Diversification of New Zealand weta (Orthoptera: Ensifera: Anostostomatidae) and their relationships in Australasia
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New Zealand taxa from the Orthopteran family Anostostomatidae have been shown to consist of three broad groups, *Hemiandrus* (ground weta), *Anisoura/Motuweta* (tusked weta) and *Hemideina–Deinacrida* (tree–giant weta). The family is also present in Australia and New Caledonia, the nearest large land masses to New Zealand. All genera are endemic to their respective countries except *Hemiandrus* that occurs in New Zealand and Australia. We used nuclear and mitochondrial DNA sequence data to study within genera and among species-level genetic diversity within New Zealand and to examine phylogenetic relationships of taxa in Australasia. We found the Anostostomatidae to be monophyletic within Ensifera, and justifiably distinguished from the Stenopelmatidae among which they were formerly placed. However, the New Zealand Anostostomatidae are not monophyletic with respect to Australian and New Caledonian species in our analyses. Two of the New Zealand groups have closer allies in Australia and one in New Caledonia. We carried out maximum-likelihood and Bayesian analyses to reveal several well supported sub-groupings. Our analysis included the most extensive sampling to date of *Hemiandrus* species and indicate that Australian and New Zealand *Hemiandrus* are not monophyletic. We used molecular dating approaches to test the plausibility of alternative biogeographic hypotheses for the origin of the New Zealand anostostomatid fauna and found support for divergence of the main clades at, or shortly after, Gondwanan break-up, and dispersal across the Tasman much more recently.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The biology of New Zealand is, unlike that of most Pacific islands, viewed as continental in nature (Cowie & Holland 2006). This is justified geologically because New Zealand is formed from continental rather than oceanic crust (Neall & Trewick 2008). Consequently, the biota of New Zealand is considered to be predominantly ‘Gondwanan’, having its principal affinities in Australasia (Fleming 1979) and the Southern Hemisphere in general (Gibbs 2006). Although the Gondwanan nature of the New Zealand biota is often attributed to the continental (vicariant) history of the land, this explanation has not always been pre-eminent (e.g. Fleming 1962; Caughley 1964). The importance of dispersal is widely recognized, and it is more generally accepted that biogeographical pattern alone does not reveal the process(es) of origination of biota (Waters & Craw 2006). Indeed, the distribution of one former icon of vicariance biogeography in New Zealand (and the Southern Hemisphere), southern beech (*Nothofagus*) has recently been shown to be best explained by dispersal to New Zealand (Cook & Crisp 2005a; Knapp et al. 2005). Numerous other molecular studies of a range of taxa demonstrate that a substantial (if not predominant) part of the New Zealand biota are products of long-distance dispersal (Waters & Craw 2006 and references therein; Trewick et al. 2007).

An improving, though far from perfect understanding of the tectonic history in the New Zealand region (Mortimer 2004) is helping to reveal why this continental land does not in fact have a predominantly continental biota. The rifting of the continent Zealandia from Gondwana (including Australia), commenced ca 83 Ma (figure 1a) and the Tasman Sea reached its current width between 63.5 and 55.5 Ma (Vevers & Li 1991; McLoughlin 2001). This was just the start of New Zealand and New Caledonia's story. Zealandia (figure 1b) subsequently sank so that today approximately 93 per cent of the continent is below the surface of the sea (Landis et al. 2008).

This active geological history contrasts with the prolonged geological stability of Australia, the nearest remnant of continental Gondwana. With respect to land area, climate and biotic assemblage, New Zealand (and New Caledonia) has few continental attributes and ample evidence suggests that these islands are...
biologically more like oceanic islands than southern continents (Goldberg et al. 2008; Grandcolas et al. 2008; Trewick & Morgan-Richards in press).

Evidence for the persistence of land in the New Zealand region throughout the Oligocene has been obscured by the extensive tectonic activity initiated in the early Miocene (Landis et al. 2008). The tectonic upheaval that resulted in the formation of New Zealand (as we know it today) began ca 24 Ma and still continues (Trewick et al. 2007). For example, the major mountain ranges of New Zealand started forming only ca 5 Ma. This, and other local geophysical events, may have been more important in the development of the modern biota than ancient vicariant processes. New Caledonia has a similar geological history with tectonic activity forcing a submerged section of Zealandia (and oobducted oceanic ultramafic strata) to the sea surface in the late Eocene (ca 40 Ma Chardon & Chevillotte 2006; Mortimer et al. 2006; Grandcolas et al. 2008; Neall & Trewick 2008).

One of the most interesting components of New Zealand’s terrestrial fauna, with both taxonomic and ecological diversity, are insects of the orthopteran family Anostostomatidae, known in New Zealand by their Maori name, weta. Of particular biogeographic interest is the presence of the family on all three major Australasian landmasses: Australia, New Caledonia and New Zealand. The group consists of relatively large insects (20–80 mm) that are nocturnal, predominantly flightless and predatory, with a Gondwanan distribution (also found in Central and South America, South Africa, Madagascar and India). In New Zealand, the family is represented by five genera and approximately 56 species. These five genera fall into three distinct groups: (i) nine (plus approx. 30 undescribed) species of Hemideina Ander 1938 (ground weta), (ii) one species of Anisoura Ander 1938 and two species of Motuweta Johns 1997 (tusked weta), and (iii) seven Hemideina White 1846 (tree weta) and 11 Deinacrida White 1842 (giant weta) (Trewick & Morgan-Richards 2004, 2005).

The Hemideina and Deinacrida are unusual among Anostostomatidae in that all species are primarily herbivorous. The diversification of Hemideina–Deinacrida dates to the Miocene, with adaptation to diverse habitats following mountain uplift (ca 5 Ma Trewick & Morgan-Richards 2005). The three tusked weta species (Anisoura/Motuweta), so named owing to the impressive tusk-like structures on the mandibles of mature males, form a monophyletic group among New Zealand taxa (Trewick & Morgan-Richards 2004), although analogous ornaments are found in some South African species (i.e. Libanasidus vittatus; Field & Deans 2001). Within the Australasian anostostomatid genera, Hemideina is the only genus not endemic to a single landmass, being recorded in both Australia and New Zealand (Johns 1997). Of the approximately 40 species from New Zealand (P. M. Johns 2005, personal communication) only nine are described (Johns 1997; Jewell 2007), making them the least well-characterized weta group in this country. Ovipositor length, which appears to be correlated with degree of maternal care (Gwynne 1995; Johns 1997; Gwynne 2004), was in the past the key morphological character distinguishing the genus Zealandosandrus Salmon 1950 from Hemideina Ander 1838. However, Johns (1997) synonymized Zealandosandrus, retaining Hemideina by precedent. The Australian anostostomatid fauna is poorly characterized with just 13 described species but probably comprises nine genera with approximately 60 species (Johns 1997; G. Monteith 1999, personal communication). Australia’s fauna includes three genera with winged species, one of which (Transaevum) is considered to be the most ‘ancestral’ extant member of the group (Monteith & Field 2001). Intriguingly, fossil Orthoptera that are putatively ‘weta’ are reported from 190 Ma deposits in Queensland, Australia (Meads 1990) but have not been formally described. The New Caledonian weta fauna consists of two genera, Aistus (three species with several undescribed; Johns 1997) and Carcinopsis (six species; Johns 1997).

Anostostomatids occupy a variety of environments across their geographical range in Australasia. In New Caledonia, they are tropical forest inhabitants; in Australia, almost all are found in the wet tropical forests of Queensland with one genus endemic to coastal Western Australia (Monteith & Field 2001; figure 16). The New Zealand weta live mostly in temperate forest and subalpine environments, with the majority

Figure 1. (a) Geological area cladogram, after Cook & Crisp (2005b) showing the accepted Gondwanan break-up sequence. Shaded boxes represent uncertainty about timing of vicariant events. (b) Australasia sampled for anostostomatid weta (Insecta: Orthoptera) in this study (Australia, New Caledonia and New Zealand). Australian species are limited to the east coast of Queensland and New South Wales and one genus in Western Australia (white fill) whereas most parts of New Zealand and New Caledonian support one or more weta species. The dashed outline and light grey shading indicate the approximate boundaries of the submerged continent Zealandia.
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of species in South Island (figure 2). Curiously, anostostomatids are absent from comparable temperate forest in southern Australia (Victoria and Tasmania).

We have undertaken sampling across New Zealand, New Caledonian and Australia to explore the evolution of the New Zealand Anostostomatidae. Representatives of all nine Australian genera, both New Caledonian genera and the five New Zealand genera were included in the present study. We used molecular phylogenetics to recover support for the relationships included in the present study. We used molecular phylogenetics to recover support for the relationships included in the present study. We used molecular phylogenetics to recover support for the relationships included in the present study. We used molecular phylogenetics to recover support for the relationships included in the present study. We used molecular phylogenetics to recover support for the relationships included in the present study. We used molecular phylogenetics to recover support for the relationships included in the present study. We used molecular phylogenetics to recover support for the relationships included in the present study. We used molecular phylogenetics to recover support for the relationships included in the present study. We used molecular phylogenetics to recover support for the relationships included in the present study. We used molecular phylogenetics to recover support for the relationships included in the present study. We used molecular phylogenetics to recover support for the relationships included in the present study. We used molecular phylogenetics to recover support for the relationships included in the present study.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

(a) Sampling

The majority of sampling was undertaken by the authors in New Zealand and New Caledonia. In addition, samples of Hemideina were supplied by Darryl Gwynne (University of Toronto, Canada). The New Zealand sampling included all three tusked weta species (Anisoura/Motuweta), representatives of the Hemideina and Deinacrida (previously shown to be monophyletic; Trewick & Morgan-Richards 2005) and representatives within the taxonomic diversity of Hemideina including putative and new species (see the electronic supplementary material). Assistance with identification and sampling of undescribed species was provided by P. M. Johns. Material from Australia was supplied by Geoff Monteith (Brisbane Museum, Australia) and Dave Rentz (CSIRO, Australia). This sampling, although not exhaustive, includes at least one representative of each genus in the region and is the most complete dataset to date.

(b) Molecular methods

Whole genomic DNA was extracted from hind leg muscle following the salting-out method (Sunnucks & Hale 1996) and resuspended in 50 μl TE buffer (0.1 mM EDTA, 10 mM Tris) or water. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) were performed in 10 μl volume using ABgene Red Hot Taq. Products were visualized on 1 per cent agarose gels stained with SYBRSafe (Invitrogen). Thermal cycling PCR was carried out on an MJ Research PTC-200 thermal cycler and consisted of initial denaturation of 94°C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 48–50°C for 30 s and 72°C for 1 min 30 s with a final extension of 72°C for 3 min. PCR products were purified with Shrimp Alkaline Phosphatase and Exonuclease I following manufacturer’s recommendations (USB Corporation). Sequencing used BigDye Terminator v. 3.1 chemistry and an ABI3730XL Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems; Foster City, CA). DNA sequences were deposited on NCBI GenBank (see the electronic supplementary material; EU676657–EU676800 and EU713453–EU13461). Primers used for PCR were the following: mtDNA COI: LCO1490, HCO2198 (Folmer et al. 1994), CI-J-2195 and L2-N-3014 (Simon et al. 1994); mtDNA third domain 12S rRNA: SR-N-14588 and LR-J-13417 (Simon et al. 1994); nuclear rRNA 18S: 18S-A1984 (Vawter 1991), 18S_1F (gac gaa aaa taa cga tac ggg) and 18S_1R (ctc aat ctg tca atc ctt cca) (this study); and 28SrD5b, 28SrD7bl and 28SB (Whiting 2002).

(c) Phylogenetic analysis

Individual sequence reads were checked against ABI trace files using Sequencher v. 4.70 (Gene Codes Corp. Ann Arbor, MI) and aligned using St-AI v2.0a11 (Rambaut 1996). The protein-coding gene COI was translated into amino acids to ensure correct reading frame and to detect evidence of nuclear copies (which were subsequently removed). Ribosomal RNA genes were checked for indels. In cases where missing data were included, they were coded as N in analyses. In order to evaluate individual genes and concatenated data, we divided the datasets into the following: I—18S Ensifer; II—18S

Figure 2. Approximate distributions of New Zealand Anostostomatidae taxa: (a) Deinacrida (giant weta) and Anisoura/Motuweta (tusked weta) species; (b) Hemideina (tree weta) (based on Trewick & Morgan-Richards in press); (c) Hemideina (ground weta) based on Johns (2001), Jewell (2007) and P. M. Johns (2005, personal communication). In addition to the species shown, H. maculifrons is widely distributed throughout both the North and South Islands. Additional undescribed taxa appear to have local distributions and predominate in South Island (P. M. Johns 2005, personal communication).
Australasian Anostostomatidae; III—combined 18S and 28S Australasian Anostostomatidae; IV—COI-RY-coded Australasian Anostostomatidae; V—combined COI-RY and 12S Australasian Anostostomatidae; and VI—COI Hemiandrus only.

The COI data were partitioned into three character sets according to the codon position, first, second and third. In order to maximize third codon information, we treated it in three different ways: as four nucleotides (A, G, T, C), Y-coded (Y, A, G) or RY-coded (A and G=R, T and C=Y). In order to avoid potential tree estimation bias due to nucleotide composition or saturation, we used Yor RY coding on the third codon position nucleotides for COI sequences in dataset IV and V. Recoding of this sort has been shown to greatly improve consistency in phylogenetic resolution by reducing bias from differences in nucleotide composition (Phillips & Penny 2003), which is useful when looking at deeper divergences. To assist with tree rooting and thus gamma distribution for variable rate sites (GTR), a general time-reversible model with invariable sites and a hierarchical likelihood ratio test (Posada & Buckley 2004).

In order to avoid potential tree estimation bias due to nucleotide composition or saturation, we used Yor RY coding on the third codon position nucleotides for COI sequences in dataset IV and V. Recoding of this sort has been shown to greatly improve consistency in phylogenetic resolution by reducing bias from differences in nucleotide composition (Phillips & Penny 2003), which is useful when looking at deeper divergences. To assist with tree rooting and thus gamma distribution for variable rate sites (GTR), a general time-reversible model with invariable sites and a hierarchical likelihood ratio test (Posada & Buckley 2004).

Models of DNA evolution were optimized separately for each dataset using Modeltest v. 3.7 (Posada & Crandall 1998) and Akaike Information Criteria was preferred to the hierarchical likelihood ratio test (Posada & Buckley 2004). Maximum-likelihood (ML) analyses were implemented using the programs PAUP* (Swofford 2003), GARLI v. 0.951 (Zwickl 2006) and PhyML (Guindon & Gascuel 2003). Model parameters from Modeltest were implemented using a general time-reversible model with invariable sites and a gamma distribution for variable rate sites (GTR + I + G) model with a heuristic search under the likelihood criterion with trees obtained from stepwise addition.

Bayesian analyses were implemented using MrBayes v. 3.1 (Huelsenbeck & Ronquist 2001). We specified nst=2 (HKY) and nst=6 (GTR) with a proportion of invariant sites and gamma distribution of rate variation. Analyses of datasets III (18S + 28S), IV (COI) and V (COI + 12S) were undertaken with (parameters unlinked) and without character set partitions. We used two runs of four Markov chains (each with one cold chain) with 1–10^6 generations and default priors, sampling every thousandth tree. A ‘burn-in’ of 10 per cent was removed after examination of log-likelihood scores and average standard deviation of the split frequencies. Trees saved below the burn-in generation were discarded and a majority rule consensus of the remaining trees was calculated. Multiple replicates of the Bayesian runs were carried out to insure convergence of the posteriors.

(d) Tree comparisons
We assessed the degree of conflict between our phylogenetic estimates by using tree comparison tests, to see if one topology was significantly better at explaining the molecular data than alternative phylogenies. We used the SH tests (Shimodaira & Hasegawa 1999) implementing a RELL distribution derived from 1000 bootstrap replicates as executed in PAUP*. For dataset IV (COI), we carried out multiple analyses manipulating the third codon position so that it was; four states, Y-coded and RY coded. To observe the effect of this simple noise reduction technique, we compared ML topologies obtained from PhyML for each state using either a simple model (HKY85) or a parameter-rich model (GTR + I + G). We also used constraint analysis to test the likelihood of alternative tree topologies for the monophyly of New Zealand taxa and the genus Hemiandrus (New Zealand and Australia).

3. RESULTS
A summary of all sequence data collected can be viewed in the electronic supplementary material along with locality information and accession numbers. NCBI BLAST searches returned matches for previously published Orthopteran sequences. The alignment used in each analysis is available as a Nexus file from the authors on request.

(a) Phylogeny
Dataset I (18S Ensisera) consisted of 1863 bp after removal of a 37 bp hypervariable indel region between bp 719 and 756 from our original alignment to accommodate the diverse range of taxa, which included seven ingroup taxa and nine outgroup
Hemiandrus instances were the Hemideina–Deinacrida Dataset III (concatenated 18S were separate from the Australian although not monophyletic in every analysis, both the New Zealand chondrial sequences. We identified two clades within the following analyses with nuclear and mito-

trancaan (New Zealand and Aus-

tal) found to be monophyletic, a finding consistent with the grouping of Anisoura/Motuweta (clade A) with Aistus and Carcinopsis as sister to Hemideina–Deinacrida (clade B) was supported (tree not shown).

Dataset IV (COI sequences from Australasian taxa), 1225 bp aligned DNA sequence data for 28 ingroup taxa, plus two outgroup taxa (one Madagascan gryllacridid (Mad 379) and one South African stenopelmatid (F234); see the electronic supple-

mentary material). With RY-coded mitochondrial COI data, our phylogenetic analyses returned clades consistent with those observed in analysis of 18S data (figure 4), including strong support for multiple, distinct weta lineages in New Zealand (clades A, B, CI, CII; figure 5). This result was strongly supported by the SH test constraining New Zealand taxa to form a monophyletic lineage (p < 0.0001). Anisoura/Motuweta (clade A) was again found to be sister to Aistus and Carcinopsis and collectively were sister to the Hemideina–Deinacrida (clade B; figure 5). We examined the translated amino acid sequence and observed 10 changes shared by Aistus, Carcinopsis and Anisoura/Motuweta (out of 409) clearly separating them from the rest of Anostostomatidae. Analysis of dataset V, a reduced set of taxa with concatenated sequences, consisted of COI (1225 bp) and 12S (435 bp) for Australasian Anostostomatids (25 taxa, 1860 bp). Analyses returned a similar topology with the same taxon subgroupings and no support for the New Zealand monophyly as with previous datasets (not shown).

Analysis of dataset VI (COI Hemiandrus only), for 46 individuals from at least 15 New Zealand species and two Australian Hemiandrus species (848 bp), revealed high genetic diversity (mean genetic distance, 6.5 and 7.2% for the North and South Islands, respectively) among samples of the widespread New Zealand taxon...
Hemiandrus maculifrons. Samples of *H. maculifrons* formed two main clades, one from South Island and one from North Island (clade CI, figure 6), with a specimen from northern South Island (sample GW93A from Pelorus Bridge) sister to the North Island clade. By contrast, most other New Zealand *Hemiandrus* species were closely related to one another (e.g. 3.9% between *H. bilobatus* and *H. ‘evansae’), and these species tend to have narrow geographical ranges. All ground weta (whether North Island or South Island) species with extremely reduced/short ovipositors form a monophyletic group with long ovipositor species paraphyletic to this. Two taxa with medium ovipositors (*H. ‘okiwi’* and *H. ‘evansae’*) each are sister to long ovipositor species, and not together. Other anostostomatids, including the sister Australian *‘Hemiandrus’*, have long ovipositors and therefore the reduction of ovipositor length (along with the unusual maternal care that appears to correlate with these conditions) appears to have evolved at least three times in New Zealand. Investigation into monophyly of the genus using the SH test on dataset IV revealed that constraining all *Hemiandrus* (Australian and New Zealand) to be monophyletic resulted in a tree with a significantly worse likelihood score (*p* < 0.0001).

(b) Summary of phylogenetics

New Zealand weta are not monophyletic, instead forming three (or four) clades. The New Zealand tusked weta (clade A) genera are more closely related to the New Caledonian taxa (*Aistus* sp. and *Carcinopsis* sp.; figures 4 and 5) with no apparent close Australian relative. New Zealand’s large herbivorous tree and giant weta (*Hemideina* and *Deinacrida*) form a distinct clade that is strongly supported with less than 1 per cent sequence divergence in the nuclear rDNA gene 18S (clade B, figure 4). All our analyses returned a clade consisting of *Aistus*, *Carcinopsis*, *Anisoura/Motuweta* (clade A) and *Hemideina–Deinacrida* (clade B). However, long branches due to an apparent rate shift in the *Aistus*, *Carcinopsis* and *Anisoura/Motuweta* (clade A) lead to us remove these taxa from further analyses, so this relationship is not fully understood. The third New Zealand clade, the *Hemiandrus*, consists of two lineages (clades CI and CII; figures 4–6) that may not be sisters. One lineage consists of only *H. maculifrons* and *H. ‘alius’* while the other includes 11 species sampled (figure 6). Finally, the nine Australian taxa are not monophyletic but form four clades throughout the tree, sister to New Zealand clades; however, there is little BPP support for these
nodes. This is consistent with the short branch lengths obtained at the base of the tree.

Dataset IV (COI–RY-coded Australasia; figure 5) returned three Australian clades of interest: (i) winged Transaevum sister to the non-winged Australian Hemiandrus and genus B, (ii) winged Exogyrrllacris sister to the non-winged Hypocophoides and Penalva, and (iii) winged Gryllotaurus sister to the non-winged Anostostoma and genus A. These three Australian clades were not resolved in the analysis of dataset II (18S Australasia; figure 4) but the three winged species were never monophyletic and Transaevum was sister to all the other Anostostomatidae.

(c) Divergence estimation

Edge lengths on branches suggest that Aistus and Carcinopsis along with Anisoura/Motuweta (clade A; figures 4 and 5) may have an increased rate of mutation. In order to test for this, we compared ML trees with and without a clock enforced and found evidence in both 18S and COI datasets for a significant deviation from a clock-like model of evolution. By removing Aistus, Carcinopsis and Anisoura/Motuweta, we removed this clade (five sequences) from the dating analysis.

Estimates of the rate of evolution of COI vary a great deal, primarily due to the disparity between population- and species-level rates (time-dependent rates or J-shape curve; Ho et al. 2005; Penny 2005). Here, we restrict our comparisons to recent studies that examine family-level divergences of insects, which estimate the rate of evolution for COI and COII of insects at approximately 0.007–0.012 substitutions per site per million years (Zakharov et al. 2004 and references therein). In our relaxed molecular clock phylogenetic analysis, constraining the base of the Anostostomatidae clade to 82 Ma, our estimated mutation rates for COI and COII of insects were between 0.0097 and 0.0376 [95% CI], compared with a mutation rate of 0.0223–0.1219 when applying the 40 Ma constraint. The mutation rate derived using the 82 Ma constraint is more similar to published data for other insects (Zakharov et al. 2004), and therefore supports divergences within Anostostomatidae of more than 80 Ma. Our relaxed-clock analyses of COI data suggest that both New Zealand Hemiandrus and Hemideina–Deinacrida lineages may have diverged from Australian relatives more than 82 Ma. Analysis of our 18S sequences with the 82 Ma constraint estimates divergence for New Zealand Hemiandrus clades that extend to before continental break-up (45–120 Ma and 11–103 Ma), but the Hemideina–Deinacrida clade was estimated to have diverged post-Gondwanan break-up (3–38 Ma). Thus, our sequence data support the idea that some weta lineages may have
diverged before the separation of Zealandia from Australia but also that dispersal has since occurred. The phylogenetic placement of Aistus, Carcinopsis and Anisoura/Motuweta as sister to Hemideina–Deinacrida suggests genetic exchange between New Zealand and New Caledonia after separation of Zealandia.

**4. DISCUSSION**

Despite comprehensive morphological studies, phylogenetic relationships within the Ensifera are poorly understood (Gwynne 1995; Whiting 2002; Desutter-Grandcolas 2003). Johns (1997) removed taxa from Stenopelmatidae to form Anostostomatidae, a separation subsequently supported by molecular analyses (Jost & Shaw 2006). Although we are not concerned here with deeper Ensiferan relationships, it is important to know that our taxon set comprises a true ingroup. We found support for the monophyly of Anostostomatidae in our analysis (0.96 BPP) and for the close relationship with the Gryllacrididae and Stenopelmatidae (figure 3), supporting previous inferences (Jost & Shaw 2006; P. M. Johns 2007, personal communication). However, we did not find evidence of a sister relationship of Deinacridinae (Hemideina and Deinacrida) and Anostostomatidae (rest of the family; Johns 1997; Gorochov 2001).

For the first time, we have shown that members of the family Anostostomatidae are not monophyletic in New Zealand or Australia. To explain the phylogenetic diversity of the New Zealand weta by vicariance requires that at least four distinct clades of Anostostomatidae were already present in Gondwana before Zealandia split from Australia, and that some of these subsequently went extinct in Australia. On the face of it, this seems an unlikely scenario, given the small size and geological activity of New Zealand compared with Australia, and indeed this has been shown to be a poor explanation for the distribution of Nothofagus beech in the region (Cook & Crisp 2005a). Although we found some variation in node dates inferred from COI and 18S data, we have to reject the hypothesis that all...
New Zealand lineages arose before continental break-up (ca 82 Ma). However, relaxed molecular clock calibrated phylogenies do suggest that some New Zealand clades may have formed before continental separation. These inferred early splits are consistent with a vicariant origin and survival of some Anostostomatidae lineages on Zealandia throughout the Oligocene marine transgression. Taxa missing from analyses (owing to extinction) will always result in long unbroken branches in phylogenetic trees and thus the inference of great age since common ancestors (Cook & Crisp 2005b) whereas recent splits (short branches) cannot be made older by the inclusion of ‘missing taxa’.

Colonization of New Zealand from the Australian biota, which includes three separate winged lineages, might have been facilitated by increasing land area after the Oligocene (less than 22 Ma). Dispersal events continue today, and include the establishment of an Australian Gryllacridid in recent years (Green & Ramsay 2003). The current study suggests that the two New Caledonia genera are more closely related to one of the New Zealand lineages but not to any Australian taxa. This is despite the comparatively close physical proximity and more similar climate of New Caledonia and Queensland, Australia. Despite evidence of an elevated substitution rate in both nuclear and mitochondrial genes, we observed clear phylogenetic evidence, supported by amino acid substitutions, for the sister relationship of the Aistus, Carcinopsis and Anisoura/Motuweta. Weta must have colonized New Caledonia after it emerged from the sea ca 40 Ma (Grandcolas et al. 2008), but our current taxon sampling is not sufficient to prove whether those weta ancestors came to or from New Zealand. Hemideina and Deinacrida were found to form a monophyletic group corresponding to the subfamily Deinacridinae Karny 1932. The lack of variation at the 18S gene among 18 species in these two genera is indicative of a recent radiation. Interestingly, Johns (1997) suggested that one of the tusked weta genera, Anisoura, should be included in the Deinacridinae; however, if Deinacridinae is to be valid, it should include all tusked weta genera plus the New Caledonian genera. Further morphological study is required to test this.

Our analysis of Australian and New Zealand Hemiantrus shows clearly that they represent separate lineages (figure 4). Furthermore, Hemiantrus in New Zealand consists of two distinct clades that may not be monophyletic. It is clear that taxonomic revision of the genus is required, with Hemiantrus being retained only for New Zealand taxa. Our data are the first to illustrate the depth of diversity within the New Zealand Hemiantrus that may amount to some 40 species (Johns 2001), using a broad range of habitats from alpine to lowland forest. We note relatively high genetic diversity in the most widespread species (H. maculifrons) that might justify separation as two or more allopatric species, but similar levels of sequence divergence are reported from other widespread weta with wide geographical ranges, e.g. Deinacrida connectens (Trewick et al. 2000) and Hemideina thoracica (Morgan-Richards et al. 2001). There is a stark contrast between the wide geographical range of H. maculifrons and the small ranges of the numerous, genetically similar, local endemics of South Island (figures 2 and 6). This pattern implies recent diversification in South Island, perhaps in response to habitat diversification since the Pliocene, which is also observed in the giant weta (figure 2) and many other taxa (Wagstaff & Garnock-Jones 1998; Lockhart et al. 2001; Trewick 2001; Chinn & Gemmell 2004; Trewick 2008). By contrast, much of the North Island is young (less than 1 Ma) and relatively homogeneous in terms of habitat (Trewick & Morgan-Richards in press).

In terms of geological history, Zealandia bears little resemblance to other larger, more stable southern continents that together originated from Gondwana. Instead, its history is more like that of many oceanic islands, undergoing extensive geographical transformations that mark significant changes in climate and topology, and ultimately shaping the biota. The lack of monophyly within the Anostostomatidae fauna is not entirely unexpected, but the idea that some lineages represent more ancient links between Australia and New Zealand is exciting, as is the apparent exchange between New Zealand and the other Zealandian island, New Caledonia. This pattern might be indicative of the New Zealand biota as a whole, where some old lineages surviving from Zealandia, are all but overshadowed by more recent biotic exchange.
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