Using in situ hybridization, we describe, for the first time, the profiles of expression of serotonin receptors (Htr/5-HTR) along the dorsal–ventral axis of mouse hippocampus. cRNA probes for most Htrs, excluding Htr6, were used. All hippocampal subregions and the entorhinal cortex cells providing input into the hippocampus were examined. The study shows that some, but not all, Htrs are expressed in the cells of the hippocampal circuitry. At both the subfield and the cell type levels, a somewhat overlapping pattern is observed. Four serotonin receptors, Htr1a, Htr2a, Htr2c and Htr7, display an expression pattern that changes along the dorsal–ventral axis of the hippocampus. Given the proposed functional differentiation of the hippocampus along its long axis, with the dorsal pole more involved in cognitive functions and the ventral pole more involved in mood and anxiety, our results suggest that serotonin receptors enriched in the ventral pole probably contribute to mood- and anxiety-related behaviours.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Serotonin (5-HT) is an ancient, evolutionarily conserved molecule, synthesized in the brain stem, capable of binding to 14 or more distinct receptors scattered throughout the brain. Major classes of serotonin receptors (Htrs) diverged approximately 750 Ma and are found in a wide diversity of species ranging from single-cell eukaryotes to man [1]. It was first proposed in the 1950s that distinct classes of receptors existed when it was found that the effects of 5-HT could be blocked in part by morphine and in part by dibenzyline [1,2]. Historically, the receptors have been visualized in tissue using radiolabelled pharmacological tools. In the 1970s, initial studies used [3H]5-HT, [3H]lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD) and various other radioligands to identify distinct classes of receptors [1,3] and by the mid-1980s it was proposed that at least three families of Htrs existed: Htr1, Htr2 and Htr3 [1,4]. This classification was solely based on assumed functional segregations, as radioligand binding, which suggested diversity within the Htr1 family, was still not convincing to many in the field [1]. With the increasing use of radioligand binding, distinct subtypes were described in the Htr1 family (named at the time Htr1a, 1b, 1c, 1d and 1e). Further functional studies suggested that Htr1c was more similar to the Htr2 family, suggesting diversity of Htr2 subtypes as well [1]. Another receptor, found in the late 1980s in the gastrointestinal tract, heart and brain, was named Htr4 [1,5].

Htr1a was cloned in the late 1980s [6,7], and in the following years most known and several previously unknown Htr receptors (named at the time Htr1e, 1f, 2f, 5a, 5b, 6 and 7) were cloned based on sequence homology [1]. In the early 1990s, the Serotonin Club Receptor Nomenclature Committee proposed a new nomenclature system, based on operational, structural and transductional information, giving us the current identity of 14 distinct receptors: Htr1a, 1b, 1d, 1e, 1f, 2a, 2b, 2c, 3, 4, 5a, 5b, 6 and 7 [1,8]. It has also been demonstrated that the Htr3 receptor consists of multiple subunits (referred to as Htr3a and Htr3b) in heteromeric (Htr3a/3b) or homomeric (Htr3a) combinations.

Since then, generation of mutant mice has helped in revealing functional role(s) of several Htrs. Altered behaviour has been observed in genetic knockouts for several different Htrs. For example, Htr1b-deficient mice display enhanced aggressive behaviour [9]. Htr1a-deficient mice have increased anxiety [10], whereas Htr2a-deficient mice display decreased anxiety [11]. Htr5a-deficient mice display increased locomotor activity, but no changes in anxiety behaviour [12]. Htr4 knockout mice display attenuated feeding and locomotor activity in response to stress [13].
The current knowledge of Htr expression patterns in the brain is somewhat limited. Although very informative for gross expression patterns, labelling the intact tissue with radioligands fails to provide a cellular level of resolution. Furthermore, there are conflicting results in the literature with regard to expression patterns, in part due to the fact that several of the readily available antibodies for serotonin receptors are suboptimal for immunostaining. For example, several Htr1a antibodies that are widely used label brain tissue from Htr1a knockout mice similarly to wild-type littermates (R. Hen 2000, unpublished data). In order to provide a framework to better understand how serotonin may modulate behaviour, we sought to determine the expression pattern of all Htr proteins at the level of neuronal cell type. Here, we chose to focus on Htr expression in cell types throughout the hippocampus, a brain structure required for normal anxiety behaviour [14,15] and also thought to be very important for the behavioural response to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) [16], a class of widely used antidepressants thought to be very important for the behavioural effects of these drugs.

2. METHODS

(a) Animals

All mice were adult C57BL/6 males. For all probes, sections from at least five distinct mice were used. The procedures described herein were conducted in accordance with National Institutes of Health regulations and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees of Columbia University and the New York State Psychiatric Institute.

(b) Tissue preparation

Mice were deeply anaesthetized by ketamine (120 mg kg$^{-1}$) plus xylazine (8 mg kg$^{-1}$) and perfused with 4 per cent paraformaldehyde (PFA) solution in phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Brains were removed and post-fixed overnight by the same fixative. Then the brains were cryoprotected overnight in diethylpyrocarbonate (DEPC)-treated PBS containing 20 per cent sucrose, frozen in methylbutane cooled by dry ice and cut on a cryostat at 20 μm thickness.

(c) In situ hybridization

The sequences for all probes used in this manuscript are listed in Table 1. For ISH, we used a modification of methods previously described [17]. Briefly, sections were treated with 4 per cent PFA in PBS for 20 min, followed by washing twice with PBS for 5 min and treatment with 40 μg ml$^{-1}$ of Proteinase K (Roche) for 30 min at room temperature. Following this, the sections were washed with PBS and fixed with 4 per cent PFA in PBS for 15 min to inactivate proteinase. After acetylation with 0.25 per cent acetic anhydride (Sigma) in 1 per cent triethanolamine (Sigma) solution for 10 min, prehybridization was carried out for 5 h at room temperature in hybridization buffer, consisting of 50 per cent formamide (Roche), 5× SSC (sodium saline citrate buffer), 5× Denhardt's (Sigma), 0.25 mg ml$^{-1}$ yeast tRNA (Ambion) and 0.4 mg ml$^{-1}$ Salmon Sperm DNA (Stratagene). After removing the prehybridization buffer, hybridization buffer containing digoxigenin (DIG)-labelled cRNA probe was added, and hybridization was performed at 60°C overnight. After hybridization, sections were washed with 5× SSC at 60°C for 5 min, 2× SSC at 60°C for 5 min, 0.2× SSC/50 per cent formamide at 60°C for 30 min, and 0.2× SSC at room temperature for 10 min. After a stringent wash, they were then incubated with blocking buffer (1% blocking reagent; Roche) for 60 min, followed by incubation with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-DIG antibody (1:5000 dilution; Roche) for 90 min at room temperature. After unbound antibody was removed with two 30 min washes in MABT buffer (100 mM maleic acid, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20, pH 7.5), the sections were incubated with freshly prepared nitroblue tetrazolium chloride/5-bromo-4-chloro-3-

### Table 1. List of probe position of Htr markers and marker genes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gene</th>
<th>probe position</th>
<th>coding region</th>
<th>length of cDNA</th>
<th>accession no.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Htr1a</td>
<td>2114..4089</td>
<td>493..1758</td>
<td>4356</td>
<td>NM_008308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Htr1b</td>
<td>1..1161</td>
<td>1..1161</td>
<td>1161</td>
<td>NM_008311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Htr1d</td>
<td>4..2275</td>
<td>972..2096</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>NM_008309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Htr1f</td>
<td>65..1610</td>
<td>380..1480</td>
<td>2578</td>
<td>NM_008310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Htr2a</td>
<td>973..2787</td>
<td>1094..2509</td>
<td>2972</td>
<td>NM_172812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Htr2b</td>
<td>63..2073</td>
<td>328..1767</td>
<td>2073</td>
<td>NM_008311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Htr2c</td>
<td>2516..3444</td>
<td>691..2070</td>
<td>4751</td>
<td>NM_008312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Htr3a</td>
<td>1239..2017</td>
<td>61..1524</td>
<td>2035</td>
<td>NM_013561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Htr3b</td>
<td>24..2029</td>
<td>207..1520</td>
<td>2420</td>
<td>NM_020274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Htr4</td>
<td>214..1289</td>
<td>214..1380</td>
<td>4667</td>
<td>NM_008313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Htr5a</td>
<td>363..1340</td>
<td>503..1576</td>
<td>5576</td>
<td>NM_008314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Htr5b</td>
<td>140..1513</td>
<td>312..1424</td>
<td>2061</td>
<td>NM_010483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Htr7</td>
<td>30..1478</td>
<td>108..1454</td>
<td>3095</td>
<td>NM_008315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD65</td>
<td>603..1414</td>
<td>337..2094</td>
<td>5625</td>
<td>NM_008078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD67</td>
<td>281..821</td>
<td>234..2015</td>
<td>3231</td>
<td>NM_008077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calretinin</td>
<td>12..1460</td>
<td>70..885</td>
<td>1462</td>
<td>NM_007586</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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indolylphosphate p-toluidine salt (NBT/BCIP) colour substrate (Roche) for up to 16 h at room temperature, after which the reaction was stopped by immersion into PBS. After ISH staining, the sections were counterstained with Nuclear Fast Red (Vectastain).

(d) Double fluorescent in situ hybridization
For double fluorescent ISH (FISH) between serotonin receptors and other genes, sections were incubated with DIG-labelled serotonin receptor cRNA probe and fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-labelled marker gene probe. After a stringent wash, sections were incubated with peroxidase-conjugated anti-DIG antibody (1:1000; Roche) and labelled with Cy3 by using the tyramide signal amplification (TSA) system (Perkin-Elmer, USA). Followed by quenching with 1 per cent H$_2$O$_2$, sections were incubated with peroxidase-conjugated anti-FITC antibody (1:1500; Roche) and labelled with FITC by the TSA system.

(e) Sections
Sections were cut in a variety of orientations to allow for visualization of either the whole hippocampus (coronal) or the dorsal–ventral axis (sagittal and horizontal). In addition to §3, the electronic supplementary material figure S1 provides a complete overview of which section type was used in each figure, as well as a cartoon of the dorsal–ventral axis.

(f) Image acquisition
Bright field images were taken with an Axioplan-2 upright microscope (Zeiss, Thornwood, NY). Fluorescent images were taken by a Zeiss Axioplan-2 microscope equipped with a charge-coupled device (CCD) camera.

(g) Probe generation
For generating probes, the plasmids containing mouse cDNA were used as listed in the table. DIG- or FITC-labelled cRNA probes were transcribed by appropriate RNA polymerase. A mixture of glutamine acid decarboxylase (GAD) 65 and 67 cRNA probes were used to mark gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA)ergic inhibitory neurons, and a calretinin cRNA probe was used to mark mossy cells.

3. RESULTS
(a) Htr mRNA expression in the mouse hippocampus
To investigate the precise expression patterns of Htr receptors in the hippocampus, we first asked which receptors were present in this structure. By ISH, we detected the following Htr mRNA expression: Htr1a, 1b, 1f, 2a, 2c, 3a, 4, 5a, 5b and 7. Htr1d mRNA was not found in the hippocampus, but was expressed in other regions, such as piriform cortex (data not shown). We were unable to detect Htr2b and 3b expression anywhere in the central nervous system. We did detect Htr1f mRNA in CA1 (data not shown), however, its level was very low as previously reported [18]. Figure 1 shows the regional Htr mRNA expression in the ventral hippocampus in coronal sections (left panel of each pair).
In the CA1 pyramidal cell layer, Htr1a mRNA was strongly expressed, Htr1b and 5b were moderately expressed, and Htr2a was weakly expressed. In the CA3 pyramidal cell layer, Htr1a, 1b, 2a, 2c, 4 and 7 mRNA were all expressed. In the dentate granule cell layer, Htr1a and 4 were strongly expressed and 1b was weakly expressed. Htr5a mRNA expression was weak and ubiquitous. Higher magnification pictures (right panel of each pair) show cellular level Htr mRNA expression in the dentate gyrus. In the molecular layer, only Htr7 mRNA was expressed. In the hilus, Htr1a, 2a, 3a and 7 mRNA were expressed. In the subgranular zone (the border between molecular layer and hilus), Htr1a, 1b, 3a, 4 and 7 were expressed. In sum, different combinations of Htrs are expressed in different cell types throughout the hippocampus. Based on these results, we chose to further study the expression profiles of Htr1a, 1b, 2a, 2c, 3a, 4, 5a, 5b and 7.

(b) Htr expression along the dorsal–ventral axis of the hippocampus

While inspecting the expression patterns of Htrs in the hippocampus, we noticed that a few receptors have a very distinct distribution of expression along the dorsal–ventral axis. In CA1, Htr1a expression is very prominent dorsally and slightly less so ventrally. In contrast, in the dentate gyrus and CA3, Htr1a expression increases gradually across the dorsal–ventral axis, so it is very prominent ventrally but much less so dorsally. Likewise, Htr2c and Htr7 show much more prominent expression in ventral CA3 than in dorsal CA3. This can be seen in sagittal sections containing both dorsal and ventral hippocampus (figure 2).

(c) Htr mRNA expression in hilar cells

We next turned to an in-depth analysis of expression patterns within the hilus. In the hilus, there are two types of cells: GABAergic inhibitory neurons and excitatory mossy cells. Hilar GABAergic inhibitory neurons terminate throughout the dentate gyrus where they inhibit the tone. Mossy cells terminate in the inner third of the molecular layer of the dentate gyrus and form excitatory synapses with granule cells. Glutamate decarboxylase is a marker of GABAergic cells and calretinin is a marker of mossy cells, and their expression is mutually exclusive in the hilus (figure 3, top left).

To address which hilar cells express which Htr mRNA, we performed double FISH using a Htr probe and either GAD or calretinin to mark GABAergic and mossy cells, respectively. In this experiment, since the signals for several Htrs were weak, we only performed a qualitative analysis. Hilar cells with Htr1a, 1b, 3a and 7 mRNA were colabelled with GAD mRNA but not with calretinin mRNA suggesting interneuron expression, and cells with Htr2a mRNA were colabelled with calretinin but not with GAD mRNA suggesting mossy cell expression. Therefore, in the hilus there does not appear to be any overlap in expression of Htrs between cell types.

(d) Htr mRNA expression in the entorhinal cortex

We next examined Htr expression profiles in the cells that provide one of the main inputs into the hippocampus. Pyramidal cells in layer II of the entorhinal cortex that terminate in the outer two thirds of the molecular layer of the dentate gyrus, provide major inputs to the dentate gyrus from outside of the hippocampus. To assess Htr mRNA expression, we performed ISH using horizontal sections containing the entorhinal cortex (figure 4). Htr1a and 7 mRNA were strongly expressed in the layer II pyramidal cells in the entorhinal cortex (arrows); Htr1b and 5a mRNA were weakly expressed; Htr3a mRNA signals were scattered in the entorhinal cortex.

4. DISCUSSION

This study first examined the expression of serotonin receptors across the distinct subfields of the
hippocampus (see figure 5). Htr1a and 4 were highly expressed in granule cells of the dentate gyrus and Htr1a, 2a, 2c, 4 and 7 were also expressed in CA3 pyramidal cells. CA1 pyramidal cells expressed Htr1a, 1b and 5b. In entorhinal cortex, there was a prominent expression of Htr1a and 7 in layer II pyramidal cells. Double FISH analysis revealed that Htr1a, 1b, 3a and 7 were expressed in GAD$^+$ interneurons of the hilus, whereas Htr2a was found in mossy cells of the hilus.

We did not look for coexpression of different HtrS at the cellular level, but qualitatively, according to their ubiquitous expression within the same cell layer, it appears probable that dentate gyrus granule cells coexpress Htr1a and 4, and entorhinal projection neurons coexpress Htr1a and 7. This unique coexpression of different receptors suggests the existence of synergistic signal transduction within these regions, since downstream signalling is thought to be different: stimulation of Htr1a results in decreased cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) levels and distinct alterations in ion channels, while stimulation of Htr4 or 7 increases cAMP levels.

The expression of HtrS was also investigated along the dorsal–ventral axis of the hippocampus. Htr1a showed a very unique expression pattern in which it was most prominent in CA1 dorsally, but much more prominent ventrally in CA3 and dentate gyrus. Htr2a, 2c and 7 also showed much more prominent expression in ventral CA3 than in dorsal CA3 (see figure 5).

Due to their participation in different circuitries, it has been suggested that the dorsal and ventral hippocampus are distinct structures [19,20]. The dorsal dentate gyrus receives inputs from lateral and caudomedial entorhinal cortex and medially located cells of the medial septal nucleus [16,21]. Outputs of the dorsal hippocampus are towards the mammillary complex, dorsal lateral septum and lateral entorhinal cortex. In contrast, the ventral dentate gyrus receives inputs from the rostromedial entorhinal cortex and laterally located cells of the medial septal nucleus, where the outputs of the ventral hippocampus are towards the prefrontal cortex, amygdala, nucleus accumbens, hypothalamus, medial entorhinal cortex, bed nucleus of stria terminalis and rostral and ventral lateral septum [16,21]. These different circuitries suggest that the dorsal hippocampus may be more important for learning and memory, and the ventral hippocampus more involved in emotion [16,19–21]. Some lesion studies have supported this hypothesis [22–25].

Given that there is a functional segregation along the dorsal–ventral axis of hippocampus [19], it is possible that Htr1a, 2c and 7 in distinct subfields may represent populations of the same receptor serving very different functions. This could be particularly meaningful for the study of Htr1a, as it suggests an important role in cognition for this receptor in CA1, but a more limbic role in regulating stress, emotion and affect in the dentate gyrus. Interestingly, it has been shown that the antidepressant agomelatine is capable of having distinct effects on neurogenesis in the dorsal and ventral hippocampus [26]. Subfield (or dorsal–ventral)-specific receptor knockout models should test this idea.

Radioligands have widely been used in previous experiments to label serotonin receptors in brain
The rich pharmacology available for serotonin receptors has allowed for relatively specific labelling of the different receptors, providing most of the current knowledge of Htr expression patterns. However, the radioligand binding technique fails to provide a sufficient resolution to differentiate between cell types within the hippocampal circuitry. For example, it is nearly impossible to differentiate hilar interneurons from dentate gyrus granule cells at the low resolution of the autoradiographic technique. This being said, the ISH studies also suffer from a major limitation: they do allow visualization of mRNAs at the cellular as well as the regional level, but not the receptors themselves. Receptor localization within cells cannot be determined. Given that some HtRs are known to have a distinct localization in axonal and somatodendritic compartments, a combination of techniques will be required to achieve a detailed and complete description of their distribution.

The present study nevertheless suggests that several Htr's are expressed in mouse hippocampus, and that each of these receptors has a unique pattern of expression. A framework is thus provided for better understanding the respective contributions of distinct HtRs to hippocampal functions, ranging from learning and memory to emotional affect. Specifically, the enrichment of Htr1a, 2a, 2c and 7 in the ventral hippocampus suggests that these receptors play a role in mood- and anxiety-related behaviour, as well as in the antidepressant and anxiolytic effects of SSRIs.
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**Figure 4.** Htr mRNA expression in the entorhinal cortex. The indicated Htr probes are visualized in horizontal sections across the entorhinal cortex (EC). Arrows indicate prominent labelling for Htr1a and Htr7. Limited expression is seen for other HtRs. Scale bar, 1 mm.

**Figure 5.** Summary of Htr expression along the dorsal–ventral axis of hippocampus. The diagram illustrates the gradient of dorsal–ventral expression for Htr1a, Htr2a, Htr2c and Htr7 in the indicated subfields.
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