What obesity research tells us about epigenetic mechanisms
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The pathophysiology of obesity is extremely complex and is associated with extensive gene expression changes in tissues throughout the body. This situation, combined with the fact that all gene expression changes are thought to have associated epigenetic changes, means that the links between obesity and epigenetics will undoubtedly be vast. Much progress in identifying epigenetic changes induced by (or inducing) obesity has already been made, with candidate and genome-wide approaches. These discoveries will aid the clinician through increasing our understanding of the inheritance, development and treatment of obesity. However, they are also of great value for epigenetic researchers, as they have revealed mechanisms of environmental interactions with epigenetics that can produce or perpetuate a disease state. Here, we will review the evidence for four mechanisms through which epigenetics contributes to obesity: as downstream effectors of environmental signals; through abnormal global epigenetic state driving obesogenic expression patterns; through facilitating developmental programming and through transgenerational epigenetic inheritance.

1. Introduction to obesity

Obesity is one of the world’s greatest public health challenges, contributing to morbidity and mortality through the increased risk for many chronic diseases, including type 2 diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidemia, coronary artery disease, stroke, osteoarthritis and certain forms of cancer. Obesity is a multifactorial disorder, with key genetic and environmental drivers. Genome-wide association studies have identified more than 50 loci associated with body mass index, although the effect sizes are small [1]. Among the environmental factors, changes in lifestyle, including the increased availability of palatable, energy dense foods, a reduced need for physical activity and a reduction in sleep are thought to contribute [2,3]. Food is also rewarding, in much the same way as drugs of abuse; drug addiction and obesity share neurobiological mechanisms involving dopamine (DA) [4,5].

Predictions of the World Health Organization suggest that by 2015, 75 per cent of the adult population will be overweight, and 41 per cent obese [6]. Thus, the relatively recent rise in obesity appears to be related to gene-environment interactions where our genetic background, coupled with the current obesogenic environment, and the rewarding nature of palatable foods, tends to promote obesity [7]. The other recent changes in the demographic profile in most of the developed world are increased overweight and obesity in pregnant women, which is now considered to be an ‘endemic’ challenge for obstetric care [8]. Maternal obesity is associated with childhood obesity, now considered as epidemic in some areas and on the rise in others [9]. An estimated 22 million children under five are overweight worldwide. The number of overweight children in the USA has doubled, and the number of overweight adolescents has trebled since 1980 [10].

Unravelling the contributors to obesity is complex, given the multiple levels of gut–brain interaction underpinning appetite and feeding regulation, and the redundancy in neurotransmitter systems controlling food intake. In humans, higher centres can override physiological signals, leading to overconsumption. Overall, the rise in obesity suggests an inadequacy of mechanisms regulating
body weight to cope with environments that promote overconsumption of energy, and discourage physical activity. While much progress has been made in identifying risk loci for increased body mass index/adiposity, often from a young age, recent data show that epigenetic modification of genes by environmental factors may contribute to the development of obesity, and this issue forms the basis of this review.

2. Introduction to epigenetics

Epigenetics is defined as the study of mitotically and/or meiotically heritable changes in gene function that cannot be explained by changes in DNA sequence [11]. Heritability is what makes epigenetics special, as its role in phenotype determination lies in-between DNA sequence that is usually entirely inherited and the more transient activities of transcription factors. Because of this positioning between DNA and transcription factors, epigenetic mechanisms often function to perpetuate a phenotype over several cell divisions, for example in maintaining a cell in a differentiated state (e.g. making the daughter cells of a muscle cell also muscle cells) [12,13]. However, an equally important feature of epigenetic modifications is their ability to be reversed. Owing to this ability, epigenetic mechanisms are used to control processes that are advantageous for the organism when they are stable for several cell divisions but not indefinitely. A classic example of this is inactivation of the X-chromosome in female mammals [14] (box 1). Females silence one copy of the X-chromosome to have equivalent levels of gene products as males, who only have one X. The choice of which X chromosome is silenced in a cell happens during gestation and that choice is maintained for a lifetime, through millions of cell divisions. However, the silenced X-chromosome has to be reactivated between generations so that a female can produce a healthy male child. This reactivation occurs in the cells that are the precursors of the gametes in a process of genome-wide transgenerational epigenetic reprogramming (see below) [13,15]. Other examples of epigenetic regulation in mammals that are part of normal development are described in box 1. Importantly, the reversal of epigenetic processes that are part of normal development or genome function is tightly regulated, occurring only at defined time-points in the life cycle of a mammal. Epigenetic modifications that are directed by the environment are more plastic than those described earlier, yet the degree of plasticity is yet to be determined [11,16]. This is an important question for obesity research, as it is unclear how completely the epigenetic modifications set by a high-fat diet, for example, can be reversed through a reduced calorie diet, or exercise. While some genes that are regulated by normal developmental epigenetic processes have been implicated in obesity (such as those that undergo genomic imprinting), environmental–epigenetic interactions mostly involve other genes.

(a) Types of epigenetic modification

At the molecular level, there are three main types of epigenetic modification in mammals, DNA methylation, histone modifications and non-coding RNA (ncRNA; table I). DNA methylation [12] is the covalent attachment of a methyl group to the base cytosine. The methyl group protrudes into the major groove of the double helix and can inhibit the binding of transcription factors. Therefore, DNA
methyltransferases (DNMT) 1, 3a and 3b. Base excision/DNA repair proteins or simply failure to remethylate at DNA replication are thought to facilitate demethylation.

- **non-CpG DNA methylation**: some evidence points to the known DNA methyltransferases. Currently unclear, though its presence at genes is usually associated with gene activity.

- **histone acetylation**: several histone acetyl transferases (HATs) and histone deacetylases (HDACs). Increases protein access to DNA for transcription or genome-wide reprogramming e.g. sperm protamination.

- **histone methylation**: several modification-specific histone methyltransferases and histone demethylases. Methylation of some amino acid residues associated with transcriptional repression, while others with activation.

- **histone variants e.g. H2A.Z, CENP-A, H2AX**: various specialized functions including centromere function, DNA repair and gene regulation.

- **small non-coding RNAs, e.g. miRNAs, piRNAs**: biogenesis and function requires enzymes such as RNA polymerase II, Dicer and Argonaute. Various effects such as transcriptional repression and activation, translational repression.

- **long non-coding RNAs**: biogenesis of most found so far involves RNA polymerase II. Known to regulate large-scale transcriptional repression in genomic imprinting. Recent discovery of abundance throughout the genome suggests a high variety of functions.

### (b) Global epigenetic reprogramming: windows of environmental sensitivity

The mammalian life cycle has two major epigenetic reprogramming events. These events are needed to erase epigenetic errors (epimutations) that may have arisen through the lifetime of the parent and to provide the correct epigenetic patterns throughout the genome to ensure the proper initiation of embryonic gene expression [13,15].
The first genome-wide reprogramming event occurs in the cells which will go on to make the gametes, the primordial germ cells (PGCs). In mice, these cells start to be reprogrammed in the developing embryo at about mid-way through gestation. In females and males the timing of events differs slightly, reflecting the different end products of the cell differentiation pathway, oocyte and sperm. However, PGCs in both germ lines undergo extensive genome-wide (also known as ‘global’) DNA demethylation. Normally stable DNA methylation on the silent X-chromosome and genomic imprints is erased. However, some classes of retrotransposon and subtelomeric regions retain methylation [22–24]. The former is presumably due to retrotransposon transcriptional activation, through the loss of DNA-methylation-mediated repression, being extremely undesirable for the genome. Retrotransposons insert into new loci, and recombination occurs between unmethylated repeats from different areas of the genome. The subtelomeric resistance to demethylation may be due to its importance for maintaining chromosome end stability [23]. DNA methylation levels return to those of somatic cells a few days after the demethylation began in males, but not until after birth in females [25]. Genomic imprints at these points are set according to the sex of the individual.

A rapid reprogramming of histone modifications throughout the genome also occurs in PGCs [26]. The histone reprogramming is more transient than the DNA methylation erasure probably as a result of the requirement of histone modifications for coordinating the gene expression programmes of germ cell development. Another genome-wide histone reprogramming event occurs in adult males when nearly all histones are replaced with protamines that can be more tightly packed, thus enabling the DNA to be condensed in the sperm [27].

The second genome-wide reprogramming timepoint occurs immediately after fertilization and continues until the blastocyst stage. The sperm, upon entry into the oocyte, is stripped of protamines, which are replaced with histones from maternal stores, and some repetitive elements in the sperm DNA are actively demethylated [28]. The chromosomes in the oocyte are less methylated, yet during development to the blastocyst, a further reduction in methylation occurs on both sets of chromosomes, presumably through exclusion of DNA methylation enzymes from the nucleus. The demethylation process in the preimplantation embryo is less extreme than in the germ line, as some types of retrotransposon and genomic imprints are not demethylated [29], and a recent study has also detected significant levels of global methylation throughout the preimplantation period [30]. The reprogramming events involving histone modifications are more complex than DNA methylation. It appears that apart from the extensive deprotonation and histone-replacement across the sperm DNA, most chromatin changes reflect gene regulatory changes that coordinate this highly dynamic period of development [31].

These two reprogramming events are the times of the life cycle that have the most extensive changes to epigenetic state. The epigenetic state that is set at the end of either of the events, at some loci, persists for the lifetime of that individual. Therefore, any epigenetic modifications that are triggered by unusual environmental conditions, or are just plain errors, can affect long-term phenotype. Furthermore, unlike epigenetic changes in adult tissues, a change in epigenetic modifications in the gamete or early embryo can affect the whole body, as all organs stem from these initial cells [32]. For these reasons, researchers that are trying to explain the mechanisms of inheritance and individual risk of developing diseases such as obesity are interested in the genome-wide reprogramming events.

(c) Cautionary points for those investigating epigenetics in disease

Firstly, cause and effect of epigenetic modifications are notoriously hard to distinguish [33]. Researchers should always keep in mind that the modification may not actually determine the expression at a locus; it may be the other way around. Secondly, the importance of DNA sequence in determining epigenetic state at a locus should not be forgotten. Almost always, the presence or absence of an epigenetic modification is dependent on an underlying (or even distal) DNA sequence [34,35]. Finally, an epigenetic change that is detected in a tissue may sometimes not be due to the reprogramming of the locus, but rather could reflect the change in the relative proportion of cell-types that have differing epigenetic states [36].

(d) Environment – epigenetic interactions

The recent expansion in examples of epigenetic modifications being set by environmental triggers has been exciting for epigenetics researchers [11,16]. This is because previously most work in the field focused on epigenetic changes that happened to all individuals as part of normal development, for example in Hox clusters and genomic/parental imprinting. The other large area of epigenetic study at the time, in mammals was disease, predominantly cancer. Environmentally induced epigenetic change was new in that it linked the epigenetic mechanisms to reactive systems that were not always used. This non-obligatory use of epigenetics also provided a new mechanism for the development of organismal individuality. Previously, the only involvement of epigenetics in phenotype variation was due to the stochastic nature of some epigenetic processes [16].

Epigenetic research into obesity has illuminated new molecular mechanisms. These mechanisms facilitate the environmental triggering of epigenetic state, molecular aetiology of disease and disease inheritance. Here, we highlight four aspects of obesity that have progressed our understanding of epigenetic mechanisms.

3. Epigenetics as a downstream effector of environmental signals

At the heart of cellular interactions with the environment, and the coordination of different cell types in metazoa is the cell surface receptor. They facilitate the uptake of nutrients, communication between connected and distally located cells and ultimately, through signalling cascades, direct an appropriate gene expression change to modify the cell’s function. The gene expression changes are usually associated with epigenetic modifications at single or multiple loci. All tissues in the body are at least indirectly affected by obesity through this general mechanism as all import nutrients such as glucose and lipids, and an increase in circulating levels of these, especially during development, alters cell state. However, obesogenic
processes such as appetite and reward pathways in the brain, adipogenesis and fat deposition, inflammation, insulin signalling and glucose and lipid metabolism have unique as well as overlapping cell receptors [37–39]. For some of these processes, the route from external stimulus, through receptor and signalling pathways to epigenetic changes has been described (see figure 1). Here, we will give two examples of how this general mechanism can drive obesity.

(a) Adipogenesis

The cellular differentiation process whereby preadipocytes become adipocytes (adipogenesis) is a major obesogenic process. The mechanisms of this process have been extensively researched, partly due to its importance in human health and partly due to the availability of cell culture models [40]. The pathways that facilitate or inhibit adipogenesis have both been shown to be epigenetically regulated (figure 1). In vitro, there are many external signals that bind cell surface or cytosolic receptors to promote or inhibit adipogenesis, including hormones, fatty acids, growth factors, interferons [40] and the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ) activating drugs thiazolidinediones [41]. The differentiation process has multiple stages with a cascade of sequentially expressed adipogenic transcription factors interacting with each other and extracellular signals [42]. Two key transcription factors are PPARγ and Ccaat-enhancer-binding proteins alpha (C/EBPα), which act together to activate hundreds of genes to produce a mature adipocyte [43,44]. The H3, lysine 4 methyltransferases (H3K4MTs) mixed-lineage leukaemia (MLL) proteins MLL3 and MLL4, and their respective complexes have been shown in several studies to be critical for adipogenesis through their activation of PPARγ and C/EBPα [45–47]. Furthermore, PPARγ has been shown to then increase transcription of the histone H4 Lysine 20 (H4K20) mono-methyltransferase PR-Set7/Setd8, which then, in a feedback loop, activates PPARγ and the many targets of PPARγ to promote adipogenesis [48]. DNA methylation too appears to be part of this mechanism, as the PPARγ promoter is demethylated during adipogenesis, and adipose tissue from obese mice was found to have less methylation than normalized mice [49]. The repression of adipogenesis is facilitated by transcription factors of the Wnt families and β-catenin, which are themselves silenced in preadipocytes through the repressive histone mark H3K27 methylation [50]. Deletion of the histone methyltransferase that is responsible for this mark, Ezh2, derepresses Wnt genes, thus activating the Wnt/β-catenin signalling, which in turn inhibits adipogenesis by preventing the transcription of PPARγ and C/EBPα [51].

(b) Neural reward pathways

The appetite and reward pathways in the brain are important for the development of obesity through their regulation of food intake. For example, the neurotransmitter dopamine (DA) is released upon feeding to induce consummatory pleasure [52]. Chronic high-fat diet reduces the dopaminergic pathways in the brain, which means that an individual needs more stimulation (in the form of feeding) in order to receive a sufficient feeling of reward, a situation that can induce obesity [53]. The upstream signal for the dopaminergic pathway reduction is not yet known though evidence points to the hormone leptin [54,55]. However, more is known about the downstream epigenetic effects of DA. Vucetic et al. [53] showed that the decreased reward circuitry in rats fed with a high-fat diet after weaning involved DNA-methylation-associated repression at the promoters of tyrosine hydroxylase (TH), the rate-limiting enzyme in DA synthesis, and the dopamine transporter (DAT) in the area of the brain that governs hedonically driven feeding [53]. In another paper, using the same experimental model, the same group described high-fat-diet-induced changes in the expression of another gene with importance in the reward pathways, the transmembrane opioid receptor (μOR). In this work, they not only correlated increased DNA methylation at the gene promoter with decreased expression, but also identified other repressive epigenetic features, namely increased H3K9 methylation, decreased H3 acetylation and methyl CpG binding protein 2 (MeCP2), a transcriptional-repressor binding [56]. The epigenetic complexes that target TH, DAT and μOR have not been characterized, but considering the variety of epigenetic changes at the μOR promoter, multiple reprogramming enzymes will be involved.

In conclusion, changes to epigenetic state at the promoters of genes is now accepted as a feature of obesity owing to the extensive examples obtained from research in rodents and humans cell culture models (reviewed in [11,37–39], and new experimental data [57–63]). As the activity of many of those genes is known to be influenced by external signals, the mechanism of epigenetic changes at disease genes being caused by upstream signalling pathways is likely to be important for obesity.

4. Could abnormal global epigenetic state drive obesogenic expression patterns?

In contrast to the established ‘top down’ mechanisms of a signal from outside the cell stimulating a disease epigenetic state, we propose here the possibility for a ‘bottom-up’ mechanism (figure 2). In this currently unproven model, an individual has a genotype or dietary deficiency that either alters or ablates the function of a gene that is involved in epigenetic reprogramming. The faulty function, expression or absence of the modifier initiates a gene expression program in one or several cell-types that ultimately induces obesity. As elaborated below, there is
some evidence for this mechanism from mouse mutants, human disease and nutritional studies.

(a) Mouse models of epigenetic-modifier-driven obesity

No mice with genetically increased or decreased levels of DNA methyltransferases have been reported to be obese. The de novo methyltransferase Dnmt3a (but not Dnmt1 or Dnmt3b) was found to be more than doubled in white adipose tissue of obese mice [64]. However, importantly, in the same study, a transgenic mouse that had threefold elevated Dnmt3a mRNA levels in adipose tissue did not manifest weight gain or increased adiposity. These data argue against DNA methyltransferase-driven obesity.

More potential for this mechanism of epigenetically driven obesity may lie with other modifiers, in particular those that have more specialized functions and tissue-specific expression compared with the ubiquitous DNA methyltransferases. In mouse, different histone deacetylases are upregulated in the hypothalamus in response to fasting (Hdac3 and -4), feeding on a normal diet (Hdac10 and -11) or a high-fat diet (Hdac5 and -8) [63]. The epigenetic reprogramming of the genome caused by these proteins may be extensive, as the changes they caused to histone acetylation were detectable with immunohistochemistry. Specific histone methyltransferases have also been found to be important for adipogenesis (see above). Mice with mutations in the histone H3, lysine 4 methyltransferase (H3K4MT), MLL3 have reduced adipogenesis leading to considerably less white adipose tissue when fed with a high-fat diet [45]. Also, mice that have loss of function of the H3K9-specific demethylase JmjC domain-containing histone demethylase 2A (Jhdm2a) have obesity and hyperlipidemia [65,66]. The histone demethylase activity of Jhdm2a activates key metabolic regulators of the conversion of fat to heat in brown adipose tissue, and β-oxidation and glycerol release from skeletal muscle. Finally, mice that are heterozygous for a mutation in Trim28 (also known as KAP1 or TIF1-β), the central component of an epigenetic-modifier complex that directs repressive chromatin state, develop liver steatosis, adipocyte hypertrophy and impaired glucose tolerance [67,68]. Therefore, there is precedence for an epigenetic-modifier mutation being the primary cause of, or protective against, obesity (figure 2a,b).

Figure 2. Two hypothetical mechanisms of genome-wide epigenetic change that could increase the risk of obesity. (a) normally an EM is transcribed, translated and the protein enters the nucleus to regulate genomic epigenetic state (black dots). (b) mutation (or loss) of an EM causes abnormal regulation of one or many genes. (c) in another scenario, normal levels of methyl-donors (grey triangle) ensure appropriate epigenetic modification of the genome. (d) if the availability of methyl-donors is reduced, abnormal epigenetic modification of the genome prevents normal gene regulation.
(b) Evidence in humans for obesity associated with epigenetic modifier dysfunction

There are few human conditions known to be caused by mutations in epigenetic-modifier genes. This is likely due to heterozygosity for mutations usually occurring in a normal phenotype, and null mutations being embryonic lethal (as evidenced by mouse models). However, one epigenetic-modifier disease does have obesity as one of its many downstream consequences. Rett syndrome is a developmental disorder affecting approximately one in 10,000 females [69]. Around 95 per cent of cases have a mutation in MECP2 gene, which encodes a protein that binds throughout the genome at regions of methylated DNA and complexes with chromatin remodelling proteins to regulate transcription [70]. Patients with milder forms of Rett syndrome are often obese [71,72], and mouse models typically get fat when older [73]. This obesity appears to be caused by hypothalamic regulation of appetite, as a conditional deletion in neurons in the hypothalamus of mice made them anxious, hyperphagic and obese [73]. The neurotransmitter neuropeptide Y (NPY) was increased, suggesting that the hyperphagia may be partly explained by altered hypothalamic feeding regulators.

Linkage analyses of cases of familial obesity, and genome-wide association studies with body mass index (BMI) in humans have not uncovered many loci containing epigenetic modifiers (EMS) [1]. This may indicate the rarity of cases of epigenetic-modifier-driven obesity, or the extremely polygenic nature of BMI determinants [74]. The former explanation would require the rare cases to have large effects on disease risk [75], which is possible, considering the extensive phenotype changes caused by MECP2 mutation, and in another epigenetic-modifier disease, immunodeficiency centromeric instability and facial anomalies syndrome (ICF syndrome) [76]. It would be interesting to test this theory by looking for mutations in known epigenetic-modifier genes in case-control studies.

(c) Evidence for nutrition or chemicals that cause epigenetic changes to induce obesity in adults

Several chemicals in the form of environmental toxins or medicines are known to induce obesity [2], and the range of metabolic processes and genes affected involve alteration to epigenetic patterns [11,77,78]. Most of these act through mechanisms such as those described in the first section of this review—the epigenetic changes are downstream consequences of an environmental trigger. There are a few examples, however, that primarily alter epigenetic patterns and these can cause obesity (figure 2c,d).

An example of this is the histone deacetylase valproic acid, which has been successfully used to treat epilepsy and bipolar disorder for over 40 years. Apart from the brain, histone acetylation changes occur in a variety of tissues, and one of the many side effects of the treatment is weight gain and insulin resistance [79]. The mechanism of valproic-acid-induced obesity is unclear but thought to be partly due to a reduction in the expression of adiponectin, a hormone that regulates energy homeostasis, insulin sensitivity and mitochondrial biogenesis [80,81]. An alternative possibility is upregulation of NPY in the central nervous system [82].

Methylation of DNA and histones occurs through the transfer of a methyl group from S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM). Many dietary factors (such as folate, methionine, choline, betaine and vitamins B2, B6 and B12) are methyl-donors that contribute to the production of SAM through one-carbon metabolism. Dietary decreases in methyl donors have been shown to have harmful effects, especially in the development of fatty-liver disease [83,84]. Adult mice that were fed with a methyl-deficient diet had extensive epigenetic changes in liver, including reduced global DNA methylation, reactivation of normally silenced repetitive elements and altered global levels of histone modifications (H3K9, H4K20 and H3K27 trimethylation) [84]. It is unclear whether dietary deficiency of methyl-donors provides a novel route to obesity, as no mention was made of other metabolic abnormalities in the mouse model. Fatty-liver disease is not only a feature of obesity (where it is caused by an oversupply of lipids or insulin resistance), but is also a feature of other conditions such as alcoholism or hepatitis. Indeed, fatty-liver disease associated with global DNA hypomethylation and global histone changes has been described with rats exposed to various hepatocarcinogens; so genome-wide epigenetic change may be a common reaction in the liver to environmental stress [85]. Furthermore, the brains of rats fed with a methyl-donor-deficient diet actually had a slight increase in global methylation [86]. Nonetheless, the extensive changes to lipid metabolism (including fatty acid uptake, de novo triglyceride synthesis and fatty acid β-oxidation) caused by methyl-deficiency may promote obesity [87]. Increased dietary methyl donors in adults can also alter organism phenotype [88–90]. However, no animal or human studies have so far reported an induction of obesity from the treatment of adults. In fact, methyl-supplementation may even be protective against obesity [91]. Indeed, the best evidence for methyl-donor availability inducing obesity comes from the developmental programming field (see below).

In conclusion, current evidence supports the feasibility of the mechanism of epigenetic-modifiers-driven obesity, though not it being a common driver of obesity. Further, animal models with tissue-specific under/overexpression of EMSs are needed to resolve this. Also, studies where epigenetic-modifier mutants are exposed to a high-fat diet would be informative.

5. Developmental programming of adult obesity

The greatest area of research on the involvement of epigenetic mechanisms in obesity is on the developmental origins of adult health and disease (DOHaD), otherwise known as gestational/foetal/developmental programming. This is when an early-life experience of an organism increases its risk of developing disease as an adult. The types of early-life experience that have been shown to cause this include changes to nutrition (excess and starvation), chemical exposures and stress [92–94]. The resulting diseases are often metabolic although sometimes cancer, behavioural or reproductive disease. There are two reasons why epigenetic processes are important for developmental programming. Firstly, epigenetic modifications, through their stability over cell divisions, serve to maintain the molecular effects of an early-life experience until adulthood [11]. Secondly, the large-scale epigenetic changes that naturally occur throughout development create ‘windows of opportunity’ for environmental modulation of epigenetic state [16]. This contrasts with the relative stability of epigenetic state in the adult.

Early-life exposures to stress [95], under or overnutrition during gestation or lactation [7,96] and chemicals such as...
endocrine disruptors [78] have all been associated with increased risk of obesity in adulthood.

Obesity is part of a range of programmed disorders that are collectively known as ‘metabolic syndrome’, the others being hyperglycaemia, insulin resistance, hyperlipidaemia, hyperinsulinaemia and hypertension. The cause of the syndrome is thought to be due to a mismatch in the environment experienced by the organism during development compared with later life [97,98]. For example, if an organism experiences reduced nutrient levels during development, it can be ‘programmed’ to anticipate the same environment in later life. This would involve hyperphagia, increased fat storage and a preference for a high-fat diet. Such programming may have been advantageous in ancient human populations, as these ‘predictive adaptive responses’ [99] would increase the chances of the individual surviving in a resource-limited environment. However, if an individual is programmed in this way owing to an abnormal shortage of food (such as famine) or exposure to a chemical that mimics the famine programming, signals continue in a time of abundant food supply, and the mismatch could lead to obesity. As well as altered systemic metabolism, affecting glucose and lipid homeostasis, the programming of appetite and reward pathways in the brain [7], adipogenesis [100–102] and inflammation [103] are thought to be important programmed processes relevant to adult obesity.

DNA methylation or histone modification changes associated with the developmental programming of obesity are numerous and have been extensively reviewed recently [37,38,104]. For example, epigenetic changes have been detected at genes that regulate growth factors [105], adipogenesis [106], brain appetite and reward pathways [107,108] and glucose homeostasis [58,109]. The precise mechanisms of developmental programming are not understood but are expected to be varied, as several initial triggers of programming are known. Programmed epigenetic changes that are triggered by hormones, endocrine disruptors, circulating levels of different nutrients or social stress will likely be downstream consequences of environmental signals (figure 1).

Alternatively, some evidence also supports alteration of epigenetic state during development as the underlying cause adult phenotype (figure 2). Methyl-donor deficiency or supplementation during gestation can cause DNA methylation changes that persist throughout the life of the offspring. Deficiency during gestation can cause genome-wide hypomethylation [110], while in utero supplementation has been shown to alter the methylation of specific genes [111,112].

The presumed large variety of programming mechanisms is also related to the timepoint of the environmental exposure, as different developmental processes occur at different times. Epigenetic abnormalities can be caused by extremely early developmental changes or postnatal ones. For example, many studies have shown epigenetic changes in offspring that are generated with assisted reproductive technologies where the preimplantation embryo was exposed only briefly to an unnatural environment [113]. Nutritional alterations in the periconceptional period also in natural matings can have effects on offspring obesity, with associated epigenetic changes [114]. Conversely, exposure to only high fat during lactation can programme offspring adiposity and neural stress responses [115]. Therefore, it seems that there are different windows of opportunity for the programming of epigenetically labile genes [16].

Importantly, there is now evidence for developmental programming to affect multiple generations. This is based on human epidemiological studies where nutritional availability in the grandparental (F3) generation has been shown to be associated with cardiovascular and metabolic health of the third generation (F3) [116–118]. These and other observations have created the possibility that, as obese mothers are more likely to have obese children, human populations may be facing an inter-generational cycle of obesity [114,119]. Mouse models have attempted to test this and have confirmed transgenerational amplification of obesity and hepatic steatosis [91,120]. Interestingly, however, a diet enriched in methyl-donors prevented the transgenerational amplification of obesity, which may suggest that epigenetic mechanisms could play a role not only in the inheritance, but also in the treatment of the cycle.

As well as transgenerational effects owing to maternal health, our group showed that the offspring of obese male rats had impaired insulin secretion and glucose tolerance [121]. Another group also reported altered lipid metabolism in the livers of offspring from fathers fed with a low protein diet [122]. As, in these cases, the father only contributed to the offspring at conception, an epigenetic factor in the sperm seems the likely mechanism [123].

As well as continuing to characterize the mechanisms of DOHaD, current research is focusing on identifying epigenetic modifications that are markers of developmental abnormalities with a view to reducing the risk of later disease by chemical (e.g. nutrient supplementation) or lifestyle (diet or exercise) interventions.

6. Transgenerational epigenetic inheritance and obesity

The situation in DOHaD of an environmental exposure (e.g. high-fat diet) during pregnancy influencing offspring phenotype can be considered a transgenerational effect; however, the offspring phenotypes cannot truly be considered ‘inherited’ as the offspring (filial 1, or F1 generation) actually directly experienced the high-fat diet themselves while in utero. In fact, as the cells within the F1 animal that will go on to produce the F2 generation (the germ cells) have already been specified by mid-gestation; therefore the grandchildren (F2) of the pregnant animal (F0) can also be considered to have directly experienced the diet/toxin. When a male experiences an environmental exposure, he (the F0) and the next generation (F1) in the form of his germ cells will also have directly been exposed [124,125].

As mentioned in §2, extensive epigenetic reprogramming occurs between generations to prevent epigenetic modifications that are associated with a disease state from being inherited. In spite of these processes, evidence is emerging that epigenetic determinants of disease are inherited. To be sure that a phenotype seen in successive generations is due to the resistance of an epigenetic mark to reprogramming rather than caused by the direct exposure of both generations, effects need to be seen in the F2 generation of a pregnant female or F2 generation down the male line [125]. These stringent criteria for transgenerational effects being truly due to epigenetic inheritance have limited the number of documented cases in mammals [126–129]. However, research in the field of under and overnutrition is starting to generate some of the most compelling evidence for transgenerational epigenetic inheritance in mammals [124,130,131].

Down the female line, one study found that glucose metabolism was altered in F1 rats when the pregnant (F0) females
were fed with a protein-restricted diet [132]. Down the male lineage, reproductive [133] and glucose homeostasis abnormalities [134,135] have been detected in the F2 generation, and body-size changes in the F3 of obese male mice. Postnatal overfeeding of F0 mice also was found to cause changes to F2 glucose homeostasis through the male line [136]. Finally, an allele that causes reduced food intake in mice also caused reduced feeding in two subsequent generations that did not inherit the mutation, only down the male line [137]. In some of the above studies [133,135], the same phenotypes were inherited down the male and female lines. This is surprising considering the large differences between male and female gametogenesis [138]. Continued efforts in characterizing epigenetic molecules in the gametes may explain this.

The transgenerational transmission of effects caused by ancestral obesity are likely to involve regions of DNA methylation or histone modifications that are resistant to reprogramming, or the inheritance of RNA. The germ line and preimplantation reprogramming events provide multiple challenges for the transmission of an epigenetic mark between generations. However, there are increasing numbers of reports of sequences that avoid one or both reprogramming events. In the last few years, it has also been shown that some histones survive protamination during late spermatogenesis [139–141]. These histone-bound regions are common in genes that are active in early development, which supports the theory that the histones ‘prime’ the genes for transcription in the next generation. An alteration to this process may be able to transmit diseases down the male line. Alternatively, abnormal deposits of RNA in sperm could facilitate disease inheritance, in a mechanism similar to those discovered by Rassoulzadegan and co-workers (reviewed in [129]). Experimental testing of these hypotheses is underway in several laboratories around the world.

Despite the less extreme reprogramming in the female germ line compared with the male—there is no protamination or great reduction of RNA—paradoxically, less is known about maternal inheritance of these molecules. This is because genome-wide analyses such as chromatin immunoprecipitation require millions of cells, and are thus feasible on sperm, and also because it is hard to separate offspring phenotypes that are caused by oocyte epigenetic molecules from gestational interactions between the foetus and the mother. Therefore, further research into epigenetic inheritance down the female line awaits technological improvements and technically challenging embryo transfer strategies.

The best-characterized, inherited epigenetic modification is DNA methylation. Certain classes of retrotransposons retain DNA methylation through both reprogramming events [23,29]. Subtelomeric regions also are resistant to at least germ-cell demethylation [23], and single copy loci have been found that resist one or both events [23,142]. Therefore, DNA methylation at one of these regions or a nearby gene could maintain a disease state between generations. Alternatively, transgenerational epigenetic mechanisms could involve a modulation of the gene network that underlies genomic imprinting [134]. These genes have a long history in obesity research as many of them regulate adipogenesis, appetite and metabolism and there are numerous examples of their abnormal expression causing obesity [143]. Changes to DNA methylation at loci that regulate imprinting (differentially methylated regions, DMRs) can also be altered by gestational or postnatal diet [89,144]. Furthermore, superovulation of mice or the treatment of pregnant mice with endocrine disrupting chemicals can induce small methylation defects at DMRs that appear to survive transgenerational reprogramming down the male line [145–147]. These studies could therefore explain transgenerational effects caused by ancestral nutritional state. Three cautionary notes for this theory are that the imprint defects caused by endocrine disruptors and superovulation were rarely found in tissues other than sperm. That argues against their being a source of systemic gene dysregulation. Also in the male-line transmitted effects of a protein restricted diet no imprint defects in sperm were detected [122]. Third, a recent study found that imprinted genes were not more likely than non-imprinted genes to have altered expression in an inter-generational model of developmental programming [148].

The potential for epigenetic mechanisms explaining ‘missing heritability’, i.e. when genetic inheritance cannot fully account for the inherited component of disease, or in providing new mechanisms of evolutionary adaptation have made it an area of much current interest and debate. Human epidemiological studies of metabolic disease and animal models of abnormal nutrition will be at the forefront of determining the feasibility and impact of these mechanisms in the coming decade.

7. Conclusions

Work performed with the ultimate aim of reducing the frequency of obesity, or its associated health problems, has uncovered new examples of environmental modulation of epigenetic state. Work in the field has also started to reveal how epigenetic alterations in early-life and even in previous generations can influence the risk of an individual becoming obese. It will be interesting to see whether the same genes, tissues and epigenetic modifications are responsible for early-life, transgenerational and adult-lifestyle-induced obesity or whether several different molecular mechanisms feed into the same phenotypes. A future evaluation of the importance of epigenetics compared with other determinants of obesity [2] would also help to reveal the relative potential of epigenetic therapies.
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