Local variation and parallel evolution: morphological and genetic diversity across a species complex of neotropical crater lake cichlid fishes
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The polychromatic and trophically polymorphic Midas cichlid fish species complex (Amphilophus cf. citrinellus) is an excellent model system for studying the mechanisms of speciation and patterns of phenotypic diversification in allopatry and in sympathy. Here, we first review research to date on the species complex and the geological history of its habitat. We analyse body shape variation from all currently described species in the complex, sampled from six crater lakes (maximally 1.2–23.9 kyr old) and both great lakes in Nicaragua. We find that Midas cichlid populations in each lake have their own characteristic body shape. In lakes with multiple sympatric species of Midas cichlid, each species has a distinct body shape. Across the species complex, most body shape change relates to body depth, head, snout and mouth shape and caudal peduncle length. There is independent parallel evolution of an elongate limnetic species in at least two crater lakes. Mitochondrial genetic diversity is higher in crater lakes with multiple species. Midas cichlid species richness increases with the size and age of the crater lakes, though no such relationship exists for the other syntopic fishes. We suggest that crater lake Midas cichlids follow the predicted pattern of an adaptive radiation, with early divergence of each crater lake colonization, followed by intralacustrine diversification and speciation by ecological adaptation and sexual selection.
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1. INTRODUCTION
A major challenge in speciation research is that environmental, biological, ecological and geographical conditions change over time and can obscure past evolutionary processes and events. Arguably, species complexes and incipient species are among the best ways to understand speciation, as species or populations in the complex might be at different stages of divergence and thereby offer a chronological perspective of change. Furthermore, since the divergence may be recent or ongoing, the current biotic and abiotic conditions are likely to be similar as those that are or were involved in the initial differentiation.

The species complex of Midas cichlid (Amphilophus cf. citrinellus (Günther)) fishes is an ideal model system for studying speciation in nature because of its biology and geographical setting (table 1). The complex is distributed across the great lakes and crater lakes of Nicaragua (figure 1) and, at lower densities, some of the larger nearby rivers (Barlow 1976; Smith & Bermingham 2005). The Midas cichlid species complex is highly variable within and across species, including a pronounced polychromatism and trophic polymorphism. Much of the early research on the species complex focused on behavioural aspects of mate choice and competition (e.g. Barlow 1973, 1986, 1998; Baylis 1976a,b; McKay & Barlow 1976). That line of inquiry was followed by an interest in trophic ecology, especially feeding apparatus variation and phenotypic plasticity (Meyer 1989, 1990a,b). More recently and using newly available molecular tools, questions about phylogeography, population history and speciation have been addressed in this species complex (Wilson et al. 2000; Barluenga & Meyer 2004; Barluenga et al. 2006; Bunje et al. 2007; Elmer et al. 2009, 2010). Several species in this complex arose very recently and some through sympatric speciation (Wilson et al. 2000; Barluenga et al. 2006; Elmer et al. 2009). Each crater lake in Nicaragua is likely to harbour a different set of endemic species, which makes these lakes an excellent system to study the relative speed and strength by which geographical isolation and natural and sexual selection promote phenotypic diversification and speciation.

Here we summarize the state of research on this system, with a focus on the geography, ecology and...
Table 1. Natural history and biological details about the Nicaraguan crater lakes and great lakes that are home to the Midas cichlid species complex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>lake</th>
<th>natural history</th>
<th>maximum age (years before present)</th>
<th>lake surface area (km²)</th>
<th>Midas cichlid species</th>
<th>number of other fish species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>crater lakes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apoyeque</td>
<td>The name ‘Apoyeque’ means ‘salty water’ in the Náhuatl language; it is so-named because of its high mineral content, as is characteristic of Nicaragua’s crater lakes (Barlow et al. 1976). Recent research indicates there are two ecological morphs of Midas cichlid in the lake (K. R. Elmer, T. K. Lehtonen &amp; A. Meyer 2010, unpublished data) and some authors have called these different species (Waid et al. 1999).</td>
<td>1900⁴</td>
<td>2.50⁶</td>
<td>A. citrinellus</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apoyo</td>
<td>This is the largest and oldest crater lake and is the most Midas cichlid species-rich. A. zaliosus (Barluenga et al. 2006), and likely other endemic species, arose by sympatric speciation. Apoyo is under pressure from lakeside development and introduced or cultivated exotic fishes (McCrary et al. 2007).</td>
<td>23 890⁷</td>
<td>21.10⁸</td>
<td>A. astonuii, A. chancho, A. flaveolus, A. zaliosus</td>
<td>5⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asososca León</td>
<td>Little is known about this small lake’s volcanic history or age. The lake is home to a large population of introduced African tilapia.</td>
<td>4500⁰</td>
<td>0.81¹</td>
<td>A. citrinellus</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asososca Managua</td>
<td>This crater lake is the water source for the city of Managua. It may be the youngest crater lake. There are ancient paintings on the rocks, indicating that it was historically a sacred site.</td>
<td>1245⁵</td>
<td>0.74¹</td>
<td>A. citrinellus</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masaya</td>
<td>This lake is where Midas cichlid expert George Barlow collected most of his laboratory stocks. The lake originated ca 6000 years ago in the San Antonio eruption. Approximately 2120 and 1800 years ago there were major eruptions at one end of the lake: fish may or may not have survived.</td>
<td>6000ᵐ</td>
<td>8.38ᵃ</td>
<td>A. citrinellus</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monte Galán</td>
<td>This is probably a young lake, formed by recent volcanic activity of nearby Momotombo (Altamiro 1982).</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>0.79ᵃ</td>
<td>A. citrinellus</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiscapa</td>
<td>Located in the city of Managua, this tiny lake is surrounded by a tourist nature park. The lake is contaminated from channelling activity (INETER 2009b) and local pollution.</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>0.13ᵖ</td>
<td>A. citrinellus</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake/Region</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Age of Last Major Eruption</td>
<td>Eruption Rate (yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiloá</td>
<td>This lake is located just above great lake Managua and beside crater lake Apoyeque. Lake Managua water level has historically periodically risen (e.g. 9 m high in last eruption), resulting in exchange between Xiloá and Managua (Cowan et al. 2002).</td>
<td>6100⁴</td>
<td>3.75⁵</td>
<td>A. amarillo, A. sagittae, A. xiloaensis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managua (or Xolotlán)</td>
<td>The lake drains intermittently to Lake Nicaragua by the river Tipitapa.</td>
<td>Early Pleistocene⁶</td>
<td>1053¹</td>
<td>A. citrinellus, A. labiatus 27⁹</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicaragua (or Cocibolca)</td>
<td>This is the largest lake in the Western Hemisphere south of the North America Great Lakes and north of Lake Titicaca. Is connected to the Caribbean Sea by the San Juan river.</td>
<td>Early Pleistocene⁷</td>
<td>8143²</td>
<td>A. citrinellus, A. labiatus 45⁸</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁴Age of last major eruption, which created the caldera. Crater lake age must be less than this estimate.
⁵As formally described to date.
⁶From Waid et al. (1999) and personal observation unless otherwise indicated.
⁷Kutterolf et al. (2007).
⁸INETER (2008a).
⁹Kutterolf et al. (2007).
¹⁰INETER (2009a).
¹¹Stauffer et al. (2008), including two introduced species Gobionorus dormitor (Tate Bedarf et al. 2001) and Oreochromis niloticus (McGrady et al. 2007).
¹²Siebert & Simkin (2002).
¹³INETER (2009a).
¹⁴Pardo et al. (2008).
¹⁵INETER (2008a).
¹⁶Kutterolf et al. (2007).
¹⁷INETER (2009a).
¹⁸INETER (2009a).
¹⁹INETER (2009a).
²⁰Kutterolf et al. (2007).
²¹INETER (2009a).
²²Kutterolf et al. (2007).
²³INETER (2009a).
²⁴INETER (2009a).
²⁵INETER (2009a).
²⁶Stauffer et al. (2008) lists 26, plus now there is introduced tilapia (McKay et al. 1995).
²⁷Kutterolf et al. (2007).
²⁹Villa (1982) in McGrady et al. (2006) lists 26, plus now there is introduced tilapia (McKay et al. 1995).
³⁰Kutterolf et al. (2007).
³¹INETER (2009a).
³²Martinez (1976).
genetics of speciation. Further, we present a distribution-wide analysis of body shape variation and genetic diversity in the Midas cichlid species complex that is based on both new morphometric data and mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) sequence.

(a) Geographical setting

Western Nicaragua is underlain by one of the world’s most volcanically active areas, known as the Central American Volcanic Arc. After a volcano erupts and subsequently cools the resultant cone-shaped crater fills with ground- and rainwater to become a crater lake (also known as a caldera lake or maar). Nicaraguan crater lakes are characteristically oligotrophic, with a high proportion of dissolved solids and no in- or out-flow (Barlow et al. 1976). After some time, these crater lakes are colonized by aquatic organisms, including cichlid fishes. At least eight Nicaraguan crater lakes have been successfully colonized by Midas cichlids (figure 1, table 1). It is not known for each case how or when crater lake colonizations happen; it is generally thought to be from natural phenomena like hurricanes or piscivorous birds, though some lakes may have been purposely colonized by humans (Villa 1976a). In general, crater lakes have an impoverished fauna and a lot of ecological niche space to be filled (Schluter 2000a). Because of their geographical isolation and known geological history, crater lakes are excellent natural systems for studying the evolution of species in both allopatry and sympatry.

Nicaragua is subject not only to volcanic but also tectonic activity, which has resulted in the two largest and oldest lakes in Central America: great lakes Managua and Nicaragua. These date to the Early Pleistocene (Kutterolf et al. 2007) and together cover approximately 9000 km² (Cole 1976). Lake Managua, to the north, lies at 7 or 8 m higher elevation than Lake Nicaragua. Connectivity between these two lakes has varied depending on lake fluctuations (Swain 1966). River Tipitapa rarely but periodically connects Lake Managua to Nicaragua when water levels are high (Cole 1976). The great lakes are exceptionally shallow (mean depth around 8.6 m for Lake Managua and 12.4 m for Lake Nicaragua) and have gently sloping basins, allowing wind to stir the sediments (Cole 1976). This and a high prevalence of phytoplankton (Barlow 1976) make the water of the great lakes very turbid. Thus, this habitat is very different from the clear, deep waters of the crater lakes.

(b) Midas cichlid diversity

The A. citrinellus species complex is part of the most genus-rich clade of neotropical cichlids: the tribe Heroini (Řičan et al. 2008), which display a wide diversity of morphological, ecological and behavioural adaptations. Some of their morphologies are phylogenetically discordant because of homoplasy and convergent evolution (Řičan et al. 2008), as has been found for cichlids in general (Meyer 1993; Stiasny & Meyer 1999).

Based on evidence from geology, biogeography and population genetics, Nicaragua’s great lakes are thought to contain the ancestral population of Midas cichlids from which all crater lake populations originate (Barlow 1976; Barluenga & Meyer 2004; Barluenga et al. 2006). Midas cichlids within a crater lake are genetically more similar to each other than...
to those studied in any other lake (Barluenga et al. 2006; Bunje et al. 2007; Elmer et al. 2009).

Currently, there are nine species described in the Midas species complex: *Amphilophus amarillo* Stauffer and McKaye (Lake Xiloá endemic), *Amphilophus astorquii* Stauffer, McCravy and Black (Lake Apoyo endemic), *Amphilophus chancho* Stauffer, McCravy and Black (Lake Apoyo endemic), *A. citrinellus* (Günther), *Amphilophus flavelus* Stauffer, McCravy and Black (Lake Apoyo endemic), *Amphilophus labiatus* (Günther), *Amphilophus sagittae* Stauffer and McKaye (Lake Xiloá endemic), *Amphilophus xiloaensis* Stauffer and McKaye (Lake Xiloá endemic) and *Amphilophus zaliosus* Barlow (Lake Apoyo endemic) (table 1). Rapidly evolving genetic markers can discern many of these species (Barluenga & Meyer 2004; Barluenga et al. 2006; Elmer et al. 2009), though not all species have been suitably investigated. We expect that more species from the crater lakes will be described in the future and that the taxonomic validity of the original species *A. ‘citrinellus’* will need to be revisited. Therefore, we refer to Midas cichlids found in multiple crater lakes as *A. cf. citrinellus*.

Midas cichlids are polymorphic for trophically relevant attributes such as body shape, pharyngeal jaw apparatus and hypertrophied lips. Limnetic species *A. sagittae* and *A. zalisosus* are elongate ecotypes with papilliform pharyngeal jaws, while benthic species such as *A. astorquii*, *A. chancho* and *A. flavelus* in Apoyo and *A. amarillo* and *A. xiloaensis* in Xiloá are more highly bodied and tend to have molariform pharyngeal jaws (Barlow & Munsey 1976; Vivas & McKaye 2001; Klingenberg et al. 2003; Parsons et al. 2003; Barluenga et al. 2006). *Amphilophus labiatus* has a slightly more elongate body, more pointed snout, lateral compression and, most obviously, dramatically hypertrophied lips compared with *A. citrinellus* (Barlow & Munsey 1976; Klingenberg et al. 2003). A similarly thick-lipped ecotype is found at moderate frequencies (approx. 20%) in Lake Apoyeque, where it occupies an ecological niche distinct from the more abundant thin-lipped morph (K. R. Elmer, T. K. Lehtonen & A. Meyer 2010, unpublished data), and much more rarely in lakes Masaya and Xiloá (Barlow 1976; McKaye et al. 2002).

Some species in the Midas cichlid species complex are polychromatic: the common colour morph is greyish with spotted, striped and barred patterns (referred to as the ‘normal’ or ‘dark’ morph) while the ‘gold’ morph is uniformly orange, yellow or even white (Barlow 1976). All fish begin life dark and some later lose their melanophores and become gold (or more rarely white, lacking both dark melanocytes and yellow xanthophores) permanently (Barlow 1976; Dickman et al. 1988). Though there is an environmental (e.g. diet) component to the brightness of the gold morph (Webber et al. 1973), amelanism is under genetic control (Henning et al. in press). Gold morphs are found in moderate to low frequencies (e.g. less than 20% in *A. xiloaensis*, less than 7% in *A. sagittae*) in crater lakes Xiloá, Masaya, Asososca León and Asososca Managua (Barlow 1976; Elmer et al. 2009; K. R. Elmer, T. K. Lehtonen & A. Meyer 2007, personal observation) and in great lakes Managua and Nicaragua (8–10%; Webber et al. 1973). Historically, the melanic morph has been called normal (or sometimes ‘grey’) because it is the most common colour morph, is drab like most freshwater fishes and is similar to generalized cichlids in the genus (Webber et al. 1973; Barlow 1976). Unfortunately, normal is a loaded semantic descriptor that is uninformative for readers not familiar with Midas cichlids. It also implies that the gold phenotype is ‘abnormal’ or mutant, which is not true because the system is a natural (probably stable) colour polymorphism. Consequently, we prefer the more neutral and inclusive term dark to describe the common melanic morph.

Strong assortative mating within the colour morphs has been shown in the field (McKaye 1980, 1986; Elmer et al. 2009) and laboratory (e.g. Barlow et al. 1977; Barlow 1986). In Lake Xiloá, this assortative mating may be resulting in incipient speciation, where gold and dark morphs are significantly genetically differentiated at neutral markers (Elmer et al. 2009). In contrast, gold and dark morphs in the great lakes show little or no genetic differentiation (Barluenga & Meyer 2004).

**Midas cichlid mating behaviour**

In comparison to African cichlids, which are remarkably adapted to diverse and extremely tight ecological niches and show highly specialized sexual strategies (Salzburger & Meyer 2004; Salzburger 2009), Midas cichlids were thought to be rather unspecialized (Barlow 1976; Baylis 1976b). Though the sexes are generally isomorphic (i.e. show little or no difference in overall body shape), males tend to be larger, have longer dorsal and pectoral fins and bigger nuchal humps. Females typically choose to pair with males that are larger than themselves and aggressive (Barlow 1992), though the exact dynamics of mate choice and partner stability are complicated. Males and females pair at the beginning of the breeding season, acquire and defend a territory while raising and guarding their broods (Barlow 1986, 1992), though the extent of biparental care may vary across pairs and species (T. K. Lehtonen 2007, unpublished data). Mating is assortative by ecological species (Baylis 1976a; Vivas & McKaye 2001; Elmer et al. 2009), though this has not been researched in detail or for all species. Competition for breeding sites and predation on fry is intense (McKaye 1977; McKaye & McKaye 1977), which may exert strong selective pressure for suitable good mate communication and coordination within pairs (Baylis 1976b).

**Sympatric speciation**

Because crater lakes are isolated environments, this biological system has become a model for sympatric speciation in nature (Baylis 1976a; Barluenga & Meyer 2004; Barluenga et al. 2006; Gavrilets et al. 2007; Luz-Burgoa et al. 2007; Elmer et al. 2009). In all cases where multiple Midas cichlid species have so far been recognized within a crater lake, they have different ecologies and morphologies, strongly suggestive of the effect of disruptive, and then divergent, natural selection to exploit different intralacustrine niches (Baylis 1976a; Stauffer & McKaye 2002;
Barluenga et al. 2006; Stauffer et al. 2008) (sensu Schluter 2000b). Breeding of each species commonly occurs within a metre or two from sister taxa, which argues for strong pre-zygotic reproductive isolation mechanisms (Barlow & Munsey 1976; Stauffer et al. 2008; Elmer et al. 2009; T. K. Lehtonen 2005–2008, personal observation). Even when speciation is incomplete or undetermined, there can be intralacustrine ecological differentiation (e.g. Lake Apoyeque). Ecological differentiation can occur much faster than even rapidly evolving neutral molecular markers can track; thus the absence of genetic differentiation between ecotypes does not refute ecological speciation (Thibert-Plante & Hendry 2009).

Incipient sympatric speciation by sexual selection based on colour (either gold or dark) has been proposed in this group, first inferred from observational field studies (McKay 1980; Meyer 1989, 1990a) and later by genetic inference (Wilson et al. 2000; Elmer et al. 2009). Theoretical verbal and mathematical models suggest such speciation is possible (Turner & Burrows 1995; Higashi et al. 1999; Takimoto et al. 2000; Kirkpatrick & Ravigne 2002), but the mode of gold inheritance (a dominant allele) (Barlow 1983; Henning et al. in press), effect of brood adoptions on sexual imprinting (Barlow 1992), frequency of mixed colour morph matings (Barlow 1992; Elmer et al. 2009) and possibly late gold colour change after sexual maturity is reached (Barlow 1998) might all be factors that could inhibit speciation based on colour.

(e) Revealing morphological and genetic variation

The research field of geometric morphometrics allows hypothesis testing by describing and quantifying differences in shape between biological groups (Rohlf & Marcus 1993; Adams et al. 2004; Zelditch et al. 2004) because multivariate shape variation is statistically comparable. Founded on landmarks that describe overall body shape, and subsequent Procrustes superimposition that minimizes inter-individual variation, modern analyses of shape can discern much more subtle differences between groups than could traditional morphometrics (Rohlf & Marcus 1993; Parsons et al. 2003; Adams et al. 2004). The methods have been very successful in discerning biological groups of fishes, including cichlids, and relating the body shape differentiation to ecology or phylogeny (Ruber & Adams 2001; Klingenberg et al. 2003; Trapani 2003; Zelditch et al. 2004; Claubaut et al. 2007).

In the present study, we use geometric morphometrics to characterize body shape variation within and between eight lakes and all currently described species of the Midas cichlid species complex in Nicaragua. We further test whether abiotic environmental characteristics of each crater lake are correlated with genetic diversity and species richness in the Midas cichlid species complex. We take advantage of the crater lakes as natural replicate evolutionary experiments to infer some general patterns of the Midas cichlid adaptive radiation across time and space.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

(a) Sample collection

Samples were collected in 2001, 2003, 2005 and 2007 from great lakes Nicaragua (one location: Las Isletas) and Managua (three locations: Miraflores, San Antonio and Tiptapa) and from six crater lakes (north to south): Asososca León, Apoyeque, Xiloá, Asososca Managua, Masaya and Apoyo (figure 1). Fish were collected using gill nets. Sample sizes for lakes Nicaragua and Managua were augmented by purchasing specimens from local fishermen.

In the field, fish were placed on their right side on a flat surface and photographed from directly above using a tripod and a Nikon Coolpix 995 or S4 digital camera. A scale ruler was included in each photograph. Tissue samples (fin clip and muscle) were collected from each specimen and stored in pure ethanol. Fish heads were taken as vouchers and stored in 70 per cent ethanol. Species were initially taxonomically classified on site. Later the specimen photographs also served in assigning each specimen to a species independently by more than one author. The currently available taxonomy was used.

A total of 1334 specimens from all nine currently recognized species of the Midas cichlid species complex were included in this study (table 2; electronic supplementary material, appendix table S1). This sampling represents the entire known crater lake and great lake distribution, except for Monte Galán and Tiscapa, for which our sample sizes were not sufficient for geometric morphometric analyses. For this study, we limited ourselves to the investigation of external phenotypic differences only between lakes and species. Intraspecific polymorphisms (e.g. in colour, jaw type or lip shape) have not been investigated here and will be the subject of future study. Males and females are combined because other research has indicated no significant body shape differences between the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>lake</th>
<th>species</th>
<th>N per species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>crater lakes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apoyo</td>
<td>A. astorquii</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. chancho</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. flavolus</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. zaliosus</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apoyeqe</td>
<td>A. cf. citrinellus</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asososa León</td>
<td>A. cf. citrinellus</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asososa Managua</td>
<td>A. cf. citrinellus</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masaya</td>
<td>A. cf. citrinellus</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiloá</td>
<td>A. amarillo</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. sagittae</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. xiloiensis</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>great lakes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managua</td>
<td>A. citrinellus</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. labiatus</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>A. citrinellus</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. labiatus</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Specimens by lake and species used in the geometric morphometrics study. Total sample size is 1334.
DNA sequencing
Genomic DNA was extracted from tissues using a standard high-salt protocol (Bruford et al. 1998). The mtDNA control region was amplified with primers LProF (Meyer et al. 1994) and 12S5R (GGC GGA TAC TTG CAT GT) using standard PCR conditions. PCR products were cleaned using a FastAP Thermosensitive Alkaline Phophatase dephosphorylation protocol and cycle sequenced in the forward and reverse directions by BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction using standard conditions and the same primers as in the PCR. After cleaning the single-stranded product with Zymo ZR-96 DNA Sequencing Clean-up Kit, samples were resuspended in water and electrophoresed in an ABI3130xl DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems). Forward and reverse contigs were assembled in Sequencer v. 4.2.2. Additional sequences were obtained from GenBank.

Genetic diversity analyses
(i) DNA sequencing
Genomic DNA was extracted from tissues using a standard high-salt protocol (Bruford et al. 1998). The mtDNA control region was amplified with primers LProF (Meyer et al. 1994) and 12S5R (GGC GGA TAC TTG CAT GT) using standard PCR conditions. PCR products were cleaned using a FastAP Thermosensitive Alkaline Phophatase dephosphorylation protocol and cycle sequenced in the forward and reverse directions by BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction using standard conditions and the same primers as in the PCR. After cleaning the single-stranded product with Zymo ZR-96 DNA Sequencing Clean-up Kit, samples were resuspended in water and electrophoresed in an ABI3130xl DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems). Forward and reverse contigs were assembled in Sequencer v. 4.2.2. Additional sequences were obtained from GenBank.

(ii) Genetic diversity analyses
Sequences were aligned in MacClade v. 4 (Maddison & Maddison 2003) and trimmed to a common length that resulted in no terminal gaps (733 bp). The distribution of haplotypes was calculated in DNAsP v. 5 (Librado & Rozas 2009) (20 sites with alignment gaps were not considered). Haplotype or allelic richness (rarefied to a sample size of 63 to avoid any bias induced by unequal sample sizes per crater lake) and gene diversity were calculated in Contrib v. 1.02 (Petit et al. 1998) for each crater lake.

Geometric morphometrics
(i) Data acquisition
Fifteen landmarks that describe body shape were digitized in TrsDig 2.12 (Rohlf 2001) by a single investigator (H.K.) from the photograph of each specimen (figure 2). Two additional landmarks representing

10 cm on the size scale were used to determine the standard length of each fish, calculated in Past 1.89 (Hammer et al. 2001).

Specimens were grouped in such a way as to test three main questions:

—Morphological variation across all crater and great lakes. To investigate the overall morphometric variation in the entire Midas cichlid species complex across lakes, all specimens within each lake were pooled (n = 1334 across eight lakes; table 2) and comparisons drawn across lakes.

—Morphological variation within lakes with multiple species. To assess the variation among different Midas species within particular lakes, we created pooled and separate datasets for crater lakes Apoyo (four species) and Xiloá (three species) and for great lakes Managua (two species) and Nicaragua (two species) (table 2). Morphometric analyses were the same for all datasets.

—Diversity within crater lakes and correlations with lake characteristics. To test correlations between biological diversity in crater lakes and crater lake abiotic characteristics such as size and age of lakes, specimens in each crater lake were pooled for calculating partial disparity (PD) and mtDNA diversity.

(ii) Body shape analysis
Geometric morphometric methodologies largely follow Klingenberg et al. (2003). Analyses were performed in MorphoJ 1.00k (Klingenberg 2008). The first step was a generalized least squares Procrustes superimposition (Dryden & Mardia 1998), in which the configuration of 15 landmarks for each specimen was scaled to unit centroid size, translated to a common position and rotated to minimize Procrustes distances between all landmark configurations (Dryden & Mardia 1998; Rohlf 1999; Zelditch et al. 2004). Therefore, the Procrustes distances become relative measures of shape differences between biological groups. The reliability of the Procrustes distance in distinguishing groups was assessed by permutation tests (1000 iterations) and p-values were corrected for non-independence \( \alpha/k - 1 \) (Rice 1989).

We applied a size correction to our data to account for any allometric effects associated with growth, i.e. any dependence of body shape on absolute body size (Loy et al. 1996; Reis et al. 1998; Klingenberg 2003). We divided the dataset into subgroups according to the comparison we were performing (e.g. by lake, or species within a lake). A multivariate regression of shape (dependent variable: Procrustes coordinates) on size (independent variable: centroid size) (Monteiro 1998) was performed for each subgroup using a permutation test against the null hypothesis of independence (10 000 iterations). The regression residuals were then used for all geometric morphometric analyses.

The thin plate spline technique (Dryden & Mardia 1998) was used to visualize shape changes in particular body regions. This method displays the average body shape for a set of individuals of interest compared with an ‘average’ (or ‘consensus’) body shape of all

Figure 2. Location of 15 homologous landmarks used for geometric morphometrics to describe body shape.
fish included in the analysis. The two body shapes (i.e. configurations of 15 landmarks) are superimposed onto a grid. The differences distort the grid in those body regions that differ the most between the average body shape of the groups being compared.

To assess the total amount of variation in body shape between groups, we used canonical variates analysis (CVA; when comparing multiple groups) and discriminant function analysis (DFA; when comparing pairs of groups). These are commonly used ordination analyses to capture the multi-dimensional variation that is inherent in body shapes (Mardia et al. 1979; Albrecht 1980; Klingenberg et al. 2003; Zelditch et al. 2004). These multivariate analyses reduce the amount of variation within groups and express the variation among groups in \( n \) dimensions, where \( n \) is the number of groups minus one. Multidimensional plots were used to describe the morphospace (i.e. the abstract space where each point represents a particular individual) and to distinguish between groups simultaneously. Each axis successively describes the greatest proportion of variation in body shape. Principal components analyses (PCAs) were also conducted. This ordination method is hypothesis-free in that it assumes no \textit{a priori} groupings in assessing variation but can obscure true and biologically relevant variation between groups.

To quantify the contribution a group makes to the overall morphological variation across the crater lakes, we estimated \( PD \) for each group (i.e. lake) compared with the grand mean as:

\[
PD = \frac{D_i^2}{N - 1},
\]

where \( D_i \) is the Procrustes distance of the \( i \)th group from the grand mean and \( N \) is the total number of groups (Zelditch et al. 2004, p. 302).

### 3. RESULTS

#### (a) Morphological variation across all lakes

Mean standard length (± standard deviation) of all specimens pooled was 13.1 ± 2.9 cm (\( n = 1334 \)). Centroid size accounted for significant proportions of shape variation across lakes (minimum of 1.99% in Lake Apoyeque up to 8.47% in Lake Nicaragua, \( p < 0.01 \)) and for all groups pooled (2.01%, \( p < 0.0001 \)) (table 3). Therefore, body shape is correlated to centroid size and an allometry correction was applied for all analyses (as described in §2; table 3).

Each crater lake and great lake occupies a unique field of morphospace variation. Specimens from any given lake only slightly or moderately overlap with specimens from any other lake (figure 3). The distinctiveness of each lake is less pronounced but is still

---

**Table 3. Regression of body shape (Procrustes coordinates) on centroid size indicates notable allometry (i.e. non-independence of shape and size). The percentage of variation for which allometry accounts is given in per cent predicted. \( p \)-Values indicate the significance of the regression relationship. As. = Asososca. Analyses are grouped into (a) all eight lakes, (b) each great lake, (c) Lake Apoyo and (d) Lake Xiloá.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Apoyo</th>
<th>Apoyeque</th>
<th>As. León</th>
<th>As. Managua</th>
<th>Managua</th>
<th>Masaya</th>
<th>Nicaragua</th>
<th>Xiloá</th>
<th>pooled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% predicted</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>6.58</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>8.47</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )-value</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Managua</th>
<th>Nicaragua</th>
<th>A. cárnellus</th>
<th>A. labiatus</th>
<th>pooled</th>
<th>A. cárnellus</th>
<th>A. labiatus</th>
<th>pooled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% predicted</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )-value</td>
<td>0.0138</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.4888</td>
<td>0.1146</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Apoyo</th>
<th>Xiloá</th>
<th>A. astorquii</th>
<th>A. chancho</th>
<th>A. flaveolus</th>
<th>A. zaliosus</th>
<th>pooled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% predicted</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )-value</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>0.1333</td>
<td>0.8369</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A. amarillo</th>
<th>A. sagittae</th>
<th>A. xiloaensis</th>
<th>pooled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% predicted</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>8.58</td>
<td>10.68</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )-value</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4. Pairwise Procrustes distances between all lakes. All comparisons were statistically significant (\( p < 0.001 \)).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Apoyeque</th>
<th>Apoyo</th>
<th>Asososca León</th>
<th>Asososca Managua</th>
<th>Managua</th>
<th>Managua</th>
<th>Masaya</th>
<th>Nicaragua</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apoyo</td>
<td>0.0647</td>
<td>0.0490</td>
<td>0.0613</td>
<td>0.0811</td>
<td>0.0867</td>
<td>0.0512</td>
<td>0.0596</td>
<td>0.0465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asososca León</td>
<td>0.0613</td>
<td>0.0811</td>
<td>0.0793</td>
<td>0.0758</td>
<td>0.1140</td>
<td>0.0790</td>
<td>0.0874</td>
<td>0.0460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asososca Managua</td>
<td>0.0811</td>
<td>0.0793</td>
<td>0.0793</td>
<td>0.1234</td>
<td>0.0863</td>
<td>0.0555</td>
<td>0.0661</td>
<td>0.0440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managua</td>
<td>0.0867</td>
<td>0.1140</td>
<td>0.0863</td>
<td>0.1234</td>
<td>0.0828</td>
<td>0.0511</td>
<td>0.0905</td>
<td>0.0809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masaya</td>
<td>0.0512</td>
<td>0.0790</td>
<td>0.0555</td>
<td>0.0828</td>
<td>0.0511</td>
<td>0.0576</td>
<td>0.0613</td>
<td>0.0839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>0.0596</td>
<td>0.0874</td>
<td>0.0661</td>
<td>0.0905</td>
<td>0.0613</td>
<td>0.0385</td>
<td>0.0576</td>
<td>0.0652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiloá</td>
<td>0.0465</td>
<td>0.0440</td>
<td>0.0440</td>
<td>0.0809</td>
<td>0.0839</td>
<td>0.0576</td>
<td>0.0652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
evident in a plot of the first two PCs, which makes no a priori grouping (electronic supplementary material, appendix figure S1). In the PCA and CVA, lakes Apoyeque, Masaya and Asososca León contain specimens with the most central body shapes in the analyses, i.e. that deviate least from the average Midas cichlid body shape. Specimens from great lakes Managua and Nicaragua form an overlapping cluster, indicating that the body shapes in those lakes are quite similar to each other but different from those in the crater lakes. Individuals in lakes Apoyo and Xiloá cluster together along CVs 1 and 2 and are set apart from the other lakes. Fish in Asososca Managua are the most distinct. Pronounced shape changes associated with CV1 are: lip/snout thickness (landmark (LM) 1 and 2), body height (LM 6) and width of the mid-body region (LM 5, 7–9), a shift of the anterior insertion of the anal fin (LM 10) and differences in the length of the caudal peduncle region (LM 11–15) (figure 4).

Procrustes distances are a metric to describe the difference in body shape between two groups. In a comparison of Procrustes distances between all lakes, Midas cichlid body shape always differs significantly (p < 0.001) (table 4). Average body shapes are the least different between crater lake Masaya and great lake Nicaragua (Procrustes distance 0.0385) and the most different between crater lake Asososca Managua and great lake Managua (Procrustes distance 0.1234).

(b) Morphological variation within lakes
(i) Great lakes Managua and Nicaragua
Amphilophus citrinellus and A. labiatus are considered to be two species that inhabit both great lakes (Astorqui 1971). However, the body shape of A. citrinellus is different in Lake Managua from its conspecifics in Lake Nicaragua (figure 5a, table 5). The body shape of A. labiatus is also different in each of the two great lakes (figure 5a, table 5).

Amphilophus citrinellus and A. labiatus in Lake Managua are completely morphologically distinguished by the DFA, i.e. there is no morphospace overlap between these two species. This pronounced differentiation is primarily due to a greater body depth of A. citrinellus compared with A. labiatus (LM 6). Shape differences in gill opercula–body intersection (LM 5), placement of the anal fin (LM 10) and caudal peduncle length (LM 14 and 15) are also important (figure 5b).

DFA also completely discriminates A. citrinellus from A. labiatus in Lake Nicaragua based on body shape. As in Lake Managua, A. labiatus has a more shallow body (LM 6), elongate head and voluminous lip/snout region (LM 1) relative to A. citrinellus (figure 5b).
There is a significant difference in body shape among all four species of Midas cichlids in Apoyo (figure 6, table 6). The fields of morphospace variation for *A. astorquii* and *A. zaliosus* are each tightly clustered, divergent from each other, and almost completely attributable to the body shape variation described in CV1. *Amphilophus chancho* and *A. flaveolus* are both high-bodied benthic species that show very little difference in morphospace between them and, in contrast to *A. astorquii* and *A. zaliosus*, are only separated along CV2. The shape change associated with CV1 across all specimens is primarily a broadening of the mid-body region (LM 5–9) and an elongation of the caudal peduncle (LM 10–15) (data not shown).

Each species in Apoyo clearly has a different average body shape (figure 6b). *Amphilophus astorquii* is a relatively compact fish with a robust mid-body (LM 3–10), a short caudal peduncle (LM 11–15) and eyes shifted rostrally (LM 3 and 4). *Amphilophus chancho* has a shorter lower jaw (LM 5), a larger head and a deep body (LM 6–10) and a short caudal peduncle (LM 13–15). *Amphilophus flaveolus* is characterized by a more bulky and steep forehead region (LM 6) and is the most similar to the consensus shape. *Amphilophus zaliosus* is the most morphologically distinct species: its body is very narrow (LM 6–10), lower jaw very long (LM 5) and caudal peduncle narrow and elongate (LM 11–15).

There are significant body shape differences between all three Xiloá Midas cichlid species (figure 7, table 7). *Amphilophus sagittae* has the most distinct morphology, evidenced by high interspecific Procrustes distances and differentiation along CV1. *Amphilophus amarillo* and *A. xiloaensis* are primarily differentiated along CV2. CV1 encodes a shape change in head size, mid-body depth (LM 6–9) and caudal peduncle length (LM 11–15) (data not shown). *Amphilophus amarillo* is the most similar to the average Xiloá Midas cichlid shape, except for being slightly more high bodied (LM 6) and having the anal fin shifted ventro-rostrally (figure 7b). *Amphilophus sagittae* has a relatively small head and eye (LM 1–5), is elongated through the mid-body and caudal peduncle (LM 5, 6, 9–10, 13–15) and differs most from consensus. *Amphilophus xiloaensis* is slightly more deep bodied (LM 6–9) with a shorter caudal peduncle (LM 13–15) and a longer lower jaw (LM 2 and 5).

**Table 5.** Pairwise Procrustes distances between *A. citrinellus* and *A. labiatus* from both great lakes. All comparisons were statistically significant ($p < 0.001$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Managua</th>
<th>Managua</th>
<th>Nicaragua</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>A. citrinellus</em></td>
<td>0.0878</td>
<td>0.0623</td>
<td>0.1007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. labiatus</em></td>
<td>0.0703</td>
<td>0.0649</td>
<td>0.0797</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are significant body shape differences between all three Xiloá Midas cichlid species (figure 7, table 7). *Amphilophus sagittae* has the most distinct morphology, evidenced by high interspecific Procrustes distances and differentiation along CV1. *Amphilophus amarillo* and *A. xiloaensis* are primarily differentiated along CV2. CV1 encodes a shape change in head size, mid-body depth (LM 6–10) and caudal peduncle length (LM 11–15) (data not shown). *Amphilophus amarillo* is the most similar to the average Xiloá Midas cichlid shape, except for being slightly more high bodied (LM 6) and having the anal fin shifted ventro-rostrally (figure 7b). *Amphilophus sagittae* has a relatively small head and eye (LM 1–5), is elongated through the mid-body and caudal peduncle (LM 5, 6, 9–10, 13–15) and differs most from consensus. *Amphilophus xiloaensis* is slightly more deep bodied (LM 6–9) with a shorter caudal peduncle (LM 13–15) and a longer lower jaw (LM 2 and 5).

**Figure 5.** (a) There is a complete morphospace difference between *A. citrinellus* from each of the two lakes, which is primarily described by CV2. Conversely, most of the variation between the two *A. labiatus* populations and between species is described along CV1. All interspecific and population comparisons are significantly different in body shape ($p < 0.001$) Each dot represents the multivariate morphospace of an individual specimen. (b) The shape changes between the average (i.e. consensus) shape of *A. citrinellus* (black dot) and *A. labiatus* (line terminus) in great lakes Managua and Nicaragua. In both lakes, both species are completely differentiated by a DFA. No scale factor applied.
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the limnetic species *A. sagittae* and *A. zaliosus*. A PCA to compare the morphospace variation between all endemic species of Apoyo and Xiloa demonstrates that these elongate limnetics have their morphospace explained best by the same directions on the PC, with the older species *A. zaliosus* showing the more extreme variation (figure 8). This suggests that similar ecological forces through divergent selection caused the parallel evolution of a limnetic species in both crater lakes Apoyo and Xiloa.

### (c) Biological diversity within crater lakes

One hundred and forty-four new mtDNA sequences (GenBank accession numbers GU016624–GU016703, GU016998–GU017061) were combined with previously available sequences (electronic supplementary material, appendix table S2) for a total dataset of 929 sequences from all crater lakes. These collapsed to 73 different haplotypes for the entire Midas cichlid species complex. Lake Xiloa had the highest rarefied allelic richness (16.48) and Apoyo the lowest (1.90) (figure 9; electronic supplementary material, appendix table S3). This pattern was generally similar to that observed for gene diversity, which is a measure that is not corrected for sample size differences (electronic supplementary material, appendix table S3).

We were interested in lake and faunal features that may suggest why some crater lakes house multiple species while most other crater lakes apparently contain only a single species of Midas cichlid. Because only six crater lakes could be included in this study, this small sample size renders rigorous statistical analyses difficult. Nonetheless, some relevant patterns emerge.

Crater lakes with one species (*A. cf. citrinellus*) have significantly lower allelic richness than lakes with multiple Midas cichlid species (mean ± s.d.: 5.02 ± 3.24 versus 15.14 ± 1.90; t-test assuming equal variances: $t = 3.94$, d.f. = 4, two-tailed $p = 0.017$) (calculated in JMP v. 7; SAS 2008) (figure 9). PD is higher in lakes with one species (5.81 ± 2.73 × 10^{-4}) than it is in lakes with multiple species (3.11 ± 2.17 × 10^{-4}), though the relationship is not significant (t-test assuming equal variances: $t = -1.97$, d.f. = 4, two-tailed $p = 0.297$) (figure 9). Allelic richness tends to decrease weakly with increasing PD ($F_{1,4} = 1.374$, $p = 0.31$) (figure 9).

Midas cichlid species richness increases significantly with crater lake age ($F_{1,4} = 10.59$, $p = 0.031$) and size ($F_{1,4} = 5.586$, $p = 0.077$) (figure 10). This effect is leveraged by Apoyo, which is the most species-rich, oldest and largest of the crater lakes. Xiloa has

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>A. astorquii</th>
<th>A. chancho</th>
<th>A. flaveolus</th>
<th>A. zaliosus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. chancho</td>
<td>0.0991</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. flaveolus</td>
<td>0.0682</td>
<td>0.0736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. zaliosus</td>
<td>0.1151</td>
<td>0.1342</td>
<td>0.0961</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Pairwise Procrustes distances between all four species in crater lake Apoyo. All comparisons were statistically significant ($p < 0.001$).
apparently relatively high species richness for a mid-aged lake age of small size. The same relationship between species richness and crater lake size and age does not hold when comparing syntopic fish species.

Table 7. Pairwise Procrustes distances between all three species in crater lake Xiloá. All interspecies comparisons were statistically significant ($p < 0.001$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A. amarillo</th>
<th>A. sagittae</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. sagittae</td>
<td>0.0768</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. xiloaensis</td>
<td>0.0475</td>
<td>0.1069</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8. The morphospaces of individual specimens in the limnetic species, *A. zaliosus* (endemic to Lake Apoyo) and *A. sagittae* (endemic to Lake Xiloá) are explained by the same direction of principal components (primarily along PC1). Benthic species are better distinguished along PC2.

Figure 9. There is a negative trend between PD, which describes the amount of body shape variation within each crater lake, and rarefied allelic richness. A line of best fit is drawn in grey. Each crater lake is noted, with the number in parentheses indicating the number of currently described Midas cichlid species in the lake.

Figure 7. (a) The first two axes of the CVA can distinguish all three species within crater Lake Xiloá. Each dot represents the multivariate morphospace of an individual specimen. (b) Body shape variation for each of the three described species of Xiloá compared with the consensus body shape of all three species of the lake. The line terminus indicates the average local shape change in the species of interest, compared with a consensus average shape (black dot). Scale factor = 7.5.
A. labiatus and other than Midas cichlids, including (crater lake age: $F_{1,4} = 0.035, p = 0.861$; crater lake size: $F_{1,4} = 0.045, p = 0.842$) or excluding (crater lake age: $F_{1,4} = 0.014, p = 0.911$; crater lake size: $F_{1,4} = 0.003, p = 0.960$) alien species (table 1). Any undescribed species, in the Midas complex or otherwise, would affect the inferences drawn here, as we will discuss below.

4. DISCUSSION
(a) Each lake has a unique Midas cichlid morphology
Morphometric analysis of the entire Midas cichlid species complex across six crater lakes and both great lakes revealed that Midas cichlids from each lake have their own characteristic body shapes (figure 3). Each lake is significantly different from all other lakes, whether crater or great lake (table 4). This includes lakes that supposedly have the same species composition, e.g. A. cf. citrinellus in crater lakes or A. labiatus and A. citrinellus in great lakes.

Populations in crater lakes Asososca Managua and Apoyo are the most divergent in body shape (figure 3, table 4). Specimen morphospaces from some crater lakes overlap, particularly from lakes Asososca León and Apoyeque, and Xiloá and Apoyo, and from the great lakes. This suggests that similar body shapes independently evolved in species of Midas cichlids that inhabit those pairs of lakes. The morphospaces of specimens from all other lakes, however, are almost completely non-overlapping.

The greatest apportioning of body shape change across the complex occurs in the head and mouth shape, head size, body depth and length of the caudal peduncle (figure 4). Interestingly, these are also body shape characteristics that have been found in other cichlid studies to relate to trophic niche and ecological selection (e.g. Rüber & Adams 2001; Hulsey & García de León 2005; Barluenga et al. 2006; Clabaut et al. 2007; Konow et al. 2008). Thus, though we have not addressed trophic differences here, our findings in light of previous research on eco-morphology in the species complex (Barlow & Munsey 1976; Meyer 1989, 1990a,b; Vivas & McKay 2001; Barluenga et al. 2006) suggest a strong role for ecological selection and adaptation in the diversification of Midas cichlid body shapes.

The body shapes of Midas cichlids from the great lakes are distinct from those inhabiting the crater lakes. Such a pronounced body shape variation between great lakes and crater lakes is not unexpected because the clear, deep waters of crater lakes are quite different from the shallow and turbid environment in the great lakes of Nicaragua. Nonetheless, some crater lakes are very young, especially Asososca Managua (1.2 kyr ago) and Apoyeque (1.8 kyr ago) (table 1). Therefore, the change in body shape that comes with colonization of a new crater lake must occur quite quickly. Such morphological changes are expected as a first macrohabitat shift, or colonization of a new environment, during the formation of an adaptive radiation (Schluter 2000a; Gavrilets & Losos 2009). Drift or random sampling of the ancestral population may also be important (Mayr 1954). The role of local adaptation and ecological character displacement very likely interact strongly in crater lakes with multiple ecological species, e.g. minimally Apoyo, Xiloá and nominally also Apoyeque (K. R. Elmer, T. K. Lehtonen & A. Meyer 2010, unpublished data). An analysis of body shape with ecological niche and inferred adaptation (sensu Schluter 1993) across lakes would be important in order to clarify whether similar or different selection regimes act in each of these crater lakes.

(b) Variation in crater lakes with one described species
The crater lakes with A. cf. citrinellus—Apoyeque, Asososca León, Asososca Managua and Masaya—may hold several species that are as of yet undiscovered or formally described. For example, morphs with hypertrophied lips occur to different extents in lakes Apoyeque and Masaya (Waid et al. 1999). In Asososca Managua, which has the highest PD, we collected a relatively elongate A. cf. citrinellus at low frequencies (K. R. Elmer, H. Kusche & A. Meyer 2009, unpublished data), and future research may identify it as an incipient limnetic ecotype or species. Asososca Managua has a large water volume, the smallest littoral zone of all crater lakes (Waid et al. 1999) and clear water: thus, it is feasible that rapid speciation to exploit the limnetic niche might occur (sensu Barluenga et al. 2006).

Lake Masaya is maximally 6000 years old. Given the examples of other crater lakes of the region with similar age, Lake Masaya might be expected to harbour multiple species, though they have not yet been described. However, the lake has experienced frequent volcanic activity (Kutterolf et al. 2007), even as recently as 1800 years ago. It is unknown to what extent these eruptions affect a crater lake’s fauna, but...
they could have repeatedly eliminated or decimated the entire fish population. Additionally, historical documents indicate that there were no large fish, such as Midas cichlids, in Masaya 450 years ago (Villa 1976a), which raises the interesting possibility that the Midas population in Lake Masaya is only a few centuries old.

(c) Patterns of diversity in Nicaraguan great lakes

In both Nicaraguan great lakes there is significant body shape differentiation between the species A. citrinellus and A. labiatus (figure 5, table 5). Though the taxonomy has been far from clear (Astorqui 1971; Villa 1976b), these species are recognized as having distinct morphologies (Astorqui 1971; Klingenberg et al. 2003), though little or no difference in meristic characters has been documented (Barlow & Munsey 1976). The body shape of A. labiatus is rather elongated, and it has a more pointed snout relative to that of A. citrinellus (figure 5b). Additionally, A. labiatus has large, hypertrophied lips, which gave it its name.

Intriguingly, both A. citrinellus and A. labiatus have body shapes that are significantly different from their conspecifics in the neighbouring great lake (figure 5a, table 5). Differences among populations of A. citrinellus and A. labiatus between the two great lakes may indicate phenotypic divergence by drift promoted by long periods of geographical isolation. Amphiliophilus citrinellus in lakes Managua and Nicaragua are significantly genetically differentiated at nuclear microsatellites and mtDNA (Barluenga & Meyer 2004), suggesting a strong role for geographical isolation. Alternatively, the significant intraspecific, interpopulation body shape differences may represent local adaptation to different lake conditions. Abiotic environmental factors such as turbidity, depth, slope and temperature are very similar for both great lakes (Cole 1976), but the lakes differ dramatically in water chemistry (Cole 1976). Lake Managua is much more concentrated in dissolved solids, probably because it has no major out-flow (Cole 1976). Our results for A. labiatus in Managua and A. citrinellus in Nicaragua should be treated with some caution, as the former has a relatively small sample size (table 2) and the latter relies on samples drawn only from the west–northwestern area of the lake. Additional studies to discern the ecological causes of the intraspecific variation between the populations in lakes Managua and Nicaragua are underway, as these populations represent the source of biological variation that colonized the isolated crater lakes.

(d) Midas cichlid diversity and the question of species

An overall assessment of the Midas cichlid species complex was due, given that in recent years six new species have been described (Stauffer & McKay 2002; Stauffer et al. 2008). Prior to those species descriptions, Klingenberg et al. (2003) analyzed body shape differences among the species that were at that time properly taxonomically described: A. citrinellus, A. labiatus and A. zaliosus. The authors found that some species had body shapes more similar to the syntopic populations of their sister taxa than to allotopic populations of their apparent conspecifics. Our current findings indicate that the earlier lack of interspecific resolution in the study of Klingenberg et al. (2003) was due to an A. citrinellus sample that included specimens from different lakes. Thus, this ‘lumping’ of species would have exaggerated perceived ‘intraspecific’ variation of A. citrinellus. Future study will probably identify that we too have lumped species that might await taxonomic description. Certainly, more ecological and behavioural research is needed, but it would seem clear already that some of the crater lakes contain as yet undescribed species.

Whether populations of Midas cichlids in each lake should be considered different species—given the significant morphological variation and distinctiveness we have shown here—depends on one’s species concept (see Mallet 1995; Sites & Marshall 2003; Wiens 2004). Allopatric populations of Midas cichlids in different crater lakes cannot come into physical contact or hybridize in nature, so they are isolated genetic units on independent evolutionary trajectories. However, reproductive isolation is not complete and Midas cichlids from different species and lakes will breed in captivity if no homospecifics are offered (Baylis 1976b) and there is no evidence for F1 hybrid inviability (to date only tested between A. ‘citrinellus’ × A. zaliosus, Baylis (1976a)). Thus, we suggest that populations in each crater lake are diverging because of geographical isolation, drift and, ultimately, local adaptation (Templeton 1980). Conversely, diversification within lakes probably occurs under sympatric conditions, depending on specific lake environments and disruptive ecological selection (discussed below). Thus, paradoxically, the question of crater lake Midas cichlid species is less clear in allopatry than in sympatry.

(e) Crater lake characteristics and species richness

Crater lakes harbouring multiple described endemic Midas cichlid species tend to have populations with higher genetic diversity and lower PD than populations in lakes of only A. cf. citrinellus (figure 9). Species-rich lakes may have higher genetic diversity because of intralacustrine population genetic structuring. Perhaps for similar reasons, temperate lakes with benthic and limnetic stickleback ecotypes are also more haplotype-rich than lakes with a generalist ecotype (Taylor & McPhail 1999). Alternatively, allelic richness may simply increase linearly with time when crater lakes are isolated and/or with lake size (size is correlated with genetic diversity in stickleback lacustrine populations; Caldera & Bolnick 2008). Reduced PD suggests a reduction in interindividual body shape variation, perhaps with increasing specialization.

Midas cichlid species-rich crater lakes are older and larger than crater lakes with one species (figure 10). Waid et al. (1999) also found Nicaraguan crater lake size and age to be important in the number of fish
species therein. There is no relationship between crater lake age or size and the number of other fish species therein. This contrast argues for a rapid speciation rate in Midas cichlids compared with the other sympatric crater lake cichlids such as Neotroplus nematopus or Parachromis managuensis.

The population in Lake Xiloá has an exceptionally high haplotype diversity relative to the lake’s young age. Xiloá has at least once in the past been merged with Lake Managua (Villa 1976c). An historical influx of A. citrinellus and A. labiatus into the Xiloá Midas cichlid population could have increased diversity within the crater lake by contributing new alleles or promoting character displacement into benthic and limnetic ecotypes (Grant 1972; Schluter 2000b), a pattern that is common in freshwater fishes (Robinson & Wilson 1994). Finally, Lake Xiloá has a heterogeneous bottom profile, with steep slopes to the north and shallow, sandy substrate to the south, which effectively increases the number of potential habitats (Lim et al. 1976) and may promote intralacustrine diversification and speciation. The combination of ecological and genetic factors promoting speciation in isolated populations may together further increase species richness (Emerson & Kolm 2005).

Some Nicaraguan lakes are better studied than others. Arguably, we know of multiple species in Apoyo and Xiloá because they are the cleanest, most accessible and therefore best studied of Nicaragua’s crater lakes. Finding and describing new species in other crater lakes could change these perceived relationships.

(f) Intralacustrine diversity in crater lakes Apoyo and Xiloá

In both crater lakes that house multiple described species of Midas cichlid, we find that all species can be statistically significantly distinguished in body shape from their sympatric sister taxa. Body shape differentiation among species in Lake Apoyo is higher than it is in Lake Xiloá (tables 6 and 7).

In Lake Apoyo, Nicaragua’s largest and oldest crater lake, there is pronounced body shape variation among the four endemic cichlid species (figure 6, table 6). Amphilophus zaliosus, the species with the illustrative common name ‘arrow cichlid’, is the most morphologically distinct. It is characterized by a narrow, elongate shape, reflecting adaptation to the limnetic niche (Barlow & Munsey 1976; Barluengaa et al. 2006). Amphilophus astorqui, a benthic, colony-breeding species, has a short mid-body and is also quite distinct from the other Apoyo species; it is particularly separated from A. zaliosus along CV1. Amphilophus chancho, also a benthic species, has a bulgy mid-body and a short lower jaw, which might reflect adaptation to prey types (Meyer 1989, 1990b) different from the other benthic species. The most basal shape in Lake Apoyo can be found in A. flavescus. Larger sample sizes of A. chancho and A. flavescus would give us greater power to describe their differences, which are subtle but morphometrically and meristically consistent and significant (present study; Stauffer et al. 2008). Species in Apoyo are endemic, reproduce assortatively in close geographical proximity (Baylis 1976a; T. K. Lehtonen 2008, unpublished data) and are ecologically distinct sister taxa (Barluengaa et al. 2006; Stauffer et al. 2008). Thus, the system has been argued as a strong case for sympatric speciation by disruptive ecological selection and associated divergence in assortative mating (Baylis 1976a; Barluengaa et al. 2006; Gavrilets et al. 2007; Stauffer et al. 2008).

However, to date, this has only been researched in A. zaliosus (Barlow & Munsey 1976; Baylis 1976a; Barluengaa et al. 2006).

Lake Xiloá is the only other crater lake that currently has several described endemic Midas cichlid species. All species are significantly different from each other in body shape (table 7, figure 7). As in Apoyo, Xiloá’s limnetic species, A. sagittae, is the most distinct in its elongate body shape and small head (figure 7b). It was also named for its ‘arrow’ body shape (Stauffer & McKay 2002), like A. zaliosus, and feeds on a predatory diet (Vivas & McKay 2001). Amphilophus amarillo closely approximates the consensus shape and is only distinguished from A. xiloaensis along CV2. Amphilophus amarillo and A. xiloaensis are both high bodied putatively benthic species that feed on a diet richer in snails (Vivas & McKay 2001).

There are ecological differences between all three species in the pharyngeal jaw apparatus, choice of breeding substrates (Vivas & McKay 2001) and nest site depth (Elmer et al. 2009). Xiloá Midas cichlids are a distinct genetic cluster compared with neighbouring crater and great lakes (Bunje et al. 2007; K. R. Elmer, A. Kautt & A. Meyer 2010, unpublished data). Given the sister taxon relationships, ecological distinctiveness, assortative mating, novel environment and complete endemism, there is a strong argument for sympatric speciation of all the extant species in crater lake Xiloá.

(g) Adaptive radiation and parallel evolution

In Apoyo and Xiloá, we identify a pattern of morphological and genetic variation that agrees with expectations of intralacustrine divergence into available ecological niches, resulting in eco-morphological adaptation and subsequent sympatric speciation. Parallel evolution of a limnetic species in multiple crater lakes has been hypothesized (McKaye et al. 2002; Stauffer & McKay 2002; Barluengaa et al. 2006; Stauffer et al. 2008) but not previously demonstrated. Here, we show the independent parallel body shape evolution of an elongate limnetic ecotype in crater lakes Apoyo and Xiloá (figures 6b,7b). Amphilophus sagittae is limnetic (Vivas & McKay 2001) and ecologically equivalent to A. zaliosus (Stauffer & McKay 2002), which is also limnetic (Barlow & Munsey 1976; Barluengaa et al. 2006). The original species description of A. zaliosus noted that ‘fish similar in appearance’ were living in Xiloá (Barlow & Munsey 1976), but until now no formal morphological comparison of similarity and parallelism had been pursued. As first suggested generally by Barlow & Munsey (1976), the morphospace of species is generally equivalent in lakes Apoyo and Xiloá (figure 3). Morphological variation is described in the same...
direction of shape change into benthic and limnetic forms (figure 8). Body shapes of *A. zaliosus* and *A. sagittae* are generally more similar to each other than they are to other species in their native lake. Both species have slender, elongate bodies and longer caudal peduncles compared with their syntopic, less morphologically differentiated species (figures 6,7).

In Lake Apoyo, *A. zaliosus* originated sympathetically from an ‘*A. citrinellus*’ ancestor within the last 10 000 years (Barluenga et al. 2006; Elmer et al. 2010). *Amphilophus sagittae* is almost certainly younger, since Lake Xilolá itself is only 6100 years old. The less dramatic morphological differentiation between *A. sagittae* and its syntopic Midas cichlids compared with the distinctiveness of *A. zaliosus* relative to its syntopic counterparts might be due to the comparatively recent speciation. Rapid evolution of a limnetic morph, even under crater lake sympatric conditions, is in agreement with theory and model predictions (Gavrilets et al. 2007).

Ecological parallelism across independent lakes is a prediction of ecological speciation and strong evidence for adaptation to local environments, rather than variation owing to drift (Schluter 1996). Such divergence can occur quickly (Hendry et al. 2007), especially if adaptation or speciation is based on standing genetic variation, i.e. alleles that are present in the ancestral population, rather than novel mutations (reviewed in Barrett & Schluter 2007). The limnetic ecological niche associated with the deep open water found in crater lakes is a novel habitat for Midas cichlids compared with the shallow ancestral habitat of the great lakes. Thus, disruptive selection on eco-morphology and concomitant assortative mating is thought to drive speciation in the crater lakes (Barlow & Munsey 1976; Baylis 1976a; Barluenga et al. 2006). In the temperate zone, competition and predation are thought to be the main drivers of diversification rather than lake physical characteristics (Robinson & Wilson 1994; Vamosi 2003), though some physical and chemical attributes may be important as well (Vamosi 2003; Landry et al. 2007; Gow et al. 2008).

Ecological speciation by disruptive selection is thought to be difficult to accomplish (Felsenstein 1981; Kirkpatrick & Ravigné 2002; Bürger et al. 2006; Nosil et al. 2009). It requires that multiple factors occur in concert: strong trade-offs in efficiencies to maximize fitness (Levins 1962); spatial and/or ecological conditions that maintain polymorphism and frequency-dependent selection (e.g. Udovic 1980; Otto et al. 2008); the evolution of reproductive isolation between ecologically dissimilar types (Maynard Smith 1966; Rice & Salt 1990; Kirkpatrick & Ravigné 2002); and a mechanism for ecologically relevant mate choice (Higashi et al. 1999; Takimoto et al. 2000).

Conversely, under the forces of natural selection, parallel evolution may be rather likely (Orr 2005) and disruptive selection rather common (Martin & Pfennig 2009). Correspondingly, a number of taxa do show parallel evolution of eco-morphological traits (reviewed in Schluter 2000a) and cichlid fishes in particular include convincing cases of parallel evolution (Meyer 1993; Galis & Metz 1998; Stiassny & Meyer 1999; Turner 2008; Salzburger 2009). The only known limnetic neotropical cichlid species are *A. zaliosus* (Barlow & Munsey 1976) and *A. sagittae* (Stauffer & McKaye 2002), so ecological speciation into this niche may be rare. However, our findings suggest that intralacustrine diversification can occur very quickly when environmental conditions are suitable.

### 5. Conclusion

Understanding the environmental and biological conditions that result in an acceleration or stasis of speciation is important if we are to test rigorously the current theories of ecological speciation and adaptive radiation (Schluter 2000a; Seehausen 2006; Nosil et al. 2009). Our findings suggest that Midas cichlids in Nicaraguan crater lakes follow an expected pattern of adaptive radiation: divergence in macrohabitat (lake colonization), then microhabitat (benthics and limnetics within lakes) and associated divergence in adaptive traits and assortative mating (Gavrilets & Losos 2009). Albeit the Midas cichlid species complex does not match the species richness of, for example, the African cichlids (Kocher 2004), we consider the Midas cichlid species complex across crater lakes to be an incipient adaptive radiation (sensu Schluter 2000a). This groundwork should allow for predictive hypothesis testing in future research. It also supports the notion that the crater lakes in Nicaragua represent repeated ‘natural experiments’ (Wilson et al. 2000; Barluenga et al. 2006).

Because all Nicaraguan crater lakes are unique with respect to colonization history and environmental factors, it may be that different histories influence the rate of speciation in various lakes (e.g. age and isolation in Apoyo, flux in Xilolá). We are restricted in replicates of these natural experiments to the number of crater lakes that exist. Nonetheless, many natural model systems with sympatric ecotypes of freshwater fishes in young, isolated and simplified lakes have a similar number of replicate environments, e.g. five lakes with sympatric benthic and limnetic stickleback ecotypes in British Columbia (Gow et al. 2008), six St John River lakes with dwarf and normal lake whitefish ecotypes (Landry et al. 2007), three Cameroonian crater lakes (Schliewen et al. 1994; Schliewen & Klee 2004). These systems have offered unparalleled insights into adaptive radiation and speciation in fishes.

Myriad aspects of the Nicaraguan Midas cichlid species complex call for further ecological and evolutionary investigation. For example, quantifying ecological and genetic diversification that occurs shortly after colonizing a new lake; testing the extent of reproductive isolation within ecotypes across lakes (i.e. parallel speciation sensu Schluter & Nagel 1995); assessing the adaptive roles of morphological and colour polymorphisms. Because of parallel evolution across lakes and the emerging genome resources (whole genome sequence: International Cichlid Genome Consortium 2006), the Midas cichlids also offer an exciting avenue for inferring genomic and transcriptomic bases to phenotypic variation (Elmer et al. 2010) and the role of standing genetic variation versus novel mutation in parallel evolution and natural
selection (Schluter & Conte 2009; Via 2009). The success of other freshwater fishes with divergent sympatric ecotypes offers a roadmap for further experimental and conceptual challenges (e.g. Peichel et al. 2001; Kingsley et al. 2004; Rogers & Bernatchez 2005; Jeukens et al. 2009; Schluter 2009) that can be tackled with the diverse Midas cichlid species complex.
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