 1a, the rotary subunits \( \gamma, \epsilon \) and \( \delta \) are depicted in red, pink and orange, respectively.

Since the first crystal structure was published supporting the rotary mechanism of catalysis, many research groups tried to reveal the molecular mechanisms of this motor enzyme, applying a variety of biochemical and spectroscopic techniques to study its function [37–39]. However, the asymmetric structure of the holoenzyme limited the results owing to ensemble averaging. FₒF₁-ATP synthase is a relatively robust enzyme that can easily be manipulated, making it suitable for single-molecule microscopy (which has evolved since 1989 from the first experiments with dyes in organic crystals at liquid helium temperature [40]). Observing sequential conformational changes of single enzymes as time trajectories, i.e. one enzyme at a time or separated in space, does not require a synchronization of asymmetric proteins for a well-defined starting position or conformation in the catalytic cycle. Here we will present some of the single-molecule experiments that unravelled the rotational mechanism of the two coupled rotary nanomotors within FₒF₁-ATP synthase.  

### 3. Direct evidence: ATP-driven rotation assays resolve 120° steps and sub-steps in F₁

In 1997, the ground-breaking experiment that unambiguously demonstrated the rotational mechanism in the F₁ portion of FₒF₁-ATP synthase was reported by Noji et al. [41]. They attached the \( \alpha_3\beta_3\gamma \) sub-complex of TF₁ (i.e. F₁ from the thermophilic *Bacillus* strain PS3) to a Ni-nitrotriacetic acid covered glass coverslip via histidine-tags in each \( \beta \) subunit and coupled a fluorescent actin filament to a cysteine in the globular domain of subunit \( \gamma \) via a biotin–streptavidin–biotin linker. They used videomicroscopy to record single molecules of this F₁–actin complex. After addition of ATP, hydrolysis reaction in F₁ caused a unidirectional rotational movement of subunit \( \gamma \) that was visualized in real time by the actin filament. This rotation assay served as a starting point for a series of single-molecule experiments that eventually resulted in the decryption of the successive reaction steps in F₁ during ATP hydrolysis. Besides fluorescent actin filaments, gold nanobeads [42], gold nanorods [43–45], polystyrene [46] or magnetic beads [47–50], or the fluorescence anisotropy of single fluorophores [51–53] served as reporters of subunit rotation in F₁ as well as in FₒF₁. In the latter, the reporter was attached to the c-ring [53–55].

The principal set-up for the rotation assay is shown in figure 1b with a fluorescent bead as the marker of rotation.
Figure 1. (a) Structural model of *E. coli* F$_0$F$_1$-ATP synthase with one $\alpha$ (light blue) and one $\beta$ (dark blue) subunit removed to expose subunit $\gamma$ (red) in the centre of the $\alpha_3$$\beta_3$-pseudohexagon. Together with $\epsilon$ (pink) and $\delta$ on top (dark green), they form the F$_1$ portion. The membrane-embedded F$_2$ portion consists of 10 c subunits (yellow/orange), which form a ring structure within the membrane. Subunit $a$ (light green) and two b subunits (green) form an eccentric stalk to hold both portions together. Subunits $\gamma$, $\epsilon$ and the $c_{\text{gird}}$-ring form the rotor of F$_0$F$_1$ (reddish colours), which rotates clockwise (if viewed from the membrane) during ATP synthesis with respect to the stator subunits $\alpha_3$$\beta_3$$\delta$$\text{ab}_2$ (blue/green colours). ATP synthesis in $\beta$ is driven by proton (or Na$^+$) flow through F$_0$ owing to an electrochemical potential difference over the membrane. This homology model of F$_0$F$_1$ is a composite of several partial structures (PDB codes 1BMF [16], 2CK3 [30], 1H8E [31] and 1YCE [32] in the Protein Data Bank, http://www.pdb.org) and was drawn with VMD v. 1.9.1 [33]. Subunits $a$, $b_2$ and $\delta$ have been modelled in roughly their correct size and manually attached using cross-link data. (b) Set-up of the rotation assay with a magnetic bead attached to the F$_1$ portion. F$_1$ ($\alpha$$\beta$$\gamma$) is attached to a cover glass via histidine (His)-tags in each $\beta$ subunit. The streptavidin-covered magnetic bead is coupled to a cysteine in subunit $\gamma$ via biotin. The bead is doped with fluorescent biotinylated quantum dots to visualize ATP-driven rotation of the $\gamma$-bead complex. (c) Endpoint distribution of a rotating actin filament (1 $\mu$m) coupled to the $c_{\text{gird}}$-ring of *E. coli* F$_0$F$_1$, in the rotation assay showing apparent 120° stepping rotation during ATP hydrolysis at high [ATP] in the presence of detergent (adapted from [34]). (d) Dwell times of stepped rotation of *E. coli* F$_0$F$_1$, in the presence of (i) 5 mM or (ii) 0.1 mM ATP. The histograms show the averaged dwells of the stepping positions of one enzyme after 200 or 120 rotations, respectively.

The bright bead could be located with nanometre precision in high-speed videomicroscopy. During $\gamma$ subunit rotation, the centre of the bead position moved only a few nanometres in the x- and y-direction of the microscopic images. Alternatively, using a fluorescent actin filament as marker of rotation, the ATP-driven rotation was analysed by locating the ends of the actin filament in each frame of the recorded video. The changing end position was attributed to the free, moving end of the filament, whereas the other end that stayed at the same position in each image was associated with the streptavidin connection to the rotary $\gamma$ subunit in F$_1$ (or rotary c subunits in F$_0$F$_1$ from *E. coli* in the presence of detergent as shown here in figure 1c for convenience [34]). Plotting all changing end positions resulted in three major stopping positions, which were separated by micrometres in the x- and y-direction. Therefore, the related rotary angles were easily distinguishable. The dwell times for each assigned area of stopping positions were calculated and added to histograms (figure 1d, c-ring rotation data from *E. coli* F$_0$F$_1$ in detergent as an example [34]). Dwell time distributions depended on the ATP concentration ([ATP]). At high [ATP], i.e. in the millimolar range, the dwell times were significantly affected by the viscous drag of the actin filament slowing down rotation, but for low [ATP], less than 1 $\mu$m, dwell times corresponded to the biochemical turnover rates in solution [56].

Subsequent videomicroscopy experiments aimed to associate different reaction steps of ATP hydrolysis, i.e. ATP binding, ATP hydrolysis, ADP and P$_i$ release, to angular-resolved sub-steps of $\gamma$ subunit rotation [42]. Small 40-nm gold beads were used to lower the friction on the enzyme during rotation, and a high-speed camera with 8000 frames per second was required to observe a three-stepped rotation at 2 mM [ATP]. Each stop after a 120° rotational step corresponded to an ATP hydrolysis reaction in one of the three catalytic sites in the $\beta$ subunits. By lowering [ATP] to 2 $\mu$m, each rotary transition was further resolved into two substeps of 80° and 40°. Substrate was limited at low [ATP] and, therefore, binding of an ATP molecule was slowed down. The duration of the dwell before the 80° rotational sub-step depended on [ATP], indicating that (i) the 80° sub-step is associated with the binding process of an ATP molecule to an empty nucleotide binding site, and (ii) the dwell before the 80° sub-step is the ‘ATP-waiting state’ of the enzyme. The dwell before the rotary 40° sub-step was independent of [ATP] and was associated with the ATP hydrolysis reaction and product release. Therefore, it was named the ‘catalytic dwell’.
Next, rotation of subunit γ and the binding event of a fluorescent ATP derivative to subunit β were monitored simultaneously. In this rotation assay, polystyrene or magnetic beads attached to TF1 served as the reporters to follow the rotation of γ by bright-field microscopy [57,58]. A total of 10 per cent of the ATP molecules were fluorescently labelled with the cyanine dye Cy3 (Cy3-ATP). By total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIRFM), an evanescent wave was created above the coverslip to only label Cy3-ATP molecules bound to TF1, but not the diffusing molecules in the bulk solution. The polarization of the evanescent wave was oscillated with a frequency of 1 Hz. Thereby, fluorescence of bound Cy3-ATP in any of the three β subunits was detected through angle-resolved fluorescence anisotropy imaging. Fluorescence and bright-field light were separated by a dichroic mirror and simultaneously recorded with a conventional video camera. At 0.6 μM ATP + Cy3-ATP, subunit γ progressed in 120° steps and a binding event of Cy3-ATP immediately induced a rotation of the bead by 120°. This Cy3-ATP molecule (or its hydrolysed derivative Cy3-ADP) remained bound at least for a 240° rotation of γ. The nucleotide binding site in β then remained empty until binding of another ATP molecule occurred. These results excluded that each β subunit acts on its own, but confirmed that all three catalytic sites— together with subunit γ—hydrolyse ATP in a concerted action. When only Cy3-ATP without unlabelled ATP was used, the hydrolysis reaction was slowed down (see docking calculations and turnover rates in [59]), and the 80° and 40° sub-steps became visible.

From these and subsequent single-molecule rotation experiments with surface-attached F1 from the thermophilic Bacillus strain PS3, the following reaction scheme for ATP hydrolysis in the F1 motor emerged [17,60,61]. If the ATP-waiting dwell at a rotational angle of subunit γ is defined as 0°, ATP binding to the empty catalytic side induces an 80° rotational movement of γ. At the same time, the nucleotide binding site at γ then becomes empty until binding of another ATP molecule occurs. ATP that was bound during the previous step is hydrolysed into ADP and Pi. In a second reaction, the release of phosphate triggers the following 40° sub-step. ADP is released during the second 80° sub-step from the side that was previously at γ. The rotational movement of γ in the separated F1 motor is ATP-dependent and occurs in three or six distinguished rotary steps. While the rotation of γ is counter-clockwise when viewed from the membrane, the sequence of events in the β subunits is in the opposite direction, i.e. after binding of one ATP to an empty site in one β subunit, the ATP in the neighbouring β subunit in clockwise direction will be hydrolysed during the following catalytic dwell.

Most single-molecule studies on the mechanism of the F1-ATPase described above were carried out with the αβγ sub-complex of TF1, mainly by attaching actin filaments or beads to subunit γ. The mesophilic E. coli F1 enzyme, as studied by the group of Masamitsu Futai with 40–60-nm sized gold beads, rotated slightly faster at similar [ATP] and temperatures. Their protein preparation contained also the second rotary subunit ε, but not the static subunit δ of F1 in the single-molecule assay. At saturating [ATP], a rotational rate of γ in these single F1 molecules of 400 rps (or 1200 ATP s⁻¹, respectively), was reported [62,63]. The single-molecule catalytic dwell times were 0.2 ms, i.e., about 10 times shorter than expected from the biochemical ensemble measurements of ATP hydrolysis, and the transition time for the 120° steps was 0.6 ms [64]. Thus, different stochastic pausing mechanisms (ADP inhibition and ε inhibition with distinct dwells) could be the causes for the apparent evidence that about 90 per cent of the F1 molecules in the ensemble measurements are inhibited. Compared with TF1, the E. coli enzyme has a shorter catalytic dwell but a slightly slower transition for the 120° step [64–66]. On the other hand, Wayne Frasch and colleagues observed the rotation of 75-nm-long gold nanorods attached to γ of E. coli F1 under saturating [ATP] with a very high temporal resolution of 2.5 μs. They found catalytic dwell times of about 8 ms in single EF1, comparable to their bulk ATP hydrolysis measurements of 7.7 ms [43]. Because differences in rotational rates were also reported for ATP-driven c-ring rotation in F0F1 from E. coli (see below), any comparison of rotational rates of a single molecule has to be done carefully, and the sites of cysteine mutations for marker attachment, marker sizes and shapes, other mutations, completeness of subunits in the enzyme, or the presence of detergents or lipids for F0F1 have to be distinguished. In addition, the time resolution of the experimental approach has to match the rotational details to be resolved.

4. Mechanically driven ATP synthesis in single F1 using external magnetic force

The F1 complex is capable of only ATP hydrolysis because it lacks the F0 motor. However, when γ in F1 was turned in the opposite direction by an external magnetic force acting on a magnetic bead attached to γ, it could also synthesize ATP, demonstrating that mechanical energy can be transformed into chemical energy [47,48]. The applied external magnetic field was used to drive the enzyme in either catalytic direction, that is, to enforce ATP hydrolysis or synthesis, or to stall the γ subunit at any given rotational angle. In sealed picolitre reaction chambers, it was possible to detect and quantify the few synthesized ATP molecules by the luciferin/luciferase luminescence reactions, or by monitoring ATP-driven rotation after release of the external magnetic force, respectively.

5. Proton-driven subunit rotation can be monitored by single-molecule FRET

A different experimental approach was developed to monitor rotational movements in the holoenzyme F0F1-ATP synthase during proton-driven ATP synthesis. In the group of Gräber, single-molecule Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) was applied to observe the rotation of the central shaft in individual E. coli F0F1-ATP synthases. Two fluorescent dyes in close proximity, that is in the range of up to 10 nm, can transfer energy from one to the other by the non-radiative Förster mechanism, provided the emission spectrum of the donor fluorophore overlaps with the absorption spectrum of the acceptor, and the transition dipole moments of the dyes are not oriented orthogonal to each other [67]. Then the resonance energy transfer efficiency depends on the distance
between the two dyes. Therefore, FRET can be used as a molecular ruler within single proteins for dye distances between 3 and 8 nm. Börsch and colleagues used two fluorophores attached to subunit γ or ε on the rotor and to both b subunits on the stator via genetically introduced cysteines [68–72]. Specific labelling of the respective cysteines was achieved by separate labelling of the F1 and FO portions, and subsequent reassembly into a fully functional double-labelled holoenzyme, which was reconstituted in liposomes. These proteoliposomes contained less than one enzyme on average and showed ATP hydrolysis and synthesis rates similar to the non-modified wild-type enzymes from *E. coli*.

The measurement principle of confocal single-molecule FRET with freely diffusing proteoliposomes in solution is shown in figure 2a. The dye rhodamine 110 (Rh110) on the rotor subunit γ was excited by a laser at 488 nm and acted as the FRET donor, while the second dye cyanine 5 (Cy5) on the stator subunits b₂ served as the FRET acceptor [73] (figure 2b). The fluorescence of both dyes was detected by sensitive avalanche photo-diodes and recorded using time-correlated single photon counting electronics with picosecond time resolution. Once a proteoliposome entered the femtolitre-sized confocal excitation/detection volume owing to Brownian motion, the FRET donor Rh110 on FoF₁ was excited repeatedly and emitted photons in a burst. The fluorescence intensity depended on the actual position of the dye within the laser focus, which had an approximate three-dimensional Gaussian intensity distribution. The mean transit time for the proteoliposomes was about 30–50 ms, but a few proteoliposomes could be observed for up to several hundred milliseconds (figure 2c,d). To power ATP synthesis, the proteoliposomes with a single enzyme were energized by a ΔpH using buffer mixing in the presence of an electrical potential difference created by a K⁺ diffusion potential [11]. For rotation measurements during ATP hydrolysis, 1 mM ATP was added to the buffer in the presence of 2.5 mM Mg²⁺. The concentration of liposomes containing only one labelled FoF₁-ATP synthase was adjusted to about 100 pM so that on time average, only one enzyme was detected in the focus of the confocal microscope. In the presence of AMP-PNP, each enzyme showed one of three different FRET efficiencies corresponding to three possible orientations of the γ subunit within the F₁ portion. From the FRET efficiencies, the dye-to-dye distances of about 4.5, 6.5 and 8 nm were calculated for each γ subunit orientation. These distances were in agreement with the model of FoF₁ from *E. coli*.

During catalysis, also, three FRET efficiencies were found. However, they changed fast and stepwise within photon bursts of single enzymes. Their sequence was reversed, depending on the catalytic conditions as seen in figures 2c for ATP hydrolysis and 2d for ATP synthesis. The mean dwell times for the FRET levels were found in the range of 12–20 ms for ATP hydrolysis, or between 17 and 50 ms for

---

**Figure 2.** (a) Monitoring subunit rotation in a single reconstituted FoF₁-ATP synthase by confocal FRET microscopy. The proteoliposome arbitrarily traverses the confocal excitation/detection volume owing to Brownian motion. (b) Positions of FRET fluorophores in FoF₁-ATP synthase. FRET donor Rh110 (blue dot) on subunit ε of the rotor shown in black was attached off the central axis of rotation and was expected to move according to the blue arrow during ATP hydrolysis. Rotation was opposite for ATP synthesis (green arrow). FRET acceptor Cy5 (red dot) was attached to the b subunit dimer of the stator shown in grey. (c) Photon burst of a FRET-labelled FoF₁-ATP synthase during ATP hydrolysis. The lower panel shows fluorescence intensity trajectories of FRET donor Rh110 (green trace. Iₐ) and acceptor Cy5 (red trace, Iₐ). The upper panel shows the corresponding proximity factor P as a blue trace, with P = Iₐ / (I₀ + Iₐ). FRET efficiency levels were assigned manually and called 'high FRET' H, 'medium FRET' M, and 'low FRET' L. The FRET sequence was →H → M → L → H→ (adapted from [73]). (d) Photon burst of a FRET-labelled FoF₁-ATP synthase during ATP synthesis. The lower panel shows fluorescence intensity trajectories and the upper panels shows the proximity factor trace. The FRET sequence was reversed →L → M → H → L→ (adapted from [73]).
ATP synthesis, respectively. These dwells corresponded to a single enzyme turnover of approximately 70 ATP s$^{-1}$ for ATP hydrolysis and up to 50 ATP s$^{-1}$ for ATP synthesis. Single-molecule rates were in good agreement with the biochemical ensemble rates for the same enzyme preparations reconstituted in liposomes. The progression of the three FRET levels was the same in about 80 per cent of all FRET levels, but reversed for the opposing biochemical conditions. For ATP hydrolysis and synthesis, the three FRET levels were similar, that is independent from the direction of rotation. This demonstrated not only that $\gamma$ and $\epsilon$ are indeed part of the rotor in the holoenzyme F$_{0}$F$_{1}$-ATP synthase, but also that the catalytic events during ATP synthesis and ATP hydrolysis are in principle reversible. In the presence of the non-competitive inhibitor aurovertin B, differences in the rotational movement of $\gamma$ during ATP synthesis and ATP hydrolysis could be unravelled, indicating that inhibitors not only serve as controls for biochemical activity measurements but can also be used to obtain additional mechanistic information. Single-molecule FRET measurements can discriminate between a general slowing down of rotation during ATP hydrolysis and partial blockade of rotation during ATP synthesis [73].

In a subsequent single-molecule FRET experiment, the step size of the rotating c-ring in F$_{0}$F$_{1}$-ATP synthase was resolved [74]. Enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) was fused to the stator subunit $\alpha$ in F$_{0}$ and served as a FRET donor [75], while the acceptor fluorophore Alexa568 was covalently bound to a cysteine of one c subunit. Reconstituted single enzymes were driven by a proton motive force to synthesize ATP. Double-labelled, rotating enzymes were detected by pulsed alternating laser excitation with an optimized duty cycle [76, 77]. Up to five different FRET levels were discriminated. However, the FRET efficiency changes were smaller and the dwell times shorter than before, as expected for a 10-stepped rotary movement with the same rotational rate for the full rotation as the three-step motor in F$_{1}$. Small and large FRET changes were manually assigned in the dataset, but the progression of FRET levels was not always clear and unidirectional. The time resolution of the single-molecule FRET experiment was limited to 1 ms owing to a reduced brightness and photophysical properties of the fluorophores, so that some short dwells could be missed. Simulated single-molecule FRET time trajectories were generated by a Monte Carlo approach to facilitate the unravelling of the c-ring step size. Simulations of a 10-stepped c-ring with five different FRET distances for ring symmetry reasons were compared to a c-ring rotating with three 120° steps. Afterwards the experimental FRET results could be fitted best when assuming a 10-stepped rotation. Thus it was concluded that the rotary c-ring of the enzyme indeed proceeds in 36° steps during ATP synthesis. However, it could not be ruled out that the single-molecule FRET level distribution would also support a combination of 80° + 40° sub-steps, which were reported for the thermophilic TF$_{1}$ during ATP hydrolysis.

The one-step-after-another rotation of the c-ring was supported for the ATP hydrolysis mode using nanorods [44] or nanobeads [78]. However, mutations in the proton-translocation pathway through subunit $\alpha$ or increased viscous drag were required to resolve the sub-steps in these experiments. As discussed for the case of $\gamma$ subunit rotation in F$_{1}$ above, it is important to compare the single-molecule rotational speed and step sizes with caution. Using closed liposomes in the FRET experiments in contrast to surface-supported lipid bilayer patches or lipid nanodiscs will affect and slow down ATP-driven subunit rotation owing to the build-up of a countering proton concentration difference by the coupled enzyme. In addition, the amino acid positions for cysteine mutations not only will allow attachment of the fluorophores or other markers, but might alter the catalytic rates of the mutant enzymes as well. Nevertheless, because there is a mismatch between the 10-step rotation in F$_{0}$ and the three-step rotation in F$_{1}$, these results strengthened the model of two elastically coupled nanomotors that was developed by Junge and co-workers [4, 79–82].

5. Different step sizes of the two coupled motors require internal elasticity

In F$_{0}$F$_{1}$-ATP synthase, two nanomotors work against each other. Depending on the proton motive force, the E. coli enzymes in proteoliposomes can switch from ATP synthesis to ATP hydrolysis [3]. The chemical potential of ATP synthesis or hydrolysis in F$_{1}$ works against the electrochemical potential difference over the membrane that drives the motor in F$_{0}$. The two motors run with different gears. F$_{1}$ is a 3-stepper motor, while F$_{0}$ is a 10-stepper motor in E. coli (or 8–15 stepper, depending on the organism). The question arises how the energy is transmitted between theses two motors, and how the enzyme can overcome the energy barriers for a system where two motors with different gears are tightly coupled. It has been suggested that the motors are elastically coupled [4, 79–82]. Instead of a rigid system, certain parts of this enzyme are elastically soft and can store energy transiently until needed for the chemical reaction. Thereby, the enzyme can run with a high rotational rate and kinetic efficiency.

In a series of single-molecule experiments, Sielaff and co-workers have determined these elastic elements [49, 50]. They used mutants of E. coli F$_{1}$ or F$_{0}$F$_{1}$ that contained two engineered cysteines, one in the rotor (subunits $\gamma$ or $\epsilon$) and the other in the stator (subunits $\beta$, $\alpha$ or $\delta$) opposing the former cysteine (figure 3a). The cysteine pairs, namely al223C/cL72C (blue in figure 3e), $\delta$D380C/\gammaA87C (green) and $\alpha$E284C/$\gamma$A276C (red), were placed over the length of the rotor stalk to scan for its different elasticities. In the rotation assay, the enzyme was attached to the glass surface via histidine-tags in each $\beta$ subunit, while a short fluorescently labelled actin filament (approx. 0.5 μm) or a quantum dot (Q-dot) doped magnetic bead (1 μm) linked to the enzyme on the other side served as a reporter for videomicroscopy. The reporters were bound to either the c-ring in F$_{0}$F$_{1}$ or to $\gamma$ in F$_{1}$, respectively (figure 3a, b).

After addition of ATP and under reducing conditions, the subunits started to rotate as expected. Upon oxidation, the two cysteines formed a disulphide bond and the enzyme stopped to rotate. In this state, only the thermal fluctuations of the enzyme-reporter-system were visible. A histogram of these fluctuations showed Gaussian-like distributions (figure 3c). The width $\sigma$ of the Gaussians was inversely proportional to the torsional stiffness $\kappa$ (in pN nm) by $\sigma = k_{B}T/\kappa$, where $k_{B}$ is the Boltzmann constant, and $T$ the absolute temperature. The coiled coil shaft of $\gamma$ had a medium stiffness with $\kappa = 320$ pN nm. The portion with the smallest torsional stiffness was located right between the respective sites of
torque generation in $F_1$ and $F_0$—that is, at the interface of the globular portion of $\gamma$ and the $c$-ring. With a torsional stiffness of less than 70 pN·nm, it can store up to 14 kJ mol$^{-1}$ elastic energy to smooth the cooperation of the two motors when they operate against each other. In the unrestricted enzyme, the compliance was even lower (about 35 pN·nm as inferred from the dwell positions of the enzyme), caused by the flexible hinge motion of the lever in the $\beta$ subunits. Moreover, a molecular dynamics simulation of the free and the cross-linked system revealed a striking agreement with the experimental data [83].

On the other hand, the stator was found be at least 10 times as stiff as the most compliant portion of the rotor. The elasticity of the wild-type $b_2$ dimer was compared with mutated $b$ subunits that either were elongated by 11 amino acid residues (‘long’) or destabilized by substituting three consecutive residues with glycines (‘Gly3-mutant’) [49]. In the rotational assay, a Q-dot doped magnetic bead coupled to the stator served as the reporter to monitor the motion of $F_0$-$F_1$ (figure 3b). As the stator did not rotate by itself, the motion was artificially induced by applying an external rotating magnetic field, which drove the bead forwards or backwards. Two modes of operation were tested. (i) When the magnetic bead was coupled to the membrane integral end of the $b_2$ dimer by a cysteine–biotin–streptavidin coupling, the dimer was twisted around its axis. (ii) The physiological bending motion of $b_2$ was studied by coupling the magnetic bead to the $c$-ring that was cross-linked to subunit $a$. In all cases, the compliance was about 500 pN·nm, except for the bending of the Gly3-mutant. Here, the compliance was three times lower compared with the wild-type enzyme. The ATP-dependent $H^+$-pumping activity of the mutants was halved compared with the wild-type $F_0$-$F_1$-ATP synthase. Nonetheless, these mutants with a destabilized stator were active, because lowering its compliance three-fold (that is, still one magnitude larger than the rotor compliance) did not grossly affect the stator stability. The elastic properties are summarized in figure 3d. These data supported the theoretical model for the energy transduction in $F_0$-$F_1$-ATP synthase by transient reversible deformations in the rotor.

6. Three-colour single-molecule FRET reveals rotor twisting up to 120°

To identify elastic deformations of the rotor subunits without attachment of large beads or filaments and to observe these conformational fluctuations during ATP synthesis, the single-molecule FRET approach with freely diffusing single $F_0$-$F_1$-ATP synthases in liposomes was extended recently to a three fluorophore experiment [77,84]. The multi-colour confocal microscope set-up is shown in figure 4a. A triple-mutant of $E. coli$ $F_0$-$F_1$-ATP synthase was used comprising the fluorescent protein EGFP fused to the C-terminus of the
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**Figure 3.** (a) Rotation assay with $E. coli$ $F_0F_1$, attached to a cover glass via histidine (His)-tags in subunit $\beta$, driven by ATP hydrolysis. A Q-dot-labelled fluorescent actin filament is coupled to strep-tags in each $c$ subunit $via$ a streptavidin–biotin link. To monitor the internal elasticity of the rotor, three mutants each with two opposing cysteines on the rotor and the stator were engineered as indicated. After oxidation, they served to form a disulphide bridge and to stall the enzyme in a certain position. (b) A Q-dot doped magnetic particle instead of an actin filament was used to monitor the internal elasticity of the stator, i.e. subunits $b_2$. The magnetic particle was covered with streptavidin or streptactin, to link it indirectly to the $F_0F_1$ showing in red the site of the highest elasticity, namely the contact site of the $c$-ring, that was cross-linked to subunit $a$ via two cysteines as indicated, respectively. (c) Compliance of the enzyme–filament complex for the three double mutants shown in (a), determined by the thermal fluctuations of the cross-linked protein. The histograms were fitted with Gaussians and the torsional stiffness was derived from their inverse width resulting in 450, 59 and 47 pN·nm for the blue, green and red curve, respectively (adapted from [50]). (d) Model of $F_0F_1\gamma$, showing in red the site of the highest elasticity, namely the contact site of $c$-ring with $\gamma e$. The compliance in units of pN·nm is given for different domains of the enzyme (figure provided by S. Engelbrecht and W. Junge).
The aim of this spectroscopic set-up was to correlate the rotation of the three subunits with an overall internal deformations of the rotor subunits with respect to the stator. In the lowest distance (488, 532 and 635 nm) were overlaid in the same metric labelling of the c subunit as well as a Cy5 label as the FRET acceptor on a cysteine introduced at residue 56, as well as a Cy5 ring of about 7.4 per cent with an overall distance changes according to the orange arrows. (by the 488 nm laser. Alexa532 (green dot) is attached to the rotary subunit and Cy5 as FRET acceptor (red) was recorded during 532 nm excitation. The distance trajectory (blue/orange) in the top panel indicated stepwise twisting between observation time and yielded stepwise changes of the proximity factor (shown as grey trace above). The associated FRET trajectory for Alexa532 as FRET donor (cyan) and Cy5 (red dot) on one of 10 rotary subunits is the FRET acceptor for both EGFP and Alexa532. (c) Sketch of the alternating FRET distance measurements in a single enzyme between the static position of EGFP on a and three stopping positions of the rotary c subunit (green dots with positions 1, 2 and 3). Twisting of the rotor between a and c is due to different step sizes of both rotary subunits and results in FRET distance changes according to the orange arrows. (d) Photon burst of a single FOF1-ATP synthase comprising two simultaneous FRET measurements in one enzyme. Bottom fluorescence trajectories show FRET donor EGFP (blue) and combined FRET acceptors Alexa532 plus Cy5 (green). Relative intensities changed during observation time and yielded stepwise changes of the proximity factor (shown as grey trace above). The associated FRET trajectory for Alexa532 as FRET donor (cyan) and Cy5 as FRET acceptor (red) was recorded during 532 nm excitation. The distance trajectory (blue/orange) in the top panel indicated stepwise twisting between a and c as well as elastic distance fluctuations within the second half of this photon burst (figure 4b,c and d adapted from [84]).

a subunit as a primary FRET donor, the e subunit labelled with Alexa532 as secondary FRET donor at residue 56, as well as a Cy5 label as the FRET acceptor on a cysteine introduced at residue 2 on one of the ten c subunits (figure 4b). The aim of this spectroscopic set-up was to correlate the internal deformations of the rotor subunits with an overall rotation of the three subunits yec10 as a proof of the catalytic activity of the enzyme. Thus, photophysical artefacts on the single-molecule fluorescence level like spectral fluctuations and blinking could be discriminated from a transient twisting of e versus c subunits. Indications for relative movements between e and c were found also by Gräber and co-workers [85].

Briefly, the cysteine mutant eH56C in F1 was produced in E.coli strain RA1. F1 was prepared and labelled with Alexa532-maleimide. The mean labelling efficiency was determined to be 35 per cent. The double-mutant F0,F1-ATP synthase with EGFP on a and a cysteine introduced at residue position 2 of c was purified separately. After stoichiometric labelling of the c ring of about 7.4 per cent with Cy5-monomaleimide, the enzyme was reconstituted into preformed liposomes, and its unlabelled F1 was exchanged with the Alexa532-labelled F1. Success rates of the F1 exchange procedures were not quantified. Thus, three laser wavelengths (488, 532 and 635 nm) were overlaid in the same confocal excitation volume and a pulse sequence was applied for a duty cycle-optimized alternating laser excitation scheme (DCO-ALEX, figure 4a) to identify the few F0,F1-ATP synthases with all three fluorophores present [77,84].

Two simultaneous FRET measurements on each single F0,F1-ATP synthase were combined as shown in figure 4b,c. The first distance measurement between EGFP on the static subunit a (blue) and the two markers on the rotor subunits e (green) and c (red) revealed an active enzyme when stepwise FRET fluctuations were detected as e/c rotated from stop position 1 to stop positions 2 and 3. Subsequently, the second distance measurement across the rotor subunits e and c, indicated by orange arrows in figure 4c, unravelled the angular twist between these two dyes on the same enzyme. One example of such a photon burst is shown in figure 4d. Pulsed excitation with the 488 nm laser probe the movement of the rotor subunits with respect to the stator. In the lowest panel, relative fluorescence intensities of FRET donor EGFP and the FRET acceptors Alexa532 plus Cy5 changed stepwise from low-to-medium-to-high FRET efficiencies, as seen in the corresponding proximity factor trace above (grey trajectory). Exciting the fluorophore Alexa532 on e with 532 nm now acting as the actual FRET donor showed FRET efficiency changes to the Cy5 acceptor on c by relative intensity changes within this photon burst.
The corresponding FRET distance trajectory in the top panel of figure 4d (blue–orange) indicated a large distance change from 6 to 5 nm at the beginning of the photon burst, which was followed by distance fluctuations of about 0.5–1 nm. On the basis of these FRET experiments, we quantitatively analysed the relative movements of ε and c during both ATP synthesis and ATP hydrolysis [86]. We found an extensive twisting in this section of the rotor, encompassing the β-barrel domain of ε and the c-ring, significantly greater than 36° and possibly in the range of up to 120°.

These single-molecule FRET data and the bead-based angular fluctuation measurements confirmed the hypothesis that a substantial fraction of the total rotor torsional elastic energy is stored in the flexible rotor portion, while the stator is very rigid and does not contribute to the high compliance of the F0F1-ATP synthase. The softest portion is probably located at the interface of the two stepping nanomotors, i.e. where it is mechanically needed to operate the enzyme with high kinetic efficiency.

7. Outlook

What did we learn in 15 years from the single-molecule rotation experiments with F1 and F0F1-ATP synthases? First of all, experimental proofs of subunit rotation and discrimination of 120° steps at high [ATP] and sub-steps at low [ATP] in F1 allowed us to refine the model of rotary catalysis. The four chemical steps of ATP hydrolysis, i.e. from binding to bond cleavage to release of products, were attributed not only by their timing but also with respect to the three synchronized catalytic binding sites on the enzyme. Advantages of the direct visualization of rotating beads or filaments attached to surface-bound enzymes were (i) very long observation times of a single molecule with many turnovers and good statistics, and (ii) the possibility to change biochemical conditions and to monitor their effects on the rotary behaviour of the same enzyme. Single-molecule elasticity measurements required both benefits. The angular and the time resolutions for stepsizes of the rotary nanomotors are very high using non-bleaching bright nanoparticles as reporters. Attaching magnetic beads on the rotor ultimately allowed making and measuring ‘man-made ATP’ by forced rotation in small reaction chambers.

Other single-molecule manipulation and imaging methods such as atomic force microscopy [87] or very soft optical tweezers will increase the resolution of the detailed mechanochemical catalytic cycle in this ATPase. Experiments are under way that probe mechanical stimulation of different domains of F1 to correlate directional forces with induced γ subunit rotation. The extraordinary statistics of conformational changes based on a single surface-attached molecule enable advanced theoretical analyses such as fluctuation theorems [88,89].

Single-molecule FRET measurements appear to be complementary to this approach. Direction of rotation has been measured by FRET for both ATP hydrolysis and ATP synthesis. The small dye molecules did not contribute to viscous drag. However, the positions of the cysteine mutations in the F0F1-ATP synthase still had to be controlled to avoid reduced turnover rates. The function of the inhibitor dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (binding to the c subunit and blocking rotation in F0) could be discriminated from the inhibitor aurovertin B, which slowed down rotation during ATP hydrolysis but apparently blocked rotation during ATP synthesis. In contrast to single-molecule FRET measurements of the γ-type ATPase KdpFABC, which is a bacterial K⁺ transporter with a single flexible nucleotide binding domain [90,91], or to the ATP-driven ABC transporter P-glycoprotein [92] with two ATP binding sites, the F0F1-ATP synthase showed a direct correlation of ATP binding and stepwise conformational changes. Because stepped rotation could be completely blocked by inhibitors, the discrimination of thermal fluctuations of the labelled protein domain from transitions between conformations during catalysis was possible. Similar rotary behaviour can be anticipated for the structurally related A-type (including the Thermus thermophilus enzyme [78,93]) and vacuolar V-type ATPases [94,95]. The time resolution for conformational transitions detected by single-molecule FRET with F0F1-ATP synthase reached a sub-millisecond range [72,96] but depended on the signal-to-noise ratio. Therefore, fast transitions are followed more accurately using light-scattering probes [43]. As the single-molecule FRET approach is always limited by the photophysics of the dyes, further improvements are expected by new fluorophores with higher photostability [97,98] or by fluorescent nanocrystals [99].

Monitoring freely diffusing proteoliposomes is limited to less than a second because of the transit time through the laser focus. New non-optical particle trapping devices such as the anti-Brownian electrokinetic trap [100–104] (ABEL trap) invented by Cohen and Moerner can be used to catch and actively push a single F0F1-ATP synthase to a target position for extended confocal FRET measurements [105]. Then, the different numbers of transported protons required to make one ATP molecule in the F0F1-ATP synthases of chloroplasts [3,106], bacteria [3] or mitochondria [107] (as calculated from ensemble measurements) can be compared to the step sizes of the rotary c-rings of the respective single F1 motors.

Finally, single-molecule rotation measurements of F0F1-ATP synthases need to be established in living cells [108]. The present in vitro rotation experiments for ATP synthesis required artificially high ΔpH > 4 for the proton motive force. However, the regular ΔpH is much lower in vivo. Therefore, solutions have to be developed regarding how to get the fluorophores attached specifically to a single enzyme in a living cell. The signal-to-background ratio in the presence of cellular autofluorescence still has to be increased. Eventually, the regulation of the bacterial enzyme can be addressed by single-molecule FRET, i.e. how ATP hydrolysis is prevented in living cells under variable external conditions. Understanding the basic mechanochemical details during the catalytic processes of F1 and F0F1 has been achieved by monitoring subunit rotations and elastic deformations in single enzymes. Simultaneously, single-molecule microscopy instrumentation and data analysis tools have been improved, so that we can start studying this ‘splendid molecular machine’ [109] at work in its native environment.
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