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Lung injuries, predominantly arising from blast exposure, are a clinical problem in a significant minority of current military casualties. This special feature consists of a series of articles on lung injury. The first article examines the mechanism of the response to blast lung (primary blast injury to the lung). Subsequent articles examine the incidence of blast lung, clinical consequences and current concepts of treatment, computer (in silico) modelling of lung injury and finally chemical injuries to the lungs. Blast lung is caused by a shock wave generated by an explosion causing widespread damage in the lungs, leading to intrapulmonary haemorrhage. This, and the ensuing inflammatory response in the lung, leads to a compromise in pulmonary gas exchange and hypoxia that can worsen over several hours. There is also a characteristic cardio-respiratory effect mediated via an autonomic reflex causing apnoea (or rapid shallow breathing), bradycardia and hypotension (the latter possibly also due to the release of nitric oxide). An understanding of this response, and the way it modifies other reflexes, can help the development of new treatment strategies for this condition and for the way it influences the patient’s response to concomitant injuries.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Explosive or blast injuries are a current and very significant clinical issue in military medicine, with a significant number of casualties being injured by improvised explosive devices in the current conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan [1–3]. Unfortunately, blast injuries also impact on civilian medicine, most dramatically when terrorist bombings cause mass casualties [4–11]. Medical investigation and reporting of blast injury precedes the First World War, with a number of case reports dating back to 1768 (cited in [12]). Systematic descriptions are found in observations made on casualties during the First World War, with descriptions such as ‘men subjected to concussion of large shells often developed a condition of shock which was unrelated to obvious trauma since no external wounds were visible’ [13]. More detailed observations giving insight into potential autonomic mechanisms were made during the Second World War with descriptions of casualties displaying bradycardia and hypotension [14].

Observations on casualties will always play a central role in any investigation of a clinical problem, not least because they initiate the definition of the problem and guide a systematic scientific study. However, a detailed investigation of mechanisms often requires the development of models, ranging from physical and computer (in silico), through in vitro to complex in vitro studies. These are necessary for a variety of reasons, including the ability to conduct studies under controlled conditions to exclude confounding variables and to conduct detailed mechanistic studies that are too invasive to perform on human casualties. The loop is finally closed, usually, by further observations in casualties to confirm that mechanisms deduced from models truly represent the ‘real world’. Hence, the most powerful research is an integration of basic science and clinical studies often with iterative steps in laboratory and clinical settings.

This special feature contains a series of papers that examine lung injury, predominantly focusing on blast injury since this is currently a high-profile clinical issue. This paper discusses some of the models used to elucidate the mechanism of the response to thoracic blast. How concerned should we be about blast lung? The next paper by Smith [1] evaluates the most recent clinical data to determine the incidence of blast lung in current casualties. A further paper by Mackenzie & Tunnicliffe [15] describes the clinical consequences of blast exposure in casualties returning to the UK from Afghanistan and discusses current concepts in the management of these casualties. Perhaps the most rapid means of screening new treatment strategies is by using computer-based in silico models, provided the models are faithful representations of the relevant aspects of the real world, and this is the basis of the next paper by Harvey & Hardman [16]. Finally, lest we forget that blast lung is not the only threat faced by military and civilian casualties, the final paper by Jugg et al. [17] provides a brief examination of the consequences and models used to evaluate the treatment of chemical injuries of the lung.
2. BLAST INJURIES
Blast injuries fall into four main categories [18,19]: primary, secondary and tertiary, with miscellaneous additional injuries forming a further (quaternary) group (table 1).

In vivo models using shock waves generated by a range of devices including real explosions, shock tubes and compressed air shock wave ‘generators’ have been used extensively to characterize the response to blast lung injury.

3. THE DEVELOPMENT OF BLAST LUNG INJURY
Blast lung is a primary blast injury. The shock wave causes an immediate lung injury that is characterized by rupture of alveolar capillaries, the influx of blood and extravasation of oedema fluid into lung tissue [20,21], giving rise to haemorrhagic foci that can be substantial depending on the level of blast loading. The intrapulmonary haemorrhage and oedema contribute to the initial respiratory compromise in blast lung [22]. The problem is exacerbated because free haemoglobin (Hb) and extravasated blood have been shown to induce free radical reactions that cause oxidative damage [22] and initiate/augment a pro-inflammatory response [21]. Free Hb also causes an accumulation of inflammatory mediators and chemotactic attractants [23], thereby amplifying the problem.

Within 3 h leucocytes can be demonstrated within the haemorrhagic areas, and levels increase for 24 h or more after exposure [22]. This accumulation of leucocytes is associated with increasing levels of myeloperoxidase activity, which in turn is indicative of oxidative events and developing inflammation in the affected areas [22]. Histological and electron microscopic examination reveal prominent perivascular oedema and extensive alveolar haemorrhages without widespread visible damage to endothelial cells during the first 12 h after exposure [22]. Thereafter (12–24 h after exposure), type 1 epithelial cells show evidence of developing damage followed later (24–56 h after exposure) by secondary damage to endothelial cells which become detached from their basement membrane into the capillary lumen [22]. This process is summarized in table 2.

Recent studies examining novel pharmacological means of attenuating the development of blast lung have shown considerable promise. Initial demonstration that resolution of the inflammatory component of blast lung coincided with engagement of adaptive antioxidant and anti-inflammatory mechanisms [24] led to studies using these mechanisms as targets for therapy. Activation of haemoxygenase-1 using haemin was reported to increase survival in rats with blast lung, possibly via an anti-inflammatory mechanism [25], while administration of the antioxidant N-acetylcysteine amide was found to attenuate the development of blast lung and the associated pulmonary inflammatory response [26].

4. PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSE TO PRIMARY BLAST INJURY
Primary blast injury results in a characteristic cardio-respiratory response that is mediated in large part by the autonomic nervous system. However, it must also be recognized that other mechanisms such as the release of mediators (e.g. nitric oxide (NO)) into the circulation may also play a significant role in the acute response to blast injury.

(a) Cardiorespiratory response to primary blast injury to the thorax
A number of experimental studies and clinical reports have indicated that primary blast injury to the
thorax produces bradycardia [14,27–32], prolonged hypotension [14,27,29,30,32] and apnoea followed by rapid shallow breathing [28,29,31,32] (figure 1). This response is thought to be an autonomic reflex.

A detailed study of the immediate response to primary blast injury to the thorax has shown that the cardiovascular and respiratory responses are not instantaneous; the bradycardia had a latency of onset of approximately 4 s, while blood pressure began to fall approximately 2 s after blast [33]. This latency is consistent with the response being reflex in nature rather than being the consequence of direct effects, e.g. on the heart or central nervous system. More recent studies have shown that the response also includes a reduction in vascular resistance, at least in the skeletal muscle (figure 1).

The bradycardia and apnoea seen after blast are both mediated by a vagal reflex [33–36]. The aetiology of the hypotension seen after primary blast injury is complex. The fall in blood pressure appears to be due to a fall in peripheral resistance and cardiac output, the latter because of a myocardial impairment that can last many hours after blast injury [37]. Although the autonomic nervous system plays some part in the hypotension, it is not solely responsible. Recent findings have suggested that primary blast injury causes a rapid release of the potent vasodilator NO from the pulmonary circulation [38–40]. It is thought that such a brisk overproduction of NO could lead to a systemic response that includes vasodilatation ([41]; J. L. Atkins 2008, WRAIR, personal communication).

In summary, blast lung is a progressive condition characterized by the development of pulmonary inflammation and oedema following initial intrapulmonary haemorrhage as a consequence of damage by the blast shock wave. The combined influence of pulmonary haemorrhage and oedema is to reduce pulmonary gas transfer and lead initially to hypoxia and, with worsening blast lung, hypercarbia. Thoracic, but not abdominal [32], blast produces a triad of bradycardia, hypotension and apnoea. The bradycardia and apnoea are mediated entirely by a vagal reflex, the most likely candidate being the pulmonary afferent C-fibre reflex. The effects of the hypoxia and altered cardiovascular reflexes can have profound effects on the ability of the casualty to respond to concomitant or further events such as haemorrhage and resuscitation [42].
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