Climatic oscillations during the glaciations forced dramatic changes in species distributions, such that some presently temperate regions were alternately occupied by temperate and arctic species. These species could have met and hybridized during climatic transitions. This phenomenon happened for three hare species present in Iberia (Lepus granatensis, Lepus europaeus and Lepus castroviejoi), which display high frequencies of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) from Lepus timidus, an arctic/boreal species presently extinct in Iberia. Here, we extend our previous geographical survey to determine whether the distribution of this mtDNA lineage extends beyond the northern half of the Iberian Peninsula, where it is found at high frequencies. We also review the taxonomy, distribution and molecular phylogeny of the genus Lepus. The phylogenetic inference reveals the presence of L. timidus-like mtDNA in several other hare species in Asia and North America, suggesting that the mitochondrial introgression observed in Iberia might be generalized. Comparison with the available nuclear gene phylogenies suggests that introgression could have happened repeatedly, possibly during different climatic transitions. We discuss demographic and adaptive scenarios that could account for the repetition in time and space of this spectacular phenomenon and suggest ways to improve our understanding of its determinants and consequences. Such high levels of introgressive hybridization should discourage attempts to revise hare taxonomy based solely on mtDNA.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Classifying living organisms into species and reconstructing phylogenetic relationships remain a major endeavour of naturalists. However, since populations descend from each other, speciation is continuous by essence and attempting to classify the outcomes of this process into discrete categories often comes to squaring the circle. Obvious obstacles to the rough application of any automatic classification criteria include shared ancestral polymorphism, character convergence and exchange via secondary hybridization. The advent of molecular genetics has theoretically given us the power to assess the influence of these three confounding factors, to appreciate the complexity of the speciation process and to understand the historical and genomic differentiation processes among populations before deciding how the notions of species and phylogenies apply to them. The view that the history of populations and species could be faithfully depicted by the history of a single gene or portion of the genome has been rewarding when studying sufficiently distantly related organisms. However, it can be highly misleading when closely related taxa are compared, precisely the level of relatedness relevant to understanding the speciation process.

Among the phenomena that challenge the classification of organisms, hybridization and introgression are relatively easy to characterize and have attracted much attention. These events have long been considered fundamental in plant evolution, but the importance of their role in animal evolution was only recently recognized, as more cases of hybridization came to light (see Dowling & Secor 1997). As many as 10% of animal species (primarily evolutionarily ‘young’ species) may be influenced by hybridization and
introduction (Mallet 2005). Cases of introgression reported in the literature frequently concern mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) with variable levels of mitochondrial replacement (see, e.g. Avise 2004; Ballard & Whitlock 2004). The mtDNA introgression is most often reported in situations of current contact and hybridization between species, such as in Drosophila (Powell 1983), Clethrionomys (Tegelström 1987), Thomomys (Ruedi et al. 1997), Cervus (Goodman et al. 1999) and Myotis (Berthier et al. 2006). However, we know that the establishment and disruption of contacts between species mostly result from shifting ranges in response to climatic changes. In the Northern Hemisphere, temperate species tended to retrace to the south during the glacial periods (Hewitt 1996). In Europe, the southern peninsulas (Iberia, Italy and the Balkans) were the most important refugial areas for these species and are considered important repositories of biodiversity (Taberlet et al. 1998). While periods of retraction can give rise to differentiation between isolated refugial populations, periods of expansion can lead to admixture or partial introgression. Although much less studied, arctic species are expected to have a different reaction to the cooling of the climate, and they tend to maintain large areas of distribution south of the ice rim (Hewitt 2001). During climate warming while temperate species expanded from the southern refugia (Hewitt 1996; Cruzan & Templeton 2000), arctic species moved to the North accompanying the retreat of the glaciers. Hence, the arctic and temperate fauna must have repeatedly replaced each other in large geographical regions, with the possibilities of transient contacts and hybridization between closely related arctic and temperate species. We have found evidence for this phenomenon in the Iberian Peninsula where the three extant temperate hare species harbour high frequencies of mtDNA from an arctic species, Lepus timidus (Alves et al. 2003; Melo-Ferreira et al. 2005, 2007). Here we extend our previous geographical survey of this phenomenon in Iberia, and also review the available molecular data on other hare species. On the basis of this preliminary evidence, we argue that the phenomenon is not limited to Iberia but could have happened all over the former range of the arctic species and with several other hare species from different regions.

2. THE GENUS LEPUS: ORIGIN, TAXONOMY AND CURRENT DISTRIBUTION

Taxonomy of members of the genus Lepus, a polytypic genus in the Lagomorpha family Leporidae, remains somewhat confusing mostly due to a strong overlap of morphological characters, an invariant karyotype across species (2n=48) and the lack of extensive molecular studies (see, e.g. Flux & Angermann 1990), Robinson & Matthee (2005) and references therein). A recent mtDNA and nuclear DNA (nDNA) analysis suggested that the genus Lepus most probably originated in North America ca. 12 Myr ago and then dispersed across the other continents via an ancestral lineage that crossed the Bering Strait to Asia ca. 7–5 Myr ago (Matthee et al. 2004). Although mtDNA sequence studies at finer time scales have contributed to clarify some phylogenetic uncertainties (Halanych et al. 1999; Pierpaoli et al. 1999; Alves et al. 2003; Wu et al. 2005; Ben Slimen et al. 2007, 2008), they have also been a source of confusion when revealing unexpected genetic similarities among taxa formerly considered divergent. For example, Halanych et al. (1999) analysed 11 recognized species of Lepus predominantly from North America and showed that the North American Lepus group is not monophyletic, which suggests a reflux of species from Asia. In addition, other studies have clearly demonstrated that several other Lepus species are not monophyletic based on mtDNA analyses (e.g. Alves et al. 2003; Wu et al. 2005).

The genus Lepus is cosmopolitan, with representatives on all continents and in a great variety of habitats. While most hare species have rather limited distributional ranges (e.g. Lepus corsicanus in Italy, Lepus castroviejoi in Spain or Lepus insularis in Mexico), some cover vast areas, sometimes across different continents and quite dissimilar habitats, such as Lepus capensis and L. timidus (Alves & Hackländer 2008). The palaeontological records show that the distribution of some species changed greatly during climate cycles, as is the case of the mountain hare, L. timidus. Although this species is clearly arctic/boreal and adapted to cold conditions, it seems capable of inhabiting a wide range of biota, from permafrost to rich agricultural lands. Presently, it has a wide, almost circumglobal, distribution in the Northern Hemisphere, ranging from the British Isles to the Russian Far East, with some isolated populations in the Alps, Poland and Japan (Angerbjörn & Flux 1995; figure 1). However, palaeontological records of this species witness a very different past distribution, with Upper Pleistocene fossil evidence demonstrating its historical presence, e.g. in southern France (Lopez-Martinez 1980) or in the Iberian Peninsula (Altuña 1970). Recent studies using mtDNA and microsatellites (Hamill et al. 2006), or only mtDNA but with a more extensive sampling (Melo-Ferreira et al. 2007), suggested that L. timidus most probably maintained large populations during the glacial periods, presumably south of the ice sheet, from where it must have later been displaced by temperate species.

3. MASSIVE MITOCHONDRIAL DNA INTROGRESSION FROM L. TIMIDUS INTO THREE SPECIES IN THE IBERIAN PENINSULA

The current taxonomic view accepts the presence of three species of hares in the Iberian Peninsula: Lepus granatensis; Lepus europaeus; and L. castroviejoi (figure 2). Each of these species is characterized by a mitochondrial DNA lineage of its own, but in Iberia all three species also share a fourth lineage, hereafter labelled ‘foreign’, the representatives of which are more related to each other than they are to any of the three species-specific lineages (Alves et al. 2003; Melo-Ferreira et al. 2005). The average divergence of the foreign lineage to L. granatensis and L. europaeus is approximately 10%, a typical value for interspecific differences in mammals (Johns & Avise 1998), while the divergence to L. castroviejoi is approximately 3% (Alves et al. 2003). This foreign lineage is clearly related to mtDNA of L. timidus, which suggests that its presence in the Iberian species resulted from secondary
Figure 1. Current species ranges of *L. europaeus* and *L. timidus* in Eurasia according to Flux & Angermann (1990) and Mitchell-Jones *et al.* (1999). The dashed box depicts the Iberian Peninsula. (See figure 2 for the ranges of hare species in this region.)

Figure 2. Ranges of the species of hares in the Iberian Peninsula and geographical distribution and frequencies of the four mitochondrial lineages observed in 39 populations (updated from Melo-Ferreira *et al.* 2005): 33 from *L. granatensis* (Ala1, Alava; Alb, Albacete; Alc, Alcañiz; Ali, Alicante; Ajl, Aljustreli; Amd, Almeida; Ben, Benavente; Brg, Bragança; CB, Castelo Branco; Can, Cáceres; Cnd, Córdoba; Crn, La Coruña; Cre, Ciudad Real; Cue, Cuenca; Grn, Granada; Hue, Huesca; Mad, Madrid; Mer, Mèrtola; Mur, Múrcia; Nav1, Navarra; Pan, Pancas; Ptm, Portimão; Sal, Salamanca; SE, Serra da Estrela; San, Santarém; Sen, Sendim; Sev, Sevilla; Sor, Soria; TC, Tierra de Campos; Tol, Toledo; Tor, Tordesillas; Val, València; Zar, Zaragoza); 5 from *L. europaeus* (Ala2, Alava; Can, Cantabria; Jac, Jaca; Nav2, Navarra; Vlc, Villarcayo) and 1 from *L. castroviejoi* (Cas, Cantabria). Sample sizes and population codes are shown next to the pie charts.
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introgression rather than from the persistence of ancestral polymorphism in the Iberian species. This conclusion is reinforced by phylogenies inferred from nuclear genes that clearly identify each of the Iberian species as a monophyletic clade (Alves et al. 2003, 2008), although the nuclear genome is known to require more time to reach reciprocal monophyly after speciation than the mtDNA. The mtDNA introgression was found to be extensive with the L. timidus haplotypes being predominant in the Iberian range of L. europaeus as well as in the northern range of L. granatensis, disappearing south (Melo-Ferreira et al. 2005). We updated this survey, reaching a total of 720 specimens (630 L. granatensis, 81 L. europaeus and 9 L. castroviejoi) from 39 locations across the Iberian Peninsula, including previously unsampled populations (figure 2). These new data reveal the presence of L. timidus haplotypes as far south as Serra da Estrela in Portugal and Alicante in Spain.

The palaeontological data attest to the historical presence of L. timidus in the Iberian Peninsula, and the genetic data demonstrate that it hybridized with the Iberian species and left traces before going extinct from genetic data demonstrate that it hybridized with the L. timidus and left traces before going extinct from the original mtDNA lineage: L. granatensis (figure 2; Melo-Ferreira et al. 2005). We updated this survey, reaching a total of 720 specimens (630 L. granatensis, 81 L. europaeus and 9 L. castroviejoi) from 39 locations across the Iberian Peninsula, including previously unsampled populations (figure 2). These new data reveal the presence of L. timidus haplotypes as far south as Serra da Estrela in Portugal and Alicante in Spain.

The palaeontological data attest to the historical presence of L. timidus in the Iberian Peninsula, and the genetic data demonstrate that it hybridized with the Iberian species and left traces before going extinct from the original mtDNA lineage: L. granatensis (figure 2; Melo-Ferreira et al. 2005). We updated this survey, reaching a total of 720 specimens (630 L. granatensis, 81 L. europaeus and 9 L. castroviejoi) from 39 locations across the Iberian Peninsula, including previously unsampled populations (figure 2). These new data reveal the presence of L. timidus haplotypes as far south as Serra da Estrela in Portugal and Alicante in Spain.

The lack of records on the history of L. europaeus in Iberia invites caution in applying to this species the same scenario of competitive replacement of L. timidus as described for L. granatensis, although some observations suggest that the phenomenon may be occurring in areas of present contact between L. timidus and L. europaeus. mtDNA introgression from L. timidus into populations of L. europaeus has been known for a decade in Sweden (Thulin et al. 1997; Thulin & Tegelström 2001, 2002). According to these studies, native L. timidus hybridize with introduced L. europaeus, a species that is progressively replacing L. timidus. In artificial crosses between these species, mating occurs only in the direction required to account for the observed introgression, i.e. L. timidus females with L. europaeus males (Gustavsson & Sundt 1965).

4. APPARENT ABSENCE OF NUCLEAR INTROGRESSION AND UNIDIRECTIONAL mtDNA TRANSMISSION

Given the high frequency of mtDNA of L. timidus origin in Iberia, one could expect some level of parallel introgression of nuclear genes. The analysis of 14 autosomal protein loci in L. granatensis populations did not show any significant differentiation among populations where the L. timidus mtDNA is present and those where it is not (F_{ST}=0.01; table 1; Alves 2002). This apparent lack of nuclear introgression is also supported by microsatellite analyses (Estonba et al. 2006; Freitas 2006). Further assessment is clearly needed; but from this preliminary data it appears at least unlikely that nuclear introgression could be of the same magnitude as that of mtDNA.

Contrasting genetic patterns between nuclear and mitochondrial markers are usually explained either by higher genetic drift of mtDNA (due to reduced effective size of mtDNA when compared with nDNA) or by
that of does not occur in China since its mtDNA is similar to example, when analysing Chinese hare relationships, 2005; Wu hare species using mtDNA alone (Waltari & Cook have tried to assess the phylogenetic relationships of shifts. Therefore, we reanalysed the available data on inferences due to phylogenetic assessments based only during the glacial periods in places where it is currently in Iberia, there is evidence for the presence of Yu (2004) had proposed that the Chinese hares most probably derived from L. timidus mtDNA is found in the arctic hare complex. This probably that L. timidus to invade the range, the cross of granatensis males with timidus females would be favoured, resulting in unidirectional introgression. Similarly, unidirectional gene flow from L. timidus into L. europaeus was observed in Sweden (Thulin et al. 1997) and Denmark (Fredsted et al. 2006), but in Russia (Thulin et al. 2006) and the Alps (Suchentrunk et al. 2005) it also occurs in the reverse direction. Thus, while an asymmetry seems to exist, it does not seem to be consistent in all known cases of current hybridization events. However, the recurrent cross of hybrid females with males of the invading species, coupled with male hybrid sterility or inviability (Haldane’s rule), would suffice to completely dilute the mtDNA of one species in a few generations (see Roca et al. 2005).

5. CIRCUMPOLAR MITOCHONDRIAL DNA INTROGRESSION?

Looking at the present distribution of L. timidus (figure 1) and considering that it was able to maintain a large and continuous area of distribution during the glacial periods (Melo-Ferreira et al. 2007), it seems probable that L. timidus was in contact with several other species during the glacial/post-glacial range shifts. Therefore, we reanalysed the available data on the molecular phylogeny of hares. Some recent studies have tried to assess the phylogenetic relationships of hare species using mtDNA alone (Waltari & Cook 2005; Wu et al. 2005; but see Alves et al. 2006). For example, when analysing Chinese hare relationships, Wu et al. (2005) concluded that Lepus capensis, a species with a broad distribution from Africa to China, does not occur in China since its mtDNA is similar to that of L. timidus. Additionally, in the phylogeny presented by these authors, some species were clearly paraphyletic, with some sequences in the L. timidus clade and others in another distant clade. Previously, Yu (2004) had proposed that the Chinese hares most probably derived from L. timidus. However, in China as in Iberia, there is evidence for the presence of L. timidus during the glacial periods in places where it is currently absent (Zhang 2002).

Another example of possible erroneous taxonomic inferences due to phylogenetic assessments based only on mtDNA is found in the arctic hare complex. This complex includes L. timidus from Eurasia, which is replaced by Lepus othus in Beringia and by Lepus arcticus in North America and northern Greenland (Flux & Angermann 1990). Waltari & Cook (2005) found in their mtDNA phylogeny of the arctic hares that the L. timidus mtDNA clade also included haplotypes from L. othus and L. arcticus. They argued that the monophyly of both L. arcticus and L. othus retain their species status but disregarded that accepting this view made L. timidus paraphyletic. This pattern might result from incomplete lineage sorting of mtDNA in these closely related taxa, but hybridization is certainly an alternative that should not be overlooked. Of course, only extensive characterizations of these species and their nuclear genome would give reliable answers to these questions, but there are many other cases where mtDNA introgression from L. timidus is a plausible hypothesis to explain the mtDNA phylogeny. In figure 4 we present a phylogeny of 19 species of hares using a set of published cytochrome b sequences. A clade containing all L. timidus also contains 11 other species (not only L. granatensis, L. europaeus, L. castroviejoi, L. arcticus, L. othus and L. capensis, which we already discussed, but also L. corsicanus, Lepus manshuricus, Lepus townsendii, Lepus oioistolus and Lepus tola). and some of these species are also found elsewhere on the tree (L. granatensis, L. europaeus, L. capensis, L. oioistolus and L. manshuricus). Interestingly, L. manshuricus from Manchuria, L. townsendii from North America, L. oioistolus from Tibet and L. tola from Russia, Mongolia and China have geographical distributions that seem compatible with present and/or past contacts with L. timidus.

In the mtDNA phylogeny of figure 4, L. castroviejoi appears to split into two groups of haplotypes: one falls with the majority of L. timidus specimens and with other Iberian haplotypes of L. timidus, while the second group falls into a sister clade of L. corsicanus. In discussing the situation in Iberia above, we considered that the latter group of haplotypes might represent a mtDNA lineage specific to this species while the former represented introgression from L. timidus. This would imply that L. castroviejoi is closely related to L. timidus. However, this is contradicted by nuclear gene phylogenies showing that L. timidus is roughly equidistantly related to L. castroviejoi, L. granatensis and L. europaeus (Alves et al. 2003, 2008). Therefore, we suggest that the true original L. castroviejoi mtDNA has not been sampled, but that instead the two lineages of mtDNA found in this species are of L. timidus origin and witness two introgression events of different ages. Whether the original mtDNA lineage still exists in L. castroviejoi will have to be assessed by further sampling.

6. SELECTIVE ADVANTAGE OF MOUNTAIN HARE mtDNA

Almost all known cases of hybridization in the genus Lepus involve L. timidus and mtDNA introgression from this species seems to be a common phenomenon. Since introgressive hybridization occurred frequently and multiple times independently in different species, we assume that deterministic forces have shaped this process. The scenario of competitive replacement during climate warming that we put forward to explain the genetic differentiation among Iberian hare

Table 1. Weir & Cockerham’s estimates of F-statistics across protein loci in L. granatensis for group A (absence L. timidus mtDNA), group B (presence of L. timidus mtDNA) and between groups (significance difference from zero: *p<0.05; **p<0.01).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>F_IS</th>
<th>F TT</th>
<th>F_ST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>group A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.08**</td>
<td>0.08**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>group B</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>between groups</td>
<td>0.05*</td>
<td>0.06**</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

male-biased dispersal (Palumbi & Baker 1994). As commonly observed among mammals, male hares are typically more mobile than females (Bray 1998). Considering the replacement with hybridization scenario in Iberia, if L. granatensis males were the first to invade the L. timidus range, the cross of granatensis males with timidus females would be favoured, resulting in unidirectional introgression. Similarly, unidirectional gene flow from L. timidus into L. europaeus was observed in Sweden (Thulin et al. 1997) and Denmark (Fredsted et al. 2006), but in Russia (Thulin et al. 2006) and the Alps (Suchentrunk et al. 2005) it also occurs in the reverse direction. Thus, while an asymmetry seems to exist, it does not seem to be consistent in all known cases of current hybridization events. However, the recurrent cross of hybrid females with males of the invading species, coupled with male hybrid sterility or inviability (Haldane’s rule), would suffice to completely dilute the mtDNA of one species in a few generations (see Roca et al. 2005).
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Figure 4. Neighbour-joining tree of cytochrome b sequences (552 bp) of 19 species of hares, computed using the Kimura two-parameter model of evolution (Kimura 1980) and 1000 bootstrap replicates, using the program MEGA v. 3.1 (Kumar et al. 2004). Sequences from the European rabbit (Oryctolagus cuniculus) and cottontail (Sylvilagus floridanus) were used as outgroups. All sequences were downloaded from GenBank (accession numbers are depicted on the tips of the tree). Species names and specimen locations are also indicated.

populations might be used to elucidate similar patterns found in other geographical regions. But the reverse phenomenon could also have happened in periods of climate cooling, with replacement of the temperate species by the one from the Arctic. However, the data do not provide any evidence that mtDNA introgression
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has occurred from temperate to arctic species. Perhaps more refined palaeoecological studies could provide an explanation to this asymmetric pattern since changes in the environment need not have occurred at the same pace during warming and cooling periods. The asymmetric pattern could also result from behavioural asymmetry if female L. timidus were particularly tolerant to allospecific matings when compared with females of other species. Finally, it might be that mtDNA introgression of L. timidus was facilitated by natural selection if cytonuclear recombinants gained an advantage under certain conditions. Evidence is accumulating that mtDNA is subject to selection pressures of various sorts (reviewed by e.g. Rand 2001; Ballard & Whitlock 2004). In particular, because the mitochondrial metabolism is involved in heat production, mtDNA could participate in adaptation to cold (Mishmar et al. 2003; Ruiz-Pesini et al. 2004; Fontanillas et al. 2005). It could thus be that the spread and persistence of mtDNA of L. timidus in the northern ranges of the temperate species, in which it was introduced, were facilitated by conferring some form of resistance to low temperatures. The coalescent pattern that we observed in the lineages of L. timidus from Iberian hares (Melo-Ferreira et al. 2007) is compatible with either positive selection or demographic expansion. Differentiating between the two effects would require comparison with other unlinked (therefore nuclear) loci (e.g. Galtier et al. 2000). However, nuclear introgression appears to be scarce (see above, and Melo-Ferreira et al. 2008, unpublished data), and the comparison between these two types of loci with different modes of transmission would not be very powerful, especially in a context where demographic expansion is anyway likely to have occurred. We rather suggest two alternative approaches to answer this question. One would be to assess the level of introgression of nuclear candidate genes that participate in the metabolic chain of heat production in the mitochondria. If adaptation to low temperatures plays a role, then we should observe a differential pattern of introgression comparing functional and neutral genes. The second approach would be to compare the coalescent patterns of indigenous and introduced mtDNA lineages among the populations that possess both lineages. If mtDNA is neutral, both lineages should reflect the same demographic processes since they evolved in the same populations, at least since the initial contact between the species. This is an interesting but difficult question in population genetics. The ultimate answer to the question of adaptation would of course come from physiological studies on hares with different mtDNA haplotypes.

7. CONCLUSION

The phenomenon of ancient mitochondrial introgression observed in hares from Iberia can be satisfactorily explained by hybridization and competitive replacement during climate warming. However, there are still uncertainties about the exact circumstances under which it occurred, about the role of selection and the impact on the rest of the genome. The preliminary data presented here suggest that similar phenomena have occurred in several other regions between L. timidus and other hare species, offering potential replicates of the same phenomenon, and thus a valuable context for comparative evolutionary studies.

All new data included in this paper have been carried out following the Portuguese and Spanish ethics rules.
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