Calcium signalling in early embryos
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The onset of development in most species studied is triggered by one of the largest and longest calcium transients known to us. It is the most studied and best understood aspect of the calcium signals that accompany and control development. Its properties and mechanisms demonstrate what embryos are capable of and thus how the less-understood calcium signals later in development may be generated. The downstream targets of the fertilization calcium signal have also been identified, providing some pointers to the probable targets of calcium signals further on in the process of development.

In one species or another, the fertilization calcium signal involves all the known calcium-releasing second messengers and many of the known calcium-signalling mechanisms. These calcium signals also usually take the form of a propagating calcium wave or waves.

Fertilization causes the cell cycle to resume, and therefore fertilization signals are cell-cycle signals. In some early embryonic cell cycles, calcium signals also control the progress through each cell cycle, controlling mitosis.

Studies of these early embryonic calcium-signalling mechanisms provide a background to the calcium-signalling events discussed in the articles in this issue.
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1. CALCIUM AS A MESSENGER AT FERTILIZATION

Although the idea that calcium might cause egg activation is an old one (Heilbrunn 1928), our detailed understanding of calcium as a signalling ion began in nerves and muscles. Using the squid giant axon, a 1 mm wide centimetres long nerve cell, the basic features of cellular calcium handling were established (Baker 1972). The main points were that the free calcium concentration in the cytoplasm is approximately $10^{-7}$ M, between 10 000 and 100 000 times less than the concentrations in extracellular fluids; this was so because calcium ions were tightly bound to cytoplasmic proteins and active, energy-requiring mechanisms maintained intracellular stores of calcium that were sequestered from the cytoplasm.

In the muscle, the action potential that activates contraction stimulates the release from this internal store, the sarcoplasmic reticulum (Endo et al. 1970). Cytoplasmic calcium concentration could also quickly rise when voltage-activated calcium channels open, the calcium flux driven by the very large calcium gradient across the plasma membrane, as at the synapse to cause neurotransmitter release (Katz & Miledi 1971). In a very few years, the calcium-signalling paradigm was established: a transient increase in cytoplasmic calcium caused by either calcium influx or internal calcium release and terminated by the resequestration or extrusion of calcium ions by ATP-driven calcium pumps (Ebashi & Lipmann 1962; Martonosi & Feretos 1964; Maclennan 1970; Schatzmann 1973; Hasselbach & Oetliker 1983).

(a) Calcium as the fertilization activator
A calcium-transporting antibiotic, an ionophore A23187 (Reed & Lardy 1972), was the new tool that lead to the demonstration that an increase in calcium itself was sufficient rapidly to induce most of the hallmarks of fertilization, including release from cell-cycle arrest (Chambers et al. 1974; Lallier 1974; Schroeder & Strickland 1974; Steinhardt & Epel 1974; Steinhardt et al. 1974). In sea urchin eggs, it was shown that fertilization membrane elevation, membrane conductance changes, the respiratory burst and increases in protein and DNA synthesis were all induced by ionophore treatment (Steinhardt & Epel 1974). These fertilization-like changes did not require calcium in the surrounding seawater, therefore demonstrating that the ionophore was releasing calcium from internal stores. However, very few eggs divided. This was later shown to be due to the absence of centrioles, without which mitotic spindles cannot form in the sea urchin egg (Schatten 1994). Using the method of enhancing parthenogenesis that Loeb (1913) had developed, Brandriff and his colleagues were able to create adult female sea urchins after parthenogenetic activation with A23187 (Brandriff et al. 1975). Thus, calcium, an ionic signal, is the egg activator (Whitaker & Steinhardt 1982).

(b) Fertilization calcium waves
It had been inferred from the 20-fold increase in $^{45}$Ca efflux after ionophore treatment (Steinhardt & Epel 1974) and at fertilization (Azarnia & Chambers 1969, ...
that cytoplasmic calcium increases substantially during egg activation. A direct demonstration of the calcium increase was first made using a calcium-sensitive photoprotein from the jellyfish *Aequorea victoria*, the same species that was the source of the original green fluorescent protein, now widely used as a fluorescent tracer in developmental and cell biology. The photoprotein, aequorin, emits very low levels of blue light that can be detected using a photomultiplier or image intensifier. A calcium increase comparable with that seen during a twitch in skeletal muscle, but of a much longer duration (10 min rather than 10 ms), was observed in sea urchin eggs using a photomultiplier (Steinhardt et al. 1977). Using image intensification in the much larger fish egg, the calcium increase was observed to start at the point of the sperm entry and to progress slowly around the Medaka egg's rim of the cytoplasm to the antipode where it extinguished (Ridgway et al. 1977; Gilkey et al. 1978). The magnitude and duration of fertilization calcium waves led to them being described as calcium explosions (Jaffe 1980). For more details on the calcium waves, see Jaffe (2008).

Aequorin was also used eventually to measure a large free calcium increase in the mouse oocyte (Cuthbertson et al. 1981) and image intensification was used to record calcium waves in the smaller (relative to Medaka) sea urchin and starfish eggs (Eisen & Reynolds 1984; Eisen et al. 1984; Swann & Whitaker 1986). A calcium wave was also measured in frog eggs using calcium-sensitive microelectrodes (Busa & Nuccitelli 1985). Once Roger Tsien had invented calcium-sensitive fluorescent dyes (Tsien 1984; Grynkiewicz et al. 1985), they became the calcium indicator of choice (Busa & Nuccitelli 1985): calcium fertilization waves were measured using confocal microscopy with enhanced spatial and temporal resolution (Hafner et al. 1988; Fujiwara et al. 1993; Galione et al. 1993; Gillet & Whitaker 1993; Deguchi & Osanai 1994; Stricker et al. 1994; Eckberg & Miller 1995; McDougal & Sardet 1995; Stricker 1996; Fontanilla & Nuccitelli 1998).

(c) Calcium chelators
A formal proof that an increase in intracellular free calcium is the activating messenger at fertilization requires that activation is blocked if the calcium signal is prevented. This was first shown in the sea urchin egg (Zucker & Steinhardt 1978) and later confirmed (Swann & Whitaker 1986; Swann et al. 1992) by microinjection of the tetracarboxylate calcium chelator EGTA. Microinjection of EGTA was also shown to prevent the activation of mouse (Miyazaki & Igusa 1982) and ascidian oocytes (Tosti & Dale 1994). Using the higher-affinity chelator BAPTA developed by Tsien (Lew et al. 1982; Tsien 1984), very similar results were obtained in frog (Kline 1988), mouse (Kline & Kline 1992a) and molluscan oocytes (Guerrier et al. 1993) and in sea urchin eggs (Swann et al. 1992).

(d) The fertilization calcium signal
Based on the experiments described so far, it is readily concluded that the sperm gives rise to a large calcium signal that rises from a resting free calcium concentration of approximately 200 nM to a peak of several micromoles over a period of tens of seconds or less. The initial calcium signal has the form of a calcium wave travelling across the egg at a velocity of approximately 5–10 μM s⁻¹.

Once the wave has propagated across the egg, free calcium concentration remains elevated for several minutes, even tens of minutes in the case of the large frog egg where the calcium wave takes up to 5 min to traverse the egg. This very large calcium signal arises, it appears, because the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) calcium stores are sensitized to calcium release during oocyte maturation, probably through enhanced expression of calcium-releasing receptors (Mehlmann et al. 1996; Parrington et al. 1998); this is what likely leads to the development of the positive feedback mechanisms necessary for the explosive propagation of the calcium wave (Fujiwara et al. 1993; Carroll et al. 1994). The reorganization of the ER into stacked lamellar clusters during oocyte maturation also contributes to the wave mechanism (Kline et al. 1999). The clusters may also play some part in the downregulation of the wave mechanism, as they disappear in tandem with the ability of the oocyte to generate calcium waves (Kline 2000; Terasaki et al. 2001; FitzHarris et al. 2003; reviewed in Whitaker 2006). The resequestration of calcium into the ER at the end of the fertilization calcium transient is less well studied, but it is known that the SERCA pump and calreticulin/calsequestrin play the major role, as they do in somatic cells (Henson et al. 1989; Galione et al. 1991; Roderick et al. 2000).

A graphic image of the fertilization calcium wave can be had by imagining that during oocyte maturation, calcium release mechanisms are primed to generate a calcium explosion (Jaffe 1980) and that the sperm lights the fuse at fertilization (Whitaker & Swann 1993); in this way, the sperm, tiny when compared with the egg, can make its presence felt and can activate the entire egg cytoplasm.

2. PHOSPHOINOSITIDE SIGNALLING AT FERTILIZATION
Phosphoinositide lipids were unique in showing rapid turnover during secretory activity in the pancreas (Hokin & Hokin 1958). A large body of evidence was accumulated to support the idea that phosphoinositide turnover was involved in the mobilization of intracellular calcium during calcium signalling (Michell et al. 1981). The extent of calcium mobilization was directly related to the levels of phosphoinositide lipids (Berridge 1981); the most rapidly cycling were polyphosphoinositides (Creba et al. 1983; Michell 1983). The bisphosphoinositide lipid head group, inositol trisphosphate (InsP₃), was found to cause the release of calcium from calcium-sequestering vesicles prepared from pancreatic acinar cells (Streb et al. 1983); the complementary product of the hydrolysis of phosphatidylinositol(1,4,5)-trisphosphate, diacylglycerol, was discovered to be a very potent stimulant of a protein kinase C (PKC; Castagna et al. 1982; Kikkawa et al. 1983). These discoveries defined the phosphoinositide signalling pathway in which receptor stimulation by its ligand led to the activation
of a phospholipase C that in turn generated InsP₃ and diacylglycerol, InsP₃, causing calcium release and diacylglycerol activation of PKC.

It was found that polyphosphoinositide turnover increases markedly at fertilization in sea urchin eggs (Turner et al. 1984) and that the microinjection of InsP₃ into unfertilized sea urchin eggs caused their activation (Whitaker & Irvine 1984). These two sets of observations established the idea that InsP₃ was a key second messenger at fertilization.

3. G-PROTEIN SIGNALLING; THE INS₃ P₃ RECEPTOR
Trimeric GTP-binding proteins had been shown to couple plasma membrane hormone receptors to adenylylcyclase to produce the messenger cAMP (Rodbell 1980). cAMP is perhaps the only second messenger that has not been found to play at least a putative role at fertilization, but the connection is that a then novel subset of these G-proteins appeared to couple hormone receptors to the phospholipase that generated the two messengers of the phosphoinositide pathway (Bokoch & Gilman 1984; Cockcroft 1987; Casey & Gilman 1988).

InsP₃ was found to release calcium into the cytoplasm from the ER through its receptor/channel (Furuichi et al. 1989) located on the ER membrane. There are many structural similarities between the InsP₃ receptor and the ryanodine receptor (RYR) responsible for calcium release from the sarcoplasmic reticulum (Mikoshiba et al. 1994). The receptor has three isoforms that are differentially regulated by Ca²⁺; the receptor is regulated by tyrosine kinases and PKC and can be dephosphorylated by calcineurin, a calcium-activated signalling molecule (Mikoshiba 1997).

4. FERTILIZATION SECOND MESSENGERS
As the calcium wave is central to egg activation at fertilization, so does InsP₃ seem to be central to the calcium wave. The microinjection of InsP₃ at sub-micromolar concentrations will activate frog (Nuccitelli et al. 1993), sea urchin (Whitaker & Irvine 1984; Swann & Whitaker 1986; Turner et al. 1986), starfish (Picard et al. 1985; Santella et al. 2000), ascidian (McDougall & Sardet 1995; Roegiers et al. 1995) and mouse eggs (Swann et al. 1993). These commonly studied species are all deuterostomes. Stricker’s excellent survey of calcium signalling across the animal kingdom (Stricker 1999) demonstrates that all eggs from protostomes tested so far (cnidarians, nemerteans, molluscs and echinurans) respond to InsP₃, as do algal gametes (Roberts & Brownlee 1995).

Stricker’s summary table contains the important caveat that this response demonstrates only that all oocytes are capable of responding to InsP₃, not that InsP₃ is a fertilization messenger in all species. To demonstrate the latter, it must be shown at least that blocking the effects of InsP₃ prevents egg activation and preferably that InsP₃ increases with the appropriate timing at fertilization. InsP₃ antagonists have been shown to prevent egg activation in frogs (Nuccitelli et al. 1993), sea urchins (Crossley et al. 1991; Galione et al. 1993; Lee et al. 1993; Lee & Shen 1998; Mohri et al. 1995), starfish (Stricker 1995; Santella et al. 1999a), ascidians (McDougall & Sardet 1995) and mice (Kline & Kline 1994). Only in frog (Stith et al. 1993, 1994) and sea urchin (Ciapa & Whitaker 1986; Kuroda et al. 2001) eggs has InsP₃ been shown to increase at fertilization; measurements have not been reported in other species.

Sea urchin eggs were the material with which two new second messengers were discovered. The story began with the demonstration that pyridine nucleotide derivatives could release calcium from the egg’s stores independently of InsP₃ (Clapper et al. 1987). The first of these derivatives to be purified was cyclic ADP-ribose (cADPR; Lee et al. 1989) and the second was nicotinic acid adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NAADP; Lee & Aarhus 1995). cADPr was found to mobilize an internal calcium store that was functionally distinct from the InsP₃-mobilized calcium store and neither was a mitochondrial calcium store (Clapper & Lee 1985). NAADP was found to cause calcium release in both ascidian (Albrieux et al. 1998) and sea urchin eggs (Albrieux et al. 1998; Galione et al. 2000). The calcium store mobilized by NAADP is an acidic compartment, not the ER, and probably represents lysosomes (Churchill et al. 2002), while cADPr targets the RyR on the ER (Lee et al. 1994). Both messengers have been found to contribute to the calcium-signalling repertoire of somatic cells (Galione 1994; Thorn et al. 1994; Iino et al. 1997; Galione & Churchill 2000, 2002; Lee 2001).

As with InsP₃, so with cADPr and NAADP: a capacity for a response to these agonists does not itself demonstrate a role at fertilization. cADPr increases during fertilization in sea urchin eggs (Kuroda et al. 2001; Leckie et al. 2003) and NAADP is found in sea urchin spermatozoa (Billington et al. 2002; Churchill et al. 2003), but the antagonists of neither NAADP (Churchill & Galione 2000) nor cADPr (Galione et al. 1993; Lee et al. 1993, 1996; Leckie et al. 2003) prevent the calcium wave in sea urchin eggs, nor do they in frog (Galione et al. 1993), starfish (Santella et al. 1999b; Moccia et al. 2004), ascidian (Albrieux & Villaz 2000) or mouse eggs (Ayabe et al. 1995).

5. INITIATION AND PROPAGATION OF THE FERTILIZATION CALCIUM WAVE
Now that we have all the actors on stage, our play can begin. Its plot sets out how the fertilizing sperm, tiny compared with the egg, triggers the fertilization calcium explosion and how in turn the calcium wave propagates across the egg.

(a) Act I: calcium wave initiation
This act comprises a number of subplots that will converge in Act II, the point being that the wave initiation mechanism appears to vary significantly between species. However, there are two broad themes. The first suggests that sperm–egg interaction is at the outset analogous to a hormone–receptor interaction, and the second one suggests that sperm and egg must fuse to enable calcium wave initiation.

Mutual recognition by gametes is an important mechanism of speciation (Swanson & Vacquier 1998; Vacquier 1998) and involves egg and sperm receptors.
Receptor interactions are required to trigger the acro-
some reaction in the sperm (Darzson et al. 1999); the
acrosome reaction releases enzymes that aid the travel of
the sperm towards the egg surface and expose the plasma
membrane patch on the sperm that will ultimately fuse
with the egg plasma membrane. In mammalian oocytes,
the cell–cell recognition process between gametes
involves an integrin/disintegrin interaction mediated by
the CD9 tetraspannin protein, ADAM proteases and the
izumi CD9 receptor on the sperm (Evans et al. 1997; Cho
et al. 1998; Fenchel & Durand-Clement 1998; Eto et al.
2000; Kaji et al. 2000; Miller et al. 2000; Zhu & Evans
Janice 2002; Inoue et al. 2007; Vujigina & Evans Janice
in press). In the sea urchin, the acrosomal protein, bindin,
interacts with an EGF family receptor on the egg (Glabe

The receptor transduction hypothesis originated in an
observation that trimeric G-proteins were involved at
fertilization in the sea urchin: the G-protein antagonist
GDPβS prevented cortical granule exocy-
tosis at fertilization, one of the signs of egg activation
(Turner et al. 1986). However, it turned out that this
antagonist did not prevent the fertilization calcium
wave itself (Crossley et al. 1991). Integrin-derived
RGD peptides can trigger the activation of both frog
(Iwao & Fujimura 1996) and mammalian oocytes
(Campbell et al. 2000; Viets et al. 2001) and integrins
have a well-known signal transduction function
(Akiyama 1996; Woods & Shimizu 2001). In frog
oocytes, RGD peptides have been shown to prevent
sperm binding and fusion (Iwao & Fujimura 1996). It
is difficult, though, to unravel the effects of cell-cell
adhesion from the putative signal transduction event. It
is perhaps informative that the EGF receptor-like
bindin in the sea urchin receptor has no signal
transduction motif, perhaps implying that the sperm–
egg receptor interaction has evolved for binding, not for
signal transduction (C. G. Glabe 2005, personal
communication).

Despite the absence of signal transduction motifs in
the bindin receptor, Src-family tyrosine kinases have
been shown to play a part in the initiation of the
calcium wave in sea urchin and starfish eggs. Domin-
ant-interfering SH2 domains from Src kinase delay or
prevent the fertilization wave and an anti-Src antibody
triggers a calcium wave (Giusti et al. 1999b, 2000a,
2003). The Src kinase stimulates PLCγ to produce
InsP3 (Giusti et al. 1999a, 2000b; Shearer et al. 1999;
Jaffe et al. 2001; Runft Linda et al. 2004). SH2 domains
inhibitory to PLCγ and Src-family kinases will block
fertilization in sea urchins, starfish, zebrafish and
ascidians (Carroll et al. 1997, 1999; Runft et al.
1999, 2002; Shearer et al. 1999; Rongish & Kinsey
2000; Kinsey William et al. 2003), but not in mammals
or frogs (Mehlmann et al. 1998; Mehlmann & Jaffe
2005). Nonetheless, in frogs, Src-related tyrosine
kinase co-immunoprecipitates with PLCγ after fertili-
zation and complex formation is blocked by the protein
tyrosine kinase inhibitor PP1 (Sato et al. 2000).
Depleting cholesterol from lipid-signalling rafts with
methyl-β-cyclodextrin caused a reduction in tyrosine
kinase activity and blocked the fertilization calcium
transient (Sato et al. 2002). The tyrosine kinase
inhibitors lavendustin A and tyrphostin B46 prevented
the fertilization calcium wave, as did a 20 amino acid
truncation of the Src SH2 domain (Glahn et al. 1999).

The second broad hypothesis imagines that sperm–
egg receptor interactions induce the fusion of egg and
sperm plasma membranes by an as yet unknown
mechanism: seaurchin bindin will induce the fusion of
membrane vesicles (Glake 1985) and CD9 is known
to be involved in the myocyte fusion (Kaji et al. 2000).
The egg is then activated once the sperm and the egg
fuse via the transfer of an activating factor from the egg
to the sperm. To me, this is a more plausible idea given
the evidence, although the activating factor most
definitely varies from species to species.

The clearest evidence is found in the mouse. It is
known that the fertilization calcium transient occurs
some minutes after sperm–egg fusion (Lawrence et al.
1997). Mammalian sperm extracts produce the charac-
teristic repetitive fertilization calcium waves of mouse,
human and hamster eggs (Swann 1990, 1996; Homa &
Swann 1994). After a false start (Parrington et al. 1996;
Shevchenko et al. 1998), the active component was
identified as a novel phospholipase C, PLCγ2, that was
present only in the testis and the sperm (Rice et al. 2000;
Saunders et al. 2002). This entirely specific tissue
distribution argues very strongly that PLCγ2 is the
activating agent in mammalian fertilization; there is
other indirect evidence: PLCγ2 is sequestered into the
zygote nucleus as it reforms after fertilization just as
the fertilization calcium transients are dying away; if
sequestration is prevented, the transients persist
(Kono et al. 1995; Larman et al. 2004; Saunders et al.
2007). It has to be admitted though that the definitive
proof by gene knockout is lacking.

The experiment of grinding up sperm and micro-
injecting the resultant extract into its egg has been
performed in quite a number of species (Dale et al.
1978; Swann 1990; Santella & Kyozuka 1994; Wilding
& Dale 1997, 1998; Runft & Jaffe 2000; Li et al. 2001; Wu
et al. 2001; Yamamoto et al. 2001; Runft et al. 2002;
Coward et al. 2003; Howell et al. 2003; Knott et al.
2003; Santella et al. 2004), but the molecular identity of
the activating factor is still known only in mammals, perhaps
because the signature of the calcium transient is so
distinctive and so sensitive to dose. Eggs that produce
only a single calcium transient at fertilization, for
example amphibians (Yamamoto et al. 2001) and sea
urchins (Dale et al. 1978), are too promiscuous in their
response to a variety of activating agents to permit
ready biochemical enrichment of the putative activating
factor. On the other hand, ascidian oocytes resemble
mammalian oocytes in having a distinctive calcium
signature evoked by sperm extract (Kyozuka et al. 1998;
Wilding & Dale 1998; McDougall et al. 2000; Runft &
Jaffe 2000), and therefore offer better chance of
identifying the factor, which, in ascidians, acts through
the Src-PLCγ pathway (Runft et al. 1999) that has been
shown to be the activating mechanism at fertilization.

In echinoderms, both nitric oxide (NO) and cGMP
have been suggested to activate messengers at fertiliza-
tion, based on the fact that both NO and cGMP increase
at fertilization and can trigger a calcium transient.
Measurements of cGMP show it to rise by 20 s after
insemination, the earliest time point measured (Ciapa &
Epel 1996; Kuroda et al. 2001), though this is late
relative to the timing of the calcium transient (Whitaker 2005). A concentration of 5–10 μM cGMP in the cytoplasm is required to activate a sea urchin egg (Whalley et al. 1992; Kuroda et al. 2001), while peak concentrations of cGMP at fertilization have been measured to be 20–100 nM (Ciapa & Epel 1996; Kuroda et al. 2001), which seems too little. Compare InsP₃ whose peak concentration reaches 0.2–0.3 μM at 20 s after insemination (Kuroda et al. 2001) while eggs that have been shown to activate at cytoplasmic concentrations of 2 nM (Whitaker & Irvine 1984). The G-kinase inhibitor RcAMPS completely blocks cGMP-induced calcium release, but cannot prevent fertilization wave initiation (Galiane et al. 1993; Lee et al. 1993, 1996).

NO may (Kuo et al. 2000) or may not (Leckie et al. 2003) increase very soon after fertilization and there is a similar disagreement over whether the NO scavenger, oxyhaemoglobin, can block the fertilization calcium transient (Kuo et al. 2000; Leckie et al. 2003). NO activates eggs via the cGMP/cADPr pathway (Willmott et al. 1996), and it is known that blocking the downstream elements of this pathway does not prevent the fertilization calcium transient from occurring (Galiane et al. 1993; Lee et al. 1993, 1996; Leckie et al. 2003). The NO pathway is absent in ascidian and mouse eggs (Hyslop et al. 2001). Since NO-induced egg activation can be blocked by antagonists of the cGMP pathway, it is unlikely that a different mechanism, such as nitrosylation (Kuo et al. 2000), occurs at fertilization.

NAADP is also a candidate as an activation messenger in echinoderms. It triggers calcium release in unfertilized eggs (Lee 2001; Churchill et al. 2003; Santella et al. 2004) and is present at activating concentrations in sperm (Billington et al. 2002; Churchill et al. 2003). But the inactivation of the NAADP pathway inhibits membrane currents (Churchill et al. 2003; Moccia et al. 2004), not the fertilization calcium wave (Churchill et al. 2003). In starfish, the fertilization wave is prevented by an InsP₃ chelator (Iwasaki et al. 2002) and by SH2 domains directed against Src and PLCγ (Carroll et al. 1997; Giusti et al. 1999b), but equally NAADP can mobilize calcium even when the InsP₃ pathway is downregulated (Lim et al. 2001).

The most elegant mechanism proposed to explain egg activation after sperm–egg fusion is the conduit hypothesis (Jaffe 1997; Xu & Sternberg Paul 2003). The idea is that calcium channels in the sperm provide a source of calcium that diffuses into the egg once the sperm and the egg fuse. Once inside the egg, it provokes calcium-induced calcium release (CICR), a mechanism known to be important in stimulus contraction coupling in the heart (Cheng et al. 1993). In the heart, though, the mechanism is, as would be expected, completely dependent on the presence of extracellular calcium. In contrast, the fertilization calcium wave is unaffected by the removal of external calcium (Chambers 1980; Chambers & Angeloni 1981; Epel et al. 1982).

(b) The interval

While waiting for Act II, we can sum up the available data on calcium wave initiation at fertilization. Signalling pathways thought to be implicated in the initiation of the fertilization calcium wave are shown in figure 1. NAADP contributes to calcium signalling at fertilization by modulating calcium channels (Albrieux et al. 1998; Churchill et al. 2003; Moccia et al. 2004). Calcium influx through voltage-gated channels is an important element of the fertilization response across a wide range of species studies, but extracellular calcium is not necessary for the initiation of the fertilization calcium wave (summarized in Stricker 1999). cADPr and RyRs also act to control calcium concentrations at the egg cortex and control cortical granule exocytosis in some species (Ayabe et al. 1995; Albrieux et al. 1997, 1998). Both NAADP and cADPr have parts to play in the calcium wave itself, as we shall see in Act II. It looks though as if the activation of a phospholipase C is the wave-initiating event at fertilization. Again, Stricker (1999) summarizes the data across all the phyla studied and without exception the InsP₃ signalling pathway is active and essential at fertilization. The two key PLCs are PLCζ in mammals and PLCγ in frogs, echinoderms and ascidians. It appears that PLCγ plays no role in mammals (Mehlmann et al. 1998) and there is as yet no evidence that PLCζ plays any part in other species. It seems clear that PLCζ enters the egg to activate it as a consequence of sperm–egg fusion. Whether the Src pathway also uses this mechanism remains ambiguous, as the activator that might enter
the egg from the sperm has not been identified in species other than mammals. On balance, it seems probable as no signal transduction mechanism involving receptors has been clearly identified, although it remains possible that an integral receptor mechanism may be involved in frog oocytes at fertilization (Iwao & Fujimura 1996).

(c) Act II: calcium wave propagation

Fertilization calcium wave velocities are constant in the range of 5–30 μm s⁻¹ (Jaffe & Crotté 1998; Stricker 1999; Jaffe 2008). Fertilization calcium waves are a subset of the class of calcium waves of this velocity, which are found widely in both germ line and somatic cells (Jaffe 1995, 2008). Jaffe presents the evidence that these are, in terms of chemistry, reaction–diffusion waves analogous to the well-known Belousov–Zhabotinsky reaction (Speksnijder et al. 1989; Jaffe 1991, 2008). The major source of calcium for the wave is the ER (Galiano et al. 1991; Terasaki & Jaffe 1991; Kline 2000), which is charged with calcium by a SERCA pump (Kline & Kline 1992; Jones et al. 1995; Lawrence & Cuthbertson 1995; Lee & Aarhus 2000). One proof of this is that the wave can propagate in the absence of external calcium (Chambers 1980; Chambers & Angeloni 1981; Schmidt et al. 1982; Crossley et al. 1988). Calcium waves are built up from many individual calcium release events from one or a very few clustered calcium channels in the ER membrane, be they InsP₃ or RyRs (Cheng et al. 1993; Lipp et al. 1997; Keizer & Smith 1998; Marchant et al. 1999). Propagation occurs by reaction (calcium–triggered activation of the release channel) and diffusion (of calcium to neighbouring receptors), a mechanism of CICR (Endo et al. 1970; Keizer & Smith 1998; Solovyova et al. 2002). Fertilization calcium waves are in general carried by the InsP₃ receptor (Stricker 1999). For example, the mammalian fertilization wave is abolished by the microinjection of an InsP₃ receptor antibody (Miyazaki et al. 1992, 1993). The InsP₃ receptor is sensitized to CICR by InsP₃, or RyRs (Adkins & Taylor 1999); in the absence of InsP₃, calcium inhibits the receptor, while in its presence, calcium provokes further calcium release. Production and diffusion of InsP₃ can also participate in the reaction–diffusion mechanism underlying InsP₃–mediated calcium waves (Allbritton & Meyer 1993; Keizer et al. 1995). Calcium may trigger further production of InsP₃ (Whitaker & Aitchison 1985; Ciapa & Whitaker 1986; Ciapa et al. 1992; Stith et al. 1994). In support of this idea, a dominant negative PH domain inhibitor of PLCγ not only delays the onset of the calcium wave, but also appears to diminish its propagation (Shearer et al. 1999). On the other hand, heparin, an InsP₃ antagonist, delays the onset of the calcium wave without appearing to alter its velocity (Crossley et al. 1991); perhaps this is explained by the fact that heparin is a competitive inhibitor: if, once the wave is initiated, InsP₃ increases markedly, it may be sufficient to overcome inhibition by heparin.

RyRs appear to contribute to the calcium wave only in fish, nemertean and echinoderm eggs, as these are the only eggs studied that are sensitive to caffeine or ryanodine, RyR agonists (Galiano et al. 1993; Miyazaki et al. 1993; Fluck et al. 1999; Santella et al. 1999b; Stricker 1999; Polzonetti et al. 2002). In echinoderms, the microinjection of calcium can trigger a propagating calcium wave (Hamaguchi & Hiramoto 1981; Mohri & Hamaguchi 1991) and ruthenium red, an RyR antagonist, reduces the propagation velocity of the wave (Miyazaki 1988; Galiane et al. 1993). A calcium wave can be triggered in sea urchin eggs by calcium influx after sensitizing the RyR (McDougall et al. 1993). The sea urchin egg RyR (Shiwa et al. 2002) plays a contributory role in calcium wave propagation. Eggs of species lacking functional RyR responses at fertilization nonetheless possess RyRs (Swann 1992; Ayabe et al. 1995). In these mammalian eggs, calcium release from RyR at the egg periphery may nonetheless contribute to cortical granule exocytosis (Kline & Kline 1994) and to sustaining the multiple calcium oscillations that follow fertilization (Swann 1992).

In eggs with RyR receptors but lacking a functional RyR response, cADPr does not cause global calcium release when microinjected (Kline & Kline 1994; Ayabe et al. 1995). The receptor for cADPr has not yet been identified but its action requires calmodulin, which appears to increase affinity for cADPr and to increase the sensitivity of the RyR to CICR by several orders of magnitude (Lee et al. 1994, 1995; Thomas et al. 2001). It is not known why some RyR-containing eggs are insensitive to cADPr—perhaps they lack a receptor. In sea urchin and starfish eggs, cADPr and RyR contribute to the calcium wave (Galiane et al. 1993; Santella et al. 1999b). Antagonists of cADPr do not alter the propagation of the fertilization calcium wave in sea urchin eggs (Lee et al. 1996), but appear to curtail the long falling tail of the transient (Leckie et al. 2003), indicating that cADPr acts late during the fertilization calcium response, mediated by NO (Leckie et al. 2003).

6. SINGLE AND MULTIPLE FERTILIZATION WAVES AND TRANSIENTS

Fishes, frogs, jellyfish, some molluscs, echinuran worms, sea urchins and starfish produce a single large calcium transient at fertilization (Stricker 1999); the others including nemertean worms, annelid worms, ascidians and mammals produce multiple calcium transients (Stricker 1999). Single transients are found in species with eggs and oocytes that arrest in interphase either before or after meiosis, while multiple transients occur in species in which oocytes are arrested during meiotic metaphase (the exceptions are the frogs and the fishes, whose oocytes undergo a single large calcium transient despite being arrested in the second meiotic metaphase). These observations underline the importance of understanding calcium signalling in the context of cell-cycle regulation.

Calcium signals have a natural propensity for oscillation, as both the InsP₃ and RyR have both positive and negative feedback mechanisms that favour calcium oscillations (Berridge & Galiano 1988). CICR, where increased cytoplasmic calcium concentrations enhance the open probability of the channels, is a property of both InsP₃R and RyR and both receptors
have bell-shaped responses to cytoplasmic calcium: at higher concentrations, the effect of a further increase is inhibitory (Ehrlich 1995). These characteristics are themselves sufficient to generate oscillatory calcium release; depletion of ER calcium stores adds a further negative feedback element (Berridge & Galione 1988) and, for the InsP₃ receptor, additional positive feedback can come from calcium-stimulated hydrolysis of PtdInsP₂. Of the oocytes that undergo repetitive cytoplasmic calcium spikes after fertilization, ascidian and mammalian oocytes are best studied. The detail of their calcium spiking is distinct: in ascidians, the spikes immediately after fertilization are superimposed on a larger sustained transient (McDougall & Sardet 1995), whereas in mammals, each spike is separate and the interval between spikes can last for several minutes (Swann & Parrington 1999). The second phase of spikes in ascidians more closely resembles that in mammalian oocytes and may involve NAADP (Albrieux et al. 1998). Nonetheless, both spiking patterns can be mimicked with the cell-cycle stage. This can be shown experimentally. Calcium spikes can be sustained indefinitely by preventing exit from meiosis. One way to achieve this is to use microtubule inhibitors, for example colcemid, to invoke the metaphase checkpoint in fertilized eggs and maintain a metaphase state (Kono et al. 1996). Another approach has been to use exogenous cyclin B or non-degradable cyclin B to defeat the APC/cyclin-dependent kinase activity (Levasseur & McDougall 2000; Nixon et al. 2000). The mitotic kinase may maintain the oscillations by stimulating the continued production of InsP₃, sensitizing the InsP₃ receptor itself or maintaining high levels of ER calcium by stimulating the SERCA pump. However, a simple hypothesis to explain the data presupposes that calcium spike generation is caused by a factor from sperm introduced after sperm–egg fusion and sequestered into the nucleus; if the fertilized egg is in mitosis, the factor will not be sequestered and spikes will persist, whereas interphase eggs will sequester the factor and a single calcium spike/wave will occur.

It is clear that in both ascidians (McDougall & Sardet 1995; McDougall et al. 1995; Roegiers et al. 1995) and mouse eggs (Deguchi et al. 2000; Dumollard et al. 2002), calcium spikes are generated at loci associated with the sperm entry point and thus a sperm-activating factor. The only evidence that the interphase nucleus can sequester a sperm-activating factor comes from mammalian eggs. For example, the transfer of the interphase nucleus of the one-celled embryo to an unfertilized oocyte can activate it: the nucleus breaks down in response to the elevated cdk/cyclin B kinase activity and the initiation of the calcium spikes correlates with the breakdown of the nuclear envelope (Kono et al. 1995). In fertilized eggs, spikes cease at the time of reformation of the pronucleus; inhibiting the reformation of the nuclear envelope with a lectin sustains the calcium spikes (Marangos et al. 2003). PLC₅, the sperm-activating factor in the mouse, has been shown to be sequestered into the interphase nucleus (Kouchi et al. 2004; Larman et al. 2004; Yoda et al. 2004). There does appear to be virtue in the simple idea that repetitive calcium spiking occurs unless and until an interphase nucleus is present to sequester the calcium wave-generating activity introduced by the sperm at fertilization, at least in the mouse: in ascidian no nuclear sequestration occurs and calcium spikes are controlled solely by cdk1 activity (Levasseur et al. 2007).

What about the fish and the frog eggs? These exhibit a single calcium transient, despite being arrested in meiotic metaphase II, not interphase. Fish and frog eggs are large and have very prolonged fertilization calcium transients as a consequence. They exit metaphase and move into interphase within tens of minutes, as their calcium transients are subsiding (Stricker 1999), leaving no time for multiple calcium spikes.

7. FERTILIZATION AND THE CELL DIVISION CYCLE

A major role of the fertilization calcium signal is to activate the cell-cycle regulators, cdk1 and cyclin. Eggs and oocytes of different species are arrested at different points in the cell cycle (Whitaker 1996). Species differ in their point of arrest during meiotic maturation as they await fertilization. Ascidian oocytes, for example, arrest during first meiotic metaphase; mammalian and frog oocytes arrest during second meiotic metaphase while sea urchin eggs have completed meiosis and are arrested in G1 of the first post-meiotic cell cycle. Meiotic metaphase arrest is maintained by the sustained activity of the mitotic kinase, cdk1/cyclin, which maintains chromatin in its condensed state and stabilizes the meiotic spindle (Whitaker 1996); the interphase arrest in sea urchins is maintained by the suppression of cyclin synthesis by a cytoplasmic pH 0.5 units more acidic than that of fertilized eggs (Winkler et al. 1980; Whitaker & Steinhardt 1982; Evans et al. 1983). Cyclins are synthesized during interphase and destroyed abruptly during mitosis (Evans et al. 1983; Hunt 1991). Protein synthesis is markedly pH dependent in sea urchin eggs and homogenates (Grainger et al. 1979; Winkler et al. 1980) and can, for example, be stimulated by weak bases that alkalinate the egg cytoplasm to levels comparable with those measured after fertilization (Grainger et al. 1979). The rapid alkalination after fertilization is achieved by the activation of an Na/H antiporter (Johnson & Epel 1976). The antiporter is activated by PKC, which in turn is stimulated by both the fertilization calcium transient and the diacylglycerol produced by the activation of phospholipase C (Swann & Whitaker 1985; Epel 1990). In sea urchin eggs, fertilization in interphase stimulates cyclin synthesis, but the calcium transient also directly stimulates DNA synthesis by

In oocytes that are arrested in meiosis awaiting fertilization, the oocyte protein mos appears to maintain a metaphase arrest before fertilization (Verlhac et al. 1996; Tunquist et al. 2002), preventing cyclin degradation. The fertilization calcium signal does not immediately interact with mos signalling (Lorca et al. 1991, 1993). Instead, it bypasses the checkpoint by stimulating cyclin degradation via CaM-kinase II-mediated stimulation of cyclin ubiquitination (Lorca et al. 1991; Reimann & Jackson 2002) and stimulation of the proteasome degradation machinery (Kawahara & Yokosawa 1994; Aizawa et al. 1996). The major role of calcium in frog and mouse eggs at fertilization is to reinitiate anaphase onset by activating the anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C), relieving inhibition of cyclin degradation and stimulating proteasome activity to allow the cell cycle to proceed.

Mature but unfertilized mammalian oocytes continue to synthesize proteins. Continuing cyclin synthesis is essential for the maintenance of the meiotic arrest, as transcription inhibitors will relieve the metaphase arrest when added to unfertilized mammalian eggs (Moses & Kline 1995). Retypeic calcium spiking leads to progressive reduction in cyclin/cdk1 activity in the face of continuing cyclin synthesis (Ozil & Swann 1995; Swann & Parrington 1999; Cheung et al. 2000). Successive calcium spikes lead to the progressive destruction of a cyclin B–GFP fusion protein in ascidian and mouse oocytes and spike frequency declines with cyclin levels (McDougall & Levasseur 1998; Levasseur & McDougall 2000; Nixon et al. 2000, 2002).

The fertilization calcium signal must restart the cell cycle. In oocytes in metaphase, this is brought about by the CaM-kinase II activation of cyclin degradation; in interphase oocytes, the converse is true: cyclin synthesis is restarted through a PKC-mediated pH increase.

8. CALCIUM AND THE EARLY EMBRYONIC CELL CYCLES

An argument by generalization suggests that if fertilization calcium signals control cell-cycle transitions, then calcium signals will also be important in the ensuing cell-cycle transitions in early embryos (Whitaker & Patel 1990). This idea has met with supportive data from experiments on the rapidly dividing embryos of sea urchins, frogs and flies. Sea urchin embryos undergo eight cycles of cell division within 4 hours, frog embryos, 8 cycles within 6 hours and fruit fly embryos, 13 nuclear divisions within 3 hours. During these divisions, the embryo relies heavily on maternal stores of metabolic substrates, mRNA and protein; there is little or no gene transcription (Gurdon et al. 1992; Davidson et al. 2002). These rapid embryonic mitoses involve three events in each cycle: dissolution of the nuclear envelope, chromatin condensation and formation of the mitotic spindle; separation and segregation of the chromosomes by spindle elongation; and separation of daughter cells by the formation of the cleavage furrow. Each of these events in sea urchin embryos is blocked by lithium treatment and the block rescued by the addition of myo-inositol (Becchetti & Whitaker 1997), demonstrating that phosphoinositide signalling is involved (Berridge et al. 1982). Cell-cycle progression is also blocked by L690,330, a bispophonate inhibitor of inositol monophosphatase that acts similarly to lithium (Sconzo et al. 1998).

(a) Calcium signals and nuclear envelope breakdown

A calcium transient precedes nuclear envelope dissolution in the first cell cycle of the sea urchin embryo (Poenie et al. 1986). Microinjection of calcium or InsP3 induces NEB precociously (Steinhardt & Alderton 1988; Twigg et al. 1988) and calcium chelators block NEB reversibly (Wilding et al. 1996). NEB in starfish embryos is also preceded by a calcium spike and blocked by heparin injection (Stricker 1995). The NEB calcium transient is very localized in the perinuclear region in both sea urchin (Wilding et al. 1996) and Drosophila embryos (Parry et al. 2005).

(b) Calcium signals and chromosome segregation

The separation of sister chromatids in sea urchin embryos is preceded by a small calcium transient (Groignon & Whitaker 1998). The transient is blocked by the calcium chelator dibromo-BAPTA or the InsP3 receptor antagonist and blocking the calcium transient prevents the separation of sister chromatids. Uncaging calcium from NP-EGTA using a UV pulse or uncaging InsP3 in the presence of heparin leads to normal chromatid segregation (Groignon & Whitaker 1998). Calcium signals accompany mitosis in syncytial Drosophila embryos restricted to the spindle region (Parry et al. 2005). Two InsP3 antagonists, one the InsP3 sponge, a recombinant protein consisting of the InsP3-binding domain of the type 1 InsP3 receptor (Walker et al. 2002), and the other p130, an inactive phospholipase that binds InsP3 (Takeuchi et al. 2000), prevented chromatid segregation (Parry et al. 2005). In frog embryos, microinjection of heparin (Han et al. 1992) and an InsP3R antibody (Muto et al. 1996) prevents the division of the one-celled embryo and EGTA and dibromo-BAPTA to prevent division in the two-celled embryo (Noguchi & Mabuchi 2002).

(c) Calcium and formation of the cleavage furrow

Calcium signals have been measured in the cleavage furrow of Medaka (Fluck et al. 1991), zebrafish (Chang & Meng 1995) and frog (Muto et al. 1996) embryos. These signals depend on InsP3-induced calcium release from internal stores (Chang & Meng 1995; Muto et al. 1996). Distinct calcium signals have been identified to be associated with lateral extension of the furrow and furrow deepening was very evident (Webb et al. 1997, 2008; Crétou et al. 1998). A furrow-positioning calcium signal has also been identified (Chang & Lu 2000). Furrowing is blocked by the calcium chelator BAPTA and the InsP3 antagonist heparin (Chang & Lu 2000), but is unaffected by RyR and NAADP antagonists (Lee et al. 2003). In sea urchin embryos, local increases in calcium concentration and calmodulin activation beneath and around
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the cleavage furrow have been observed (Stricker 1995; Wilding et al. 1995; Groingo & Whitaker 1998; Török et al. 1998). In early human embryos, ER accumulates in the cleavage furrow (Goud et al. 1999). In Drosophila embryos, very superficial calcium increases closely correlated with actin dynamics in space and time occur in cleavage furrows (Parry et al. 2005).

(d) Cell-cycle calcium signals in early embryos
There seems then to be some substance in the idea that calcium signals control cell-cycle transitions in early embryos. Perhaps, though, this is the case only in embryos that undergo rapid cell divisions immediately after fertilization. Mouse embryos’ cell cycles are measured in hours rather than minutes. Calcium transients are seen by some in mouse embryos around the time of NEB (Kono et al. 1996), but others have reported either a weak correlation of calcium signals with NEB (Tombes et al. 1992) or the absence of NEB—(Day et al. 2000; Tang et al. 2000; Gordo et al. 2002) and cleavage-associated (Tombes et al. 1992) calcium signals. The team that originally reported NEB-associated calcium signals in the mouse (Kono et al. 1996) later found that the calcium signals were detected only once NEB had occurred (Marangos et al. 2003). This raises the possibility that cell-cycle calcium signals are important only in rapidly dividing embryos.

9. Calcium signals in early embryos
The importance of calcium signalling at fertilization is beyond dispute. It is on the whole well understood and sets out the repertoire of calcium-signalling mechanisms that may be important later in development. There are strong indications that calcium signals also have an essential role in regulating cell-cycle transitions in rapidly dividing embryos.

Other papers in this issue (Freisinger et al. 2008; Moreau et al. 2008; Spitzer 2008) explore the role of calcium signalling in developmental patterning. The key challenge is to understand how the protein calcium signal can fulfil such disparate functions during development: fertilization; cell-cycle control; axis formation; and neuronal specification. There are some clues about how these different outcomes may be intertwined. One instance is the ascidian embryo.

The repetitive calcium spikes in fertilized ascidian embryos progressively induce cyclin destruction and hence promote exit from meiosis and cell-cycle progression (McDougall & Levassuer 1998; Levassuer & McDougall 2000). At the same time, these calcium spikes determine the profound cytoplasmic reorganization, by initiating marked cortical contractions in which ER is transported to a nipple-like projection coexists with cell-cycle calcium signals (Crétton et al. 2000; Parry et al. 2005). In zebrafish, one effect of XWNt-5A expression is to bring the late blastula calcium spikes into closer synchrony with the global cell division cycle of the blastula (Slusarski et al. 1997), so that they more clearly occur at the time of mitosis and cell division. It appears to be an effect not of altering the synchrony of spikes and cell division in single blastomeres, but of improving global cell-cycle synchrony in the blastula, so that the association of the calcium spikes with cell division becomes more apparent.

We may need to get used to the idea that calcium signals in early embryos can do more than one thing at once.

I thank the Wellcome Trust for its support.
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